RRC STAFF OPINION

PLEASE NOTE: THIS COMMUNICATION IS EITHER 1) ONLY THE RECOMMENDATION OF AN RRC STAFF ATTORNEY AS TO ACTION THAT THE ATTORNEY BELIEVES THE COMMISSION SHOULD TAKE ON THE CITED RULE AT ITS NEXT MEETING, OR 2) AN OPINION OF THAT ATTORNEY AS TO SOME MATTER CONCERNING THAT RULE. THE AGENCY AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ARE INVITED TO SUBMIT THEIR OWN COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (ACCORDING TO RRC RULES) TO THE COMMISSION.

AGENCY: Coastal Resources Commission

RULE CITATION: 15A NCAC 07H .0208

DATE ISSUED: August 14, 2023

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Approve, but note staff's comment

- X Object, based on:
 - Lack of statutory authority
 - X Unclear or ambiguous Unnecessary
 - X Failure to comply with the APA

Extend the period of review

COMMENT:

This rule, which governs use standards within estuarine and ocean system areas of environmental concern, contains several different ambiguous or unclear terms. Additionally, several of the agency's post-publication changes to the Rule rise to the level of a substantial change under G.S. 150B-21.2(g).

Significant Adverse Impact

Throughout the Rule, the agency uses the terms "significant adverse impact", "adverse impact", "adverse effect", and "adversely affect" (and other similar formulations) without definition or example, despite their importance to the regulatory scheme enshrined herein.

For instance, in Part (a)(2)(A), as a general use standard the agency requires that any permit granted under this Rule requires a finding that the development is "sited and designed to avoid significant adverse impacts upon the productivity and biologic integrity of coastal wetlands, shellfish beds, submerged aquatic vegetation . . . and spawning and nursery areas." Part (a)(2)(F) further requires that development be timed to "avoid significant adverse impacts on life cycles of estuarine and ocean resources[.]"

These terms are similarly included in almost every specific use standard described in this Rule. Developers seeking to construct navigation channels, canals, and boat basins (subparagraph (b)(1)), drainage ditches (subparagraph (b)(3)), marinas (subparagraph (b)(5)), bulkheads (subparagraph (b)(7)), freestanding moorings (subparagraph (b)(10)), wind energy facilities

Brian Liebman Commission Counsel (subparagraph (b)(13)), conduct beach nourishment projects (subparagraph (b)(8)), fill existing canals, basins, and ditches (subparagraph (b)(11), or engage in mining of submerged lands (subparagraph (b)(12)) will have their projects evaluated for whether they will cause "significant adverse impacts", "adverse impacts", "adverse effects", etc.

While development projects must avoid "significant adverse impacts" in order to meet with agency approval, the agency provides no definition of this term, provides no examples to elucidate the meaning of the term, or any other guidance that would allow the regulated public to determine whether a particular project is in compliance with this Rule and the laws undergirding it.

In response to staff's request that the agency provide a definition of the term, the CRC responded in an August 3, 2023 memo that "adverse impact," "adverse effect," or "adversely effected" should be given their ordinary meaning, citing to Webster's Dictionary. Incorporating that meaning, the agency states that "adverse impact (or effect)" means "an effect or impact that is opposed or antagonistic to the goals of the Coastal Area Management Act" as found in G.S. 113A-102(b). Turning to the addition of the term "significant", the agency again argues that the word be given its ordinary meaning, which the agency claims is: "to require an impact be large enough to make a difference. This use of this adjective disqualifies a 'de minimus' impact from requiring action under the CRC's rules." The agency then goes on to argue that "significant adverse impact" "is a term of art that has been consistently used by the General Assembly, in North Carolina regulations, and by appellate courts to analyze negative impacts that warrant action." It is important to note that these **responses were not incorporated into the Rule**.

The Commission has previously objected to the use of these terms in CRC's rules. At the September 2022 meeting, the Commission objected to 07H .2305 on the basis that "significant adverse impact" was unclear and ambiguous under G.S. 150B-21.9(a)(2). The Commission continued that objection at the February 2023 meeting, and added objections to rules 07H .0508, 07H .0509, 07J .0203, 07M .0202, .0401, .0402, and .0403 where the agency added the term in revisions made following objections on other grounds. Staff hereby incorporates the staff opinions, memos, and objection letters issued with respect to those Rules by reference.

In the aforementioned proceedings before the Commission, the CRC consistently argued that "significant adverse impact" was a term of art which had a meaning known to the General Assembly, the various environmental regulatory agencies, the regulated public, and North Carolina's courts. Nonetheless, the agency has repeatedly declined to articulate this known meaning in writing, incorporate it into its Rules, or provide specific references to this extensive usage other than citations to other equally opaque CRC rules, an inapposite statute, and a case which mentions but does not construe the term.

With respect to the instant Rule, the CRC now appears to argue that "significant adverse impact" and its variants are both terms of art and have ordinary meaning. This argument is contradicted by the very definition of "term of art": "[a] word or phrase having a specific, precise meaning in a given specialty, **apart from its general meaning in ordinary contexts**." Term of Art, BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY (11th ed. 2019) (emphasis added). See also Southern Furniture Co. of Conover, Inc. v. DOT, 133 N.C.App. 400, 403-04 (1999) ("When terms with special meanings or terms of art appear in an instrument, they are to be given their technical meaning; whereas, ordinary terms are to be given their meaning in ordinary speech."); In re Pharm. Indus. Average Wholesale Price Litig., 460 F. Supp. 2d 277 (D. Mass. 2006) ("By definition, a term must have an established and settled meaning to constitute a term of art.").

Thus, to the extent that the agency claims that "significant adverse impact" is a term of art, their claim necessitates the conclusion that "significant adverse impact" does not have the ordinary meaning of its component words, and instead has a specific industry meaning apart from the ordinary meaning. Such a meaning has not been proffered here, and more importantly, has not been included in this Rule or any other within CRC's authority.

Assuming for the sake of argument that the agency has imprecisely used the phrase "term of art" and that "significant adverse impact" and its variants are to be given their ordinary meaning, that meaning still has not yet been incorporated into this Rule or any other rule under the CRC's authority. While staff believes that the ordinary meaning articulated in the agency's August 3, 2023 memo could form the basis for a workable definition, unless and until these terms are formally defined within the CRC's rules, staff is of the opinion that the terms "significant adverse impact", "adverse impact", "adverse effect", and "adversely affect" (and other similar formulations) are impermissibly unclear or ambiguous.

Consequently, staff recommends objection to this Rule under G.S. 150B-21.9(a)(2).

Navigation Channels, Canals, and Boat Basins

In (b)(1)(A), as amended, the Rule states that "navigation channels and canals may not be allowed through of regularly and irregularly flooded coastal wetlands if the loss of wetlands will have significant adverse impacts on fishery resources, water quality, or adjacent wetlands, and if there is no alternative that would avoid the wetland losses."

Although it may be that this subparagraph has merely been unartfully edited by the agency, the Rule submitted for review by the agency contains several ambiguities. First, the phrase "through of", while likely a typographical error, indicates some kind of modifier which is no longer in the Rule. Second, the final clause "if there is no alternative that would avoid wetland losses" is unclear in context, as the Rule states when channels and canals would not be allowed. A lack of alternatives is almost always a factor in favor of allowing construction where it would otherwise be prohibited. Here, the Rule provides just the opposite.

Moreover, to the extent that the agency intended to say that a lack of alternatives is indeed a factor that would work against an applicant seeking permission to dig a navigation channel or canal through a wetland, it is staff's opinion that this is a substantial change under G.S. 150B-21.2(g)(3). Previously, the Rule stated that channels and canals may be dug through wetlands if there were no alternative. The new language, which was added post-publication, turns the existing language on its head, creating an "effect that could not reasonably have been expected based on the proposed text of the Rule."

For the foregoing reasons, staff recommends objection to this Rule as impermissibly unclear or ambiguous under G.S. 150B-21.9(a)(2) and for failure to comply with the APA under G.S. 150B-21.9(a)(4)

Marinas

In (b)(5), the CRC defines the specific use standards applicable to marinas within estuarine and ocean system areas of environmental concern. In relevant part, the agency lists four alternatives for siting marinas in order of preference for the least damaging alternative. As amended, the Rule now says that marina projects "shall be designed to accommodate the highest of the four listed priorities."

While the agency has not deleted the text of the other three priorities, it appears that they have been constructively written out of the Rule. Thus, it is unclear whether an applicant may continue to satisfy the requirements of the Rule by accommodating one of the other three priorities. Moreover, if the other three priorities remain as options for the applicant, it is no longer clear who determines which priority the applicant shall accommodate.¹

Additionally, to the extent that the agency intended to constructively write three of the four priorities for siting marinas out of the Rule, it is staff's opinion that this is a substantial change under G.S. 150B-21.2(g)(3). Previously, the Rule gave an applicant four different priorities, listed in order of preference to the agency, that the applicant might satisfy based upon the permit letting agency's discretion. The amended language, added post-publication, effectively limits applicants to satisfying only the "highest" of these priorities, creating an "effect that could not reasonably have been expected based on the proposed text of the Rule."

For the foregoing reasons, staff recommends objection to this Rule as impermissibly unclear or ambiguous under G.S. 150B-21.9(a)(2) and for failure to comply with the APA under G.S. 150B-21.9(a)(4)

¹ Previously, the rule stated that a marina project would accommodate the highest priority "deemed feasible by the permit letting agency." When asked by staff to clarify how that determination was communicated to the applicant, the agency chose to delete "deemed feasibly by the permit letting agency."

§ 150B-21.9. Standards and timetable for review by Commission.

(a) Standards. - The Commission must determine whether a rule meets all of the following criteria:

- (1) It is within the authority delegated to the agency by the General Assembly.
- (2) It is clear and unambiguous.
- (3) It is reasonably necessary to implement or interpret an enactment of the General Assembly, or of Congress, or a regulation of a federal agency. The Commission shall consider the cumulative effect of all rules adopted by the agency related to the specific purpose for which the rule is proposed.
- (4) It was adopted in accordance with Part 2 of this Article.

The Commission shall not consider questions relating to the quality or efficacy of the rule but shall restrict its review to determination of the standards set forth in this subsection.

The Commission may ask the Office of State Budget and Management to determine if a rule has a substantial economic impact and is therefore required to have a fiscal note. The Commission must ask the Office of State Budget and Management to make this determination if a fiscal note was not prepared for a rule and the Commission receives a written request for a determination of whether the rule has a substantial economic impact.

(a1) Entry of a rule in the North Carolina Administrative Code after review by the Commission creates a rebuttable presumption that the rule was adopted in accordance with Part 2 of this Article.

(b) Timetable. - The Commission must review a permanent rule submitted to it on or before the twentieth of a month by the last day of the next month. The Commission must review a rule submitted to it after the twentieth of a month by the last day of the second subsequent month. The Commission must review a temporary rule in accordance with the timetable and procedure set forth in G.S. 150B-21.1. (1991, c. 418, s. 1; 1995, c. 507, s. 27.8(f); 2000-140, s. 93.1(a); 2001-424, s. 12.2(b); 2003-229, s. 9.)

JOSH STEIN ATTORNEY GENERAL



REPLY TO: MARY L. LUCASSE (919) 716-6962 MLUCASSE@NCDOJ.GOV

Memorandum

- To: Brian Liebman, Commission Counsel North Carolina Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh, NC 27609
- From: Mary L. Lucasse, Special Deputy Attorney General & Counsel for Coastal Resources Commission

Date: August 3, 2023

Re: 15A NCAC 07H .0208, .0308, 07K .0207, and 15A NCAC 07M .0603

On May 26, 2023, the NC Coastal Resources Commission ("CRC") received a request for G.S. § 150B-21.10 changes to 15A NCAC 07H .0208, .0308, 07K .0207, and 15A NCAC 07M .0603. At its June 2023 meeting, the RRC extended the period of review for the rules in accordance with G.S. § 150B-21.10. Attached is an annotated copy of the requests for changes which includes the CRC's responses (in red) to each request and a clean copy of the rewritten rules for your review.

In addition, the CRC responds to RRC counsel's comments regarding the use of the terms "significant adverse impact," adverse impact, "adverse effect" and "adversely effected" in 15A NCAC 07H 0208 and .0308 as follows:

Our Supreme Court has applied the rules of statutory construction to administrative regulations as well as statutes. State ex rel. Comm'r of Ins. v. N.C. Rate Bureau, 300 N.C. 381, 269 S.E.2d 547 (1980). References in the CRC's rules to "adverse impact," "adverse effect" or "adversely effected" should be given their ordinary meaning. See Food Town Stores Inc. v. City of Salisbury, 300 N.C. 21, 2654 S.E.2d 123 (1980) (words are to be given their ordinary meaning unless they have been defined or have "acquired a technical meaning.). Dictionaries may be used to determine the ordinary meaning of words used. State v. Fly, 127 N.C. App. 286, 488 S.E.2d 614 (1997). The words "impact" and "effect" can be used interchangeably. See Webster's II New College Dictionary, (3rd ed., 2005) (definition of impact includes " "the effect or impression of one thing upon another."). The ordinary meaning of "adverse" is opposed or antagonistic. Id. Thus, in context, the ordinary meaning of this phrase is an effect or impact that is opposed or antagonistic to the goals of the Coastal Area Management Act. N.C.G.S. § 113A-102(b). Placing this phrase in the context of the organic statute does not create ambiguity since the CRC's rules could not be reasonably understood as referring to anything else. The ordinary meaning of this phrase - a negative effect or impact - has been used in other statutory contexts. Following are just two of many examples. Padilla

Brian Liebman, Commission Counsel. August 3, 2023 Page **2** of **3**

<u>v. Kentucky</u>, 559 U.S. 356, 130 S.Ct. 1473 (2010) (defendant's counsel was ineffective by reason of failing to inform the client of the <u>adverse effects</u> of a criminal conviction on immigration status.) and <u>American Motors Sales Corp. v. Peters</u>, 311 N.C. 311, 317, 317 (1984) (considering whether there was an "adverse effect" in order to determine whether a restraint of trade is monopolistic.). Given the plain and ordinary meaning of "adverse impact, "adverse effect" and "adversely effected" in 15A NCAC 07H 0208, the CRC requests counsel reconsider its objection.

RRC Staff may believe that a more difficult question is raised when the adjective "significant" is added to the phrase since this addition indicates that the effect or impact must be large enough to be important. However, as the CRC has previously explained, this phrase is not ambiguous. The ordinary meaning of "significant" when added to "adverse impact" (or some variation of that phrase) is to require an impact be large enough to make a difference. This use of this adjective disqualifies a "de minimus" impact from requiring action under the CRC's rules. This phrase is a "term of art" that has been consistently used by the General Assembly, in North Carolina regulations, and by appellate courts to analyze negative impacts that warrant action. *See* November 23, 2022 Letter to NC Rules Review Commission from Mary L. Lucasse, counsel for the CRC, p 3; January 18, 2023 Letter to NC Rules Review Commission from Mary L. Lucasse, counsel for the CRC, p 1; and February 9, 2023 letter to NC Rules Review Commission from Julie Youngman, SELC, pp 1-2.

The term "significant adverse impact" is also used by state and federal agencies in the environmental review of coastal development projects, where use of the term in this context indicates that there the effects are raised to a level which requires some mitigative measure or a change in the scope of the project is necessary for the project to proceed. Under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the NEPA review process begins when a federal agency develops a proposal to take a major federal action. These actions are defined at <u>40 CFR 1508.1</u>, and include a Finding of No Significant Impact where a federal agency presents the reasons why an action, not otherwise excluded (§ 1508.4), will not have a significant effect on the human environment and for which an environmental impact statement therefore will not be prepared. 40 C.F.R. § 1508.13.

15A NCAC 07H .0208 and .0308 relate to construction of development including erosion control activities, navigation channels, canals, and boat basins to marinas, piers, and docks to bulkheads, beach nourishment, submerged land mining, and freestanding moorings. Each permit application may, depending on the design and scope of the proposed development, have different impacts on a range of different environmental and cultural resources. Individual resource values can vary as well, for example, where a small patch of sparsely vegetated, mono-specific salt marsh in an already impacted area may not have the same value as a pristine, densely vegetated, multi-species salt marsh that is providing a variety of habitat for multiple species. Not knowing what the regulated public will propose in any application and how severe the impacts will be on various coastal and marine resources, the CRC is not in a position to list all possible adverse impacts or numerical thresholds regarding the significance of

Brian Liebman, Commission Counsel. August 3, 2023 Page **3** of **3**

those impacts. Through its legislative findings and goals, the General Assembly has provided the CRC and DCM with a roadmap to use to weigh negative impacts. This analysis occurs on a case-by-case basis. To provide further examples trying to capture the ordinary meaning of the phrase "significant adverse impact" and explain the roadmap provided by the General Assembly would either be limiting because not every situation could be provided as an example or incomplete. As written, the CRC's rule allows the courts, the CRC, and DCM to weigh and balance the protection provided for the valuable natural resources of the coastal area while ensuring development proceeds appropriately. See 113A-102(b).

For these reasons, the CRC strongly believes that the version of 15A NCAC 07H .0208 submitted simultaneously with this memo, which has been revised to address many of the comments received from RRC, is not impermissibly ambiguous. Accordingly, please recommend approval of the rule as submitted.

Enclosures:

- CRC's Responses to Requests for Changes dated May 26, 2023;
- 15A NCAC 07H .0208 (revised and retyped);
- 15A NCAC 07H .0308 (revised and retyped);
- 15A NCAC 07K .0207 (revised and retyped);
- 15A NCAC 07M .0603 (revised and retyped);
- Nov. 23, 2022 Letter to NC Rules Review Commission from Mary L. Lucasse;
- Jan. 18, 2023 Letter to NC Rules Review Commission from Mary L. Lucasse;
- Feb. 9, 2023 letter to NC Rules Review Commission from Julie Youngman, SELC.

JOSH STEIN ATTORNEY GENERAL



REPLY TO: MARY L. LUCASSE (919) 716-6962 MLUCASSE@NCDOJ.GOV

November 23, 2022

North Carolina Rules Review Commission Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh, North Carolina 27609

Re: CRC's Response to RRC's Objections to Rules 15A NCAC 07H .0501, .0502, .0503, .0505, .0506, .0507, .0508, .0509, .0510, .2305;
07I .0406, .0504, .0506, .0508, .0511, .0602, .0702;
07J .0203, .0206, .0207, .0208, .0210, .0312;
07M .0201, .0202, .0401, .0402, .0403, .0601, .0603, .0701, .0703, .0704, .1001, .1002, .1101, .1102.

Dear Chair Doran and Commission Members:

On behalf of the North Carolina Coastal Resources Commission ("CRC) and pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.12(a)(1) and (2), please accept this letter as the CRC's partial written response to the North Carolina Rules Review Commission ("RRC") September 17, 2022 letter objecting to the above referenced rules (the "Objection Letter"). The CRC will be submitting a second letter (dated November 23, 2022) addressing the remaining rules included in the Objection Letter.

While the CRC disagrees with the RRC's objections, this written response is not intended to be–and should not be interpreted as–a written request to return the above-referenced rules pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.12(d). The CRC is not seeking the return of these rules at this time and, instead, appreciates the opportunity to continue working with the RRC and its staff to resolve the RRC's objections.

At its recent November 17, 2022 regularly scheduled meeting, the CRC decided to submit additional technical changes as allowed by N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.12(a)(1) to the following rules: 07H .0501, .0506, .0508, .0509, .0510, 07I .0504, .0508, .0511, .0602, .0702, 07J .0203, .0204, .0206, .0207, .0208, .0210, .0312, 07M .0601, .0603, .0703, and .0704. While the CRC disagrees with the RRC's objections to these rules, we have attempted to resolve the RRC's concerns through additional technical changes and are submitting the revised rules to the RRC along with this Response. Please do not hesitate to let us know if there are any additional technical changes requested.

In addition, the CRC decided not to submit changes as allowed by N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.12(a)(2), for the following rules: 15A NCAC 07H .0502, .0503, .0505, .0507, .2305, 07I .0406, .0506, 07M .0201, .0202, .0401, .0402, .0403, .0701, .1001, .1002, .1101, .1102. The CRC disagrees with the RRC's objections to these rules.

North Carolina Rules Review Commission November 23, 2022 Page 2 of 6

The CRC is submitting the following additional information in an effort to resolve the concerns raised in RRC Objection Letter to all the above-referenced rules.

1.C RC's Response to Objections based on N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.9(a)(1).

In its Objection Letter, the RRC objected to Rules 15A NCAC 07H .0501, .0502, .0503, .0505, .0506, .0507, .0508, .0509, .0510, 07I .0504, .0508, .0511, .0602, .0702, 07J .0203, .0206, .0207, .0208, .0312, 07M .0201, .0202, .0401, .0403, .0701, .0704, .1001, .1002, and .1101 based on the allegation that "each of [these] . . . rules do not meet the definition of a "Rule" pursuant to G.S. 150B-2(8a)" and therefore the agency lacks the statutory authority to adopt these rules based on N.C. Gen. Stat. 150B-19.1(a)(1). *See* Objection Letter and attached RRC Staff Opinions. This argument is incorrect.

The CRC's authority and duty to adopt "guidelines for the coastal area" consisting of "statements of objectives, policies, and standards to be followed in public and private use of land and water areas within the coastal area . . . consistent with the goals . . . in G.S. 113A-102" is well established and uniquely provided for under its enabling statute. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 113A-107. In 1978, the North Carolina Supreme Court concluded that "the Act properly delegates authority to the CRC to develop, adopt and amend State guidelines for the coastal area." *Adams v. NC Dep't of Natural & Economic Resources*, 295 N.C. 683, 698, 249 S.E. 2d 402, 411 (1978). The Commission provided an initial response on this issue in its September 1, 2022 Memorandum to Brian Liebman and William W. Peaslee, RRC Commission Counsel attached is a copy for your convenience.

During the RRC's September 15, 2022 meeting, RRC counsel was asked by one of the Commissioners for a response to the CRC's claim that that it has authority to adopt rules to set policies and guidelines. RRC counsel responded that the CRC could set policies and guidelines as contemplated by statute-just not by rulemaking. This response completely misunderstands the authority provided by the legislature to the CRC. As explained by the North Carolina Supreme Court, "amendments to the State guidelines by the CRC <u>are</u> considered administrative rule-making." *Adams*, 295 N.C. at 702, 249 S.E.2d at 413. (Emphasis added). This is consistent with the requirement that the CRC "shall not seek to implement or enforce against any person a policy, guideline, or other interpretive statement" unless it has "been adopted as a rule in accordance with this Article." N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-18. Thus, as authorized by the North Carolina Supreme Court, the CRC is authorized to set guidelines (including objectives, policies, and standards) regulating the public and private use of land and waters within the coastal area through rule-making.

These rules are not newly adopted but have been in existence for decades as part of the North Carolina Administrative Code pursuant to the very same statutory authority. This creates "a rebuttable presumption that" each "rule was adopted in accordance with Part 2 of the Article." *See* N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.9(a1). For the RRC to change course in 2022 and now assert that the CRC's long-standing rules are not within the authority

¹ This case was decided under an earlier iteration of the Administrative Procedure Act at N.C. Gen. Stat. 150A.

North Carolina Rules Review Commission November 23, 2022 Page 3 of 6

delegated to the agency by the General Assembly, is arbitrary and capricious and contrary to the North Carolina Supreme Court precedent.

In addition to addressing the RRC's generic objection regarding whether the rules are "Rules," the CRC has provided additional authority for specific rules that the RRC identified as lacking authority. For example, the RRC objected to 15A NCAC 07J .0208 claiming that CAMA does not authorize the circulation of CAMA permit application to other state agencies for review. However, the CRC was instructed by the General Assembly "to coordinate the issuance of permits" and consideration of variances under the Dredge & Fill Act and the Coastal Area Management Act "to avoid duplication and to create a single, expedited permitting process." N.C. Gen. Stat. § 113-229(e). Both statutes also provide for the CRC to adopt rules to implement these articles. See N.C. Gen. Stat. § 113-229(e) ("The CRC may adopt rules interpreting and applying the provisions of this section and rules specifying the procedures for obtaining a permit under this section.") and N.C. Gen. Stat. § 113A-124(c)(8) (The CRC has additional authority "[t]o adopt rules to implement this Article."). As noted by RRC counsel, a dredge and fill permit application is required to be circulated among State agencies and may be submitted to federal agencies. See RRC Staff opinion for 15A NCAC 07J .0208 attached to Objection Letter. Given the authority from the legislature requiring that the CRC create a single, expedited permitting process, this provision in the Dredge and Fill Act is sufficient to provide authorization for the CAMA permit applications to be circulated to state and federal agencies for review.

Based on the clarification provided in this letter, as well as the information previously submitted to the RRC, the CRC respectfully requests that the RRC rescind its earlier objection to these rules based on Section 150B-21.9(1).

2.C RC's Response to Objections based on N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.9(a)(2).

In its Objection Letter, the RRC objected to Rules 15A NCAC 07H .0501, .0502, .0503, .0505, .0506, .0507, .0508, .0509, .0510, .2305, 07J .0203, .0204, .0206, .0210, 07M .0201, .0202, .0401, .0402, .0403, .0601, .0603, .0701, .0703, .0704, .1001, .1002, .1101, and .1102 based on the claim that these rules were ambiguous. The majority of the RRC's objection to these rules is not specific to individual rules. To the extent that specific words or phrases were identified as ambiguous by the Objection Letter, the CRC has attempted to provide further clarifying language. *See e.g.*, technical changes provided for 15A NCAC 07J .0203, .0204, .0206, .0210, .0601, .0603, .0703, .0704. If there are other technical changes that the RRC believes would resolve any remaining ambiguity, the CRC is willing to consider further changes.

The perceived ambiguity that the RRC has identified in 15A NCAC 07H .2305 regarding the use of the phrase "significant adverse impact" continues to puzzle the CRC. The General Assembly has authorized denial of "an application for a dredge or fill permit upon finding . . . that there will be <u>significant adverse effect</u>" as a result of the proposed dredging and filling. N.C. Gen. Stat. §113-229(e) (emphasis added). The General Assembly clearly understands that determining whether there is a significant adverse impact is not ambiguous. As the CRC has previously explained, this phrase is "a term of art used in other rules and understood by the courts. *See, e.g., Shell Island Homeowners Assoc. v. Tomlinson*, 134 NC App. 217 (1999). The CRC has used this phrase, or similar phrase,

WWW.NCDOJ.GOV

919.716.6400

North Carolina Rules Review Commission November 23, 2022 Page 4 of 6

throughout its rules to require an assessment of the impact of the development on the natural resources. *See e.g.*, 15A NCAC 07H .0209 (throughout), 07H .0308, 07J .1101, .1102, 1201, 07K .0202, 07M .0402. Many, if not most, of these rules were recently readopted or amended without the RRC objecting to the rule language requiring an assessment of the impact. It is arbitrary and capricious for the RRC to claim the use of this phrase in one rule is ambiguous when that objection has not been consistently asserted by the RRC.

Based on the changes provided, as well as the clarifying information provided above, the CRC respectfully requests that the RRC rescind its earlier objection based on Section 150B-21.9(2).

3.C RC's Response to Objections based on N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.9(a)(3).

In its Objection Letter, the RRC objected to Rules 15A NCAC 07H .0501, .0502, .0503, .0505, .0506, .0507, .0508, .0509, .0510, 07I .0406, .0506, 07J .0203, .0206, 07M .0201, .0202, .0401, .0403, .0701, .1001, .1101 on the grounds these rules were not "reasonably necessary" pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. §150B-21.9(3). The majority of these rules are those the RRC contends are not "Rules" and therefore, it also objects under section 3 claiming "only 'Rules' can be reasonably necessary." *See* Objection Letter and attachments. In response, the CRC incorporates and relies on the arguments set forth above in Section 1 relating to N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.9(a)(1).

In its Objection Letter, the RRC objects to 15A NCAC 07I .0406 claiming that this rule simply restates information from "G.S. 113A-119.1 and in 15A NCAC 07J .0204." *See* RRC Staff Opinion for 15A NCAC 07I .0406 attached to the Opinion Letter. Even if true, this does not provide a basis for rejecting the rule as unnecessary. The General Assembly provides that "a brief statement that informs the public of a requirement imposed by law does not violate this subdivision and satisfies the "reasonably necessary" standard of review." N.C. Gen. Stat.§ 150B-19(4). In this rule, the CRC has provided a brief statement synthesizing information regarding the fee requirement found in two separate places. This is allowable under the Administrative Procedure Act. Moreover, the information included the middle sentence relating to "deficits" is not included elsewhere. Therefore, this rule is necessary, and the CRC respectfully requests the RRC rescind its earlier objection.

In its Objection Letter, the RRC also objects to 15A NCAC 07I .0506 on the basis that the rule is not reasonably necessary as it "re-states material regarding allocation of permit-letting authority that is contained in G.S. 113A-116, -118, and -121." *See* RRC Staff Opinion for 15A NCAC 07I .0506 attached to Objection Letter (cleaned up). Even if true, this does not provide a basis for rejecting the rule as unnecessary. The General Assembly has provided that "a brief statement that informs the public of a requirement imposed by law does not violate this subdivision and satisfies the "reasonably necessary" standard of review." N.C. Gen. Stat.§ 150B-19(4). Moreover, this rule provides additional clarifying information regarding boundaries and the extra-territorial zoning area subject to permit letting authority, and timeframes. This rule does not simply re-state material in the statute. Therefore, the rule is necessary, and the CRC respectfully requests the RRC rescind its earlier objection.

WWW.NCDOJ.GOV

4.C RC's Response to Objections based on N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.9(a)(4).

In the Objection Letter, the RRC objected to Rules 15A NCAC 07H .0501, .0502, .0503, .0505, .0506, .0507, .0508, .0509, .0510, 07J .0203, .0204, 07M .0201, .0202, .0401, .0403, .0701, .1001, .1101, .1102 for "failure to comply with the Part 2 of Article 2A of the Administrative Procedure Act pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.9(4)." This section of the NC Administrative Procedure Act provides procedures for the adoption of temporary rules, emergency rules, permanent rules, and the periodic review of existing rules. In the Objection Letter and the attachments to the Objection Letter, the RRC has not identified the manner in which it alleges the CRC failed to follow the rulemaking procedures set forth in Part 2 of this Article during its periodic review and re-adoption of these rules.

Moreover, if this objection is merely intended to indicate that the RRC does not believe these rules meet the definition of a "Rule," that objection is based on N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-19 which lists restrictions on what can be adopted as a rule in Part 1 of Article 2A of the Administrative Procedure Act—not in Part 2 of Article 2A. Therefore, a reference to Part 1 of Article 2A is not a proper basis for alleging that the rules were not adopted in accordance with Part 2 of Article 2A as required by N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.9(a)(4).

To the extent that the RRC is objecting to the procedure by which these rules were adopted by the CRC, we are providing the following information to address any such concern. As required by N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.3A(c), the CRC conducted an analysis of each existing rule and made an "initial determination as to whether the rule is necessary or unnecessary." The classifications were posted for public comment and submitted to the Office of Administrative Hearings for posting on its Web site. The CRC accepted public comment for sixty days after the determination was posted from February 20-April 20, 2017. The agency amended classifications, responded to all objections, and sent a final report to the RRC, including the public comments. Thereafter, the CRC re-adopted these rules as required by July 31, 2020 and sent them out for public comment. Twenty public hearings were held between November 17 and December 10, 2019 throughout the twenty coastal counties included within the Coastal Area Management Act. The public comment period ended December 31, 2019. No public comments were received, no changes were proposed, and no fiscal analysis was required. The CRC re-adopted the rules at its regularly scheduled meeting on February 12, 2020. Thereafter, the CRC began submitting its readopted rules to the RRC in manageable groupings. At the RRC's request, the last 132 readopted rules were submitted in one large group in June 2022. The RRC objected to 47 of the 132 rules in its September 2022 Objection Letter.

There are fifteen remaining rules for which the RRC's objection is based, in part, on an alleged failure to comply with Part 2 of Article 2A. However, the RRC has not identified any procedural flaws in the process used by the CRC to re-adopt these rules pursuant to the requirements for the periodic review of rules in Part 2 of Article 2A of the Administrative Procedure Act. In addition, an attachment to the specific objection for 15A NCAC 07M .1102 includes a highlighted reference to the procedures for adopting a permanent rule. Since the relevant procedure here relates to the periodic review of rules, the relevance of this attachment is unclear.

919.716.6400

North Carolina Rules Review Commission November 23, 2022 Page 6 of 6

If our understanding of the substance of this objection is incorrect, please provide specific information identifying the procedure established in N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.3A for the periodic review of existing rules or some other section included in Part 2 of Article 2A on which the RRC bases its objection. If there is no alleged flaw in the procedure by which these rules were re-adopted, the CRC respectfully requests that this objection be withdrawn.

In conclusion and based on the clarification provided in this letter, as well as the information previously submitted to the RRC, the CRC respectfully requests that the objections to each of the 38 rules addressed in this letter be withdrawn.

Sincerely,

Margh huaser

Mary L. Lucasse Special Deputy Attorney General Counsel to the CRC

cc: M. Renee Cahoon, CRC Chair, electronically Braxton C. Davis, DCM Director, electronically Mike Lopazanski, DCM Deputy Director, electronically Angela Willis, CRC Rulemaking Coordinator, electronically Jennifer Everett, DEQ Rulemaking Coordinator, electronically William Peaslee, RRC Counsel, electronically Brian Liebman, RRC Counsel, electronically Lawrence Duke, RRC Counsel, electronically Alex Burgos, Paralegal, Office of Administrative Hearings, electronically JOSH STEIN ATTORNEY GENERAL



REPLY TO: MARY L. LUCASSE (919) 716-6962 MLUCASSE@NCDOJ.GOV

January 18, 2023

North Carolina Rules Review Commission Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh, North Carolina 27609

> Re: CRC's Response to RRC's Objections for the following rules: 07H .0501, .0502, .0503, .0505, .0506, .0507, .0508, .0509, .0510, .2305; 07I .0406, .0504, .0506, .0508, .0511, .0602, .0702; 07J .0203, .0204; .0206, .0207, .0208, .0312; 07M .0201, .0202, .0401, .0402; .0403; .0701, .0703, .0704, .1001, .1002, .1101, and .1102

Dear Chair Doran and Commission Members:

On behalf of the North Carolina Coastal Resources Commission ("CRC"), please accept this letter as a follow-up to comments provided at the December 15, 2022 RRC meeting. Note, some of the rules are addressed in more than one category.

<u>First</u>, as set forth in more detail in our November 23, 2022 letter, RRC counsel continued to recommend the RRC object to the CRC's rules at **15A NCAC 07H .0501**, **.0502**, **.0503**, **.0505**, **.0506**, **.0507**, **.0508**, **.0509**, **.0510**, **07I .0504**, **.0508**, **.0511**, **.0602**, **.0702**, **07J .0203**, **.0206**, **.0207**, **.0208**, **.0312**, **07M .0201**, **.0202**, **.0401**, **.0403**, **.0701**, **.0704**, **.1001**, **.1002**, **and .1101** based on the allegation that "each of [these] . . . rules do not meet the definition of a "Rule" pursuant to G.S. 150B-2(8a)" and therefore the agency lacks the statutory authority to adopt these rules based on N.C. Gen. Stat. 150B-19.1(a)(1). This argument is simply incorrect. The CRC has authority to adopt "guidelines for the coastal area" through rulemaking consisting of "objectives, policies, and standards to be followed in public and private use of land and water areas within the coastal area" as recognized by the NC Supreme Court in *Adams v. Dept. of N.E.R*, 295 N.C. 683, 249 S.E.2d 402 (1978). The CRC respectfully requests the Commission approve these rules based on authority in Chapter 113A, Article 7 and the North Carolina Supreme Court's decision.

<u>Second</u>, following receipt of our November 23, 2022 letter, RRC counsel continued to recommend that the RRC object to the use of the term "significant adverse impact" as ambiguous. This recommendation should be rejected. The phrase "significant adverse impact" has been recently approved by the RRC in other CRC rules. Furthermore, the North Carolina appellate courts understand this term of art (*see, e.g., Shell Island Homeowners Assoc. v. Tomlinson,* 134 N.C. App. 217 (1999) and the General Assembly has authorized the application of this standard (using the very same phrase). *See* N.C.G.S. § 113-229(e). For these reasons, the CRC respectfully requests the RRC approve the following rules which include that phrase: **07H .0508; .2305, 07J .0203; 07M .0402; .0703**.

North Carolina Rules Review Commission January 18, 2023 Page 2

Third, following receipt of our November 23, 2022 letter, RRC counsel again recommended the RRC object to the following rules as unnecessary: 071.0406; .0506; .0702. These rules synthesize different sections of statutes as allowed under G.S. § 150B-19(4) and/or include additional information. I urge this Commission not to accept the Staff's recommendation for these rules. Specifically: The middle sentence in 07I .0406 regarding "deficits resulting from administrative costs" is not contained in statute. This sentence in the Rule addresses a situation that can arise when a local government handling the CAMA minor permits incurs costs greater than the permit fee collected from the applicant. This sentence allows the local program to cover that amount from other CAMA permit reimbursements. Similarly, 07I.0506 combines and consolidates the various requirements imposed by law for the benefit of the regulated public. The rule also includes additional information regarding extra-territorial areas which is not in the statute and is necessary to implement the article as allowed by G.S. § 113A-124(c)(5). Finally, 7I .0702 provides something more than is contained in case law or black letter law by specifying that the CRC, not a court or the OAH, determines whether a local permit-letting agency exceeds its authority. For these reasons, the CRC respectfully requests that the RRC determine these three rules are necessary and approve the rules.

<u>Finally</u>, the CRC has provided additional authority and/or technical changes to address the previous objections raised by RRC Staff Counsel in September and December, 2022 for the following rules: 07I .0508; .0511; 07J .0203; .0204; .0206; .0207; .0208 and 07M .0402, .0704, and .1102.

For the above stated reasons, we respectfully request the RRC approve the remaining re-adopted rules addressed in this letter pursuant to G.S. § 150B-21.3A. Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Sincerely,

Mary L. huan

Mary L. Lucasse Special Deputy Attorney General Counsel to the CRC

cc: M. Renee Cahoon, CRC Chair, electronically Braxton C. Davis, DCM Director, electronically Mike Lopazanski, DCM Deputy Director, electronically Angela Willis, CRC Rulemaking Coordinator, electronically Jennifer Everett, DEQ Rulemaking Coordinator, electronically William Peaslee, RRC Counsel, electronically Brian Liebman, RRC Counsel, electronically Lawrence Duke, RRC Counsel, electronically Alex Burgos, Paralegal, Office of Administrative Hearings, electronically 1 2 15A NCAC 07H .0208 is amended as published with changes in 37:15 NCR 1036-1046 as follows:

-			
3	15A NCAC 071	H .0208	USE STANDARDS
4	(a) General Use	e Standar	rds
5	(1)	Uses t	hat are not water dependent shall not be permitted in coastal wetlands, estuarine waters, and
6		public	trust areas. Restaurants, residences, apartments, motels, hotels, trailer parks, private roads,
7		factori	es, and parking lots are examples of uses that are not water dependent. Uses that are water
8		depen	dent include: utility crossings, wind energy facilities, docks, wharves, boat ramps, dredging,
9		bridge	s and bridge approaches, revetments, bulkheads, culverts, groins, navigational aids, mooring
10		pilings	s, navigational channels, access channels and drainage ditches;
11	(2)	Before	e being granted a permit, the CRC or local permitting authority shall find that the applicant
12		has co	mplied with the following standards:
13		(A)	The location, design, and need for development, as well as the construction activities
14			involved shall be consistent with the management objective of the Estuarine and Ocean
15			System AEC (Rule .0203 of this subchapter) System AEC in Rule .0203 of this Section
16			and shall be sited and designed to avoid significant adverse impacts upon the productivity
17			and biologic integrity of coastal wetlands, shellfish beds, submerged aquatic vegetation as
18			defined by the Marine Fisheries Commission, and spawning and nursery areas;
19		(B)	Development shall comply with State and federal water and air quality rules, statutes
20			statutes, and regulations;
21		(C)	Development shall not cause irreversible damage to documented archaeological or historic
22			resources as identified by the N.C. Department of Cultural resources; and Natural
23			Resources;
24		(D)	Development shall not increase siltation;
25		(E)	Development shall not create stagnant water bodies;
26		(F)	Development shall be timed to avoid significant adverse impacts on life cycles of estuarine
27			and ocean resources; and
28		(G)	Development shall not jeopardize the use of the waters for navigation or for other public
29			trust rights in public trust areas including estuarine waters.
30	(3)	When	the proposed development is in conflict with the general or specific use standards set forth in
31		this R	ule, the CRC may approve the development if the applicant can demonstrate that the activity
32		associ	ated with the proposed project will have public benefits as identified consistent with the
33		finding	gs and goals of the Coastal Area Management Act <u>identified in G.S. 113A-102</u> , as identified
34		in G.S	-113A-102, that the public benefits outweigh the long range adverse effects of the project,
35		that th	ere is no reasonable alternate site available for the project, and that all reasonable means and
36		measu	res to mitigate adverse impacts of the project have been incorporated into the project design

1		and shall be implemented at the applicant's expense. Measures taken to mitigate or minimize adverse
2		impacts shall include actions that:
3		(A) minimize or avoid adverse impacts by limiting the magnitude or degree of the action;
4		(B) restore the affected environment; or
5		(C) compensate for the adverse impacts by replacing or providing substitute resources.
6	(4)	"Primary nursery areas" are defined as those areas in the estuarine and ocean system where initial
7		post larval development of finfish and crustaceans takes place. <u>place, are</u> They are usually located
8		in the uppermost sections of a system where populations are uniformly early juvenile stages. Primary
9		nursery areas are designated and described by the N.C. Marine Fisheries Commission (MFC) and
10		by the N.C. Wildlife Resources Commission (WRC) at 15A NCAC 03R .0103;
11	(5)	"Outstanding Resource Waters" (ORW) are defined as those estuarine waters and public trust areas
12		classified by the N.C. Environmental Management Commission (EMC). EMC as defined in15A
13		NCAC 02B .0225. In those estuarine waters and public trust areas classified as ORW by the EMC
14		EMC, no permit required by the Coastal Area Management Act shall be approved for any project
15		which would be inconsistent with applicable use standards adopted by the CRC, EMC, or MFC for
16		estuarine waters, public trust areas, or coastal wetlands. For development activities not covered by
17		specific use standards, no permit shall be issued if the activity would, based on site specific
18		information, degrade the water quality or outstanding resource values; and
19	(6)	Beds of "submerged aquatic vegetation" (SAV) are defined as those habitats in public trust and
20		estuarine waters waters, that occur in both subtidal and intertidal zones and may occur in isolated
21		patches or cover extensive areas, vegetated with one or more species of submergent vegetation.
22		These vegetation beds occur in both subtidal and intertidal zones and may occur in isolated patches
23		or cover extensive areas. In either case, the bed is submerged aquatic vegetation beds are defined
24		by the Marine Fisheries Commission. Any rules relating to SAVs submerged aquatic vegetation
25		beds shall not apply to non-development control activities authorized by the Aquatic Weed Control
26		Act of 1991 (G.S. 113A-220 et seq.).
27	(b) Specific Us	e Standards
28	(1)	Navigation channels, canals, and boat basins shall be aligned or located so as to avoid primary
29		nursery areas, shellfish beds, beds of submerged aquatic vegetation as defined by the MFC, or areas
30		of coastal wetlands except as otherwise allowed within this Subchapter. Navigation channels, canals
31		and boat basins shall also comply with the following standards:
32		(A) Navigation channels and canals may <u>not</u> be allowed through fringes of regularly and ir-
33		regularly flooded coastal wetlands if the loss of wetlands will have no significant adverse
34		impacts on fishery resources, water quality quality, or adjacent wetlands, and if there is no
35		reasonable alternative that would avoid the wetland losses;

18

1		
1	(B)	All dredged material shall be confined landward of regularly and irregularly flooded
2		coastal wetlands and stabilized to prevent entry of sediments into the adjacent water bodies
3		or coastal wetlands;
4	(C)	Dredged material from maintenance of channels and canals through irregularly flooded
5		<u>coastal</u> wetlands shall be placed on non-wetland areas, remnant spoil piles, or disposed of
6		by a method having no significant, long term wetland impacts. Under no circumstances
7		shall dredged material be placed on regularly flooded wetlands. New dredged material
8		disposal areas shall not be located in the buffer area as outlined in 15A NCAC 07H
9		.0209(d)(10);
10	(D)	Widths of excavated canals and channels shall be the minimum required to meet the
11		applicant's needs but not impair water circulation;
12	(E)	Boat basin design shall maximize water exchange by having the widest possible opening
13		and the shortest practical entrance canal. Depths of boat basins shall decrease from the
14		waterward end inland;
15	(F)	Any canal or boat basin shall be excavated no deeper than the depth of the connecting
16		waters;
17	(G)	Construction of finger canal systems are not allowed. Canals shall be either straight or
18		meandering with no right angle corners;
19	(H)	Canals shall be designed so as not to create an erosion hazard to adjoining property. Design
20		may include shoreline stabilization, vegetative stabilization, or setbacks based on soil
21		characteristics; and
22	(I)	Maintenance excavation in canals, channels channels, and boat basins within primary
23		nursery areas and areas of submerged aquatic vegetation as defined by the MFC shall be
24		avoided. However, when essential to maintain a traditional and established use,
25		maintenance excavation may shall be approved if the applicant meets all of the following
26		criteria:
27		(i) The applicant demonstrates and documents that There has been navigational use
28		of the area; water dependent need exists for the excavation;
29		(ii) There exists a previously permitted channel that was constructed or maintained
30		under permits issued by the State or Federal federal government. If a natural
31		channel was in use, or if a human-made channel was constructed before permitting
32		was necessary, there shall be evidence that the channel was continuously used for
33		a specific purpose;
34		(iii) Excavated material can be removed and placed in a disposal area in accordance
35		with Part (b)(1)(B) and Part (b)(1)(C) of this Rule without impacting adjacent
36		nursery areas and submerged aquatic vegetation as defined by the MFC; and

1			(iv) The original depth and width of a human-made or natural channel shall not be
2			increased to allow a new or expanded use of the channel. channel; and
3			(v) Consistent with the provisions of G.S. 113-229.
4	(2)	Hydrau	alic Dredging
5		(A)	The terminal end of the dredge pipeline shall be positioned at a distance sufficient to
6			preclude erosion of the containment dike and a maximum distance from spillways to allow
7			settlement of suspended solids;
8		(B)	Dredged material shall be either confined on high ground by retaining structures or
9			deposited on beaches for purposes of renourishment if the material is suitable in accordance
10			with <u>15A NCAC 7H .0208(b)(8) and 15A NCAC 7H .0312</u> and the rules in this Subchapter,
11			except as provided in Part (G) of this Subparagraph;
12		(C)	Confinement of excavated materials shall be landward of all coastal wetlands and shall
13			employ soil stabilization measures to prevent entry of sediments into the adjacent water
14			bodies or coastal wetlands;
15		(D)	Effluent from diked areas receiving disposal from hydraulic dredging operations shall be
16			contained by pipe, trough, or similar device to a point waterward of emergent vegetation
17			or, where local conditions require, below normal low water or normal water level;
18		(E)	When possible, effluent from diked disposal areas shall be returned to the area being
19			dredged;
20		(F)	A water control structure shall be installed at the intake end of the effluent pipe;
21		(G)	Publicly funded projects shall be considered by review agencies on a case-by-case basis
22			with respect to dredging methods and dredged material disposal in accordance with
23			Subparagraph (a)(3) of this Rule; and
24		(H)	Dredged material from closed shellfish waters and effluent from diked disposal areas used
25			when dredging in closed shellfish waters shall be returned to the closed shellfish waters.
26	(3)	Draina	ge Ditches
27		(A)	Drainage ditches located through any coastal wetland shall not exceed six feet wide by four
28			feet deep (from ground surface) unless the applicant shows that larger ditches are
29			necessary;
30		(B)	Dredged material derived from the construction or maintenance of drainage ditches through
31			regularly flooded marsh shall be placed landward of these marsh areas in a manner that
32			will insure that entry of sediment into the water or marsh will not occur. Dredged material
33			derived from the construction or maintenance of drainage ditches through irregularly
34			flooded marshes shall be placed on non-wetlands wherever feasible. Non-wetland areas
35			include <mark>relic</mark> <u>existing</u> disposal sites;
36		(C)	Excavation of new ditches through high ground shall take place landward of an earthen
37			plug or other methods to minimize siltation to adjacent water bodies; and

1		(D)	Drainage ditches shall not have a significant adverse impact on primary nursery areas,
2			productive shellfish beds, submerged aquatic vegetation as defined by the MFC, or other
3			estuarine habitat. Drainage ditches shall be designed so as to minimize the effects of
4			freshwater inflows, sediment, and the introduction of nutrients to receiving waters. Settling
5			basins, water gates, and retention structures are examples of design alternatives that
6			may be used to minimize sediment introduction.
7	(4)	Nonagri	icultural Drainage
8		(A)	Drainage ditches shall be designed so that restrictions in the volume or diversions of flow
9			are minimized to both surface and ground water;
10		(B)	Drainage ditches shall provide for the passage of migratory organisms by allowing free
11		()	passage of water of sufficient depth; depth required to allow passage of those migratory
12			organism; and
13		(C)	Drainage ditches shall not create stagnant water pools or changes in the velocity of flow.
14	(5)	Marinas	s. "Marinas" are defined as any publicly or privately owned dock, basin basin, or wet boat
15		storage	facility constructed to accommodate more than 10 boats and providing any of the following
16		services	s: permanent or transient docking spaces, dry storage, fueling facilities, haulout facilities,
17		and rep	bair service. Excluded from this definition are boat ramp facilities allowing access only,
18		tempora	ary docking, and none of the preceding services. Expansion of existing facilities shall comply
19		with the	e standards of this Subparagraph for all development other than maintenance and repair
20		necessar	ry to maintain previous service levels. Marinas shall comply with the following standards:
21		(A)	Marinas shall be sited in non-wetland areas or in deep waters water (areas areas not
22			requiring dredging) dredging, and shall not disturb shellfish resources, submerged aquatic
23			vegetation as defined by the MFC, or wetland habitats, except for dredging necessary for
24			access to high-ground sites. The following four alternatives for siting marinas are listed in
25			order of preference for the least damaging alterative; marina projects shall be designed to
26			accommodate have the highest of these four priorities: priorities that is deemed feasible by
27			the permit letting agency:
28			(i) an upland basin site requiring no alteration of wetland or estuarine habitat and
29			providing flushing by tidal or wind generated water circulation or basin design
30			characteristics;
31			(ii) an upland basin site requiring dredging for access when the necessary dredging
32			and operation of the marina will not result in significant adverse impacts to
33			existing fishery, shellfish, or wetland resources and the basin design shall provide
34			flushing by tidal or wind generated water circulation;
35			(iii) an open water site located outside a primary nursery area which utilizes piers or
36			docks rather than channels or canals to reach deeper water; and

1		
1 2		(iv) an open water marina requiring excavation of no intertidal habitat, and no dredging greater than the depth of the connecting channel.
	(D)	
3	(B)	Marinas that require dredging shall not be located in primary nursery areas nor in areas
4		which require dredging through primary nursery areas for access. Maintenance dredging
5		in primary nursery areas for existing marinas shall comply with the standards set out in
6		Part (b)(1)(I) of this Rule;
7	(C)	To minimize coverage of public trust areas by docks and moored vessels, dry storage
8		marinas shall be used where feasible;
9	(D)(C)	Marinas to be developed in waters subject to public trust rights, rights (other other than
10		those created by dredging upland basins or canals) <u>canals</u> , for the purpose of providing
11		docking for residential developments shall be allowed no more than 27 square feet of public
12		trust areas for every one linear foot of shoreline adjacent to these public trust areas for
13		construction of docks and mooring facilities. The 27 square feet allocation does not apply
14		to fairway areas between parallel piers or any portion of the pier used only for access from
15		land to the docking spaces;
16	(E)(D)	To protect water quality in shellfishing areas, marinas shall not be located within areas
17		where shellfish harvesting for human consumption is a significant existing use or adjacent
18		to such areas if shellfish harvest closure <mark>is anticipated to will</mark> result from the location of the
19		marina. In compliance with 33 U.S.C.§ U.S. Code Section 101(a)(2) of the Clean Water
20		Act and North Carolina Water Quality Standards <mark>(15A NCAC 02B .0200)</mark> 15A NCAC 02B
21		.0200 adopted pursuant to that section, shellfish harvesting is a significant existing use if it
22		can be established that shellfish have been regularly harvested for human consumption
23		since November 28, 1975 or that shellfish are propagating and surviving in a biologically
24		suitable habitat and are available and suitable for harvesting for the purpose of human
25		consumption. consumption as determined by the NC Division of Marine Fisheries in
26		accordance with 15A NCAC 18A .0900. The Division of Coastal Management shall
27		consult with the Division of Marine Fisheries regarding the significance of shellfish harvest
28		as an existing use and the magnitude of the quantities of shellfish that have been harvested
29		or are available for harvest in the area where harvest will be affected by the development;
30	<mark>(Ғ)(Е)</mark>	Marinas shall not be located without written consent from the leaseholders or owners of
31		submerged lands that have been leased from the state State or deeded by the State;
32	(<u>G)(F)</u>	Marina basins shall be designed to promote flushing through the following design criteria:
33		(i) the basin and channel depths shall gradually increase toward open water and shall
34		never be deeper than the waters to which they connect; and
35		(ii) when possible, an opening shall be provided at opposite ends of the basin to
36		establish flow-through circulation;
50		comonon now unough enfoundation,

1		<u>(Н)(G)</u>	Marinas shall be designed so that the capability of the waters to be used for navigation or
2			for other public trust rights in estuarine or public trust waters are not jeopardized while
3			allowing the applicant access to deep waters;
4		(I)(I)	Marinas shall be located and constructed so as to avoid adverse impacts on navigation
5			throughout all federally maintained channels and their boundaries as designated by the US
6			Army Corps of Engineers. This includes permanent or temporary mooring sites; speed or
7			traffic reductions; or any other device, either physical or regulatory, that may cause a
8			federally maintained channel to be restricted;
9		<mark>(J)(I)</mark>	Open water marinas shall not be enclosed within breakwaters that preclude circulation
10			sufficient to maintain water quality; as determined by the Division of Water Resources.
11		<mark>(K)(J)</mark>	Marinas that require dredging shall provide areas in accordance with Part (b)(1)(B) of this
12			Rule to accommodate disposal needs for future maintenance dredging, including the ability
13			to remove the dredged material from the marina site;
14		<mark>(L)(K)</mark>	Marina design shall comply with all applicable EMC requirements (15A NCAC 02B .0200)
15			15A NCAC 02B .0200 for management of stormwater runoff. Stormwater management
16			systems shall not be located within the 30-foot buffer area outlined in 15A NCAC 07H
17			.0209(d);
18		(<u>M)(L)</u>	Marinas shall post a notice prohibiting the discharge of any waste from boat toilets and
19			listing the availability of local pump-out services;
20		(<u>N)(M)</u>	Boat maintenance areas shall be designed so that all scraping, sandblasting, and painting
21			will be done over dry land with collection and containment devices that prevent entry of
22			waste materials into adjacent waters;
23		(() ()	All marinas shall comply with all applicable standards for docks and piers, shoreline
24			stabilization, dredging and dredged material disposal of this Rule; pursuant to 15A NCAC
25			7H.0208;
26		<mark>(Р)(О)</mark>	All applications for marinas shall be reviewed by the Division of Coastal Management to
27			determine their potential impact to coastal resources and compliance with applicable
28			standards of this Rule. Such review shall also consider the cumulative impacts of marina
29			development in accordance with G.S. 113A-120(a)(10); and
30		<mark>(Q)(P)</mark>	Replacement of existing marinas to maintain previous service levels shall be allowed
31			provided that the development complies with the standards for marina development within
32			this Section.
33	(6)	Piers ar	nd Docking Facilities.
34	(~)	(A)	Piers shall not exceed six feet in width. Piers greater than six feet in width shall be permitted
35		()	only if the greater width is necessary for safe use, to improve public access, or to support
36			a water dependent use that cannot otherwise occur;
50			

1	(D)	The set of second fractions of the deal fraction dealer whether a later and
1	(B)	The total square footage of shaded impact for docks docks, platforms, platforms, and
2		mooring facilities (excluding the pier) allowed shall be eight square feet per linear foot of
3		shoreline with a maximum of 2,000 square feet. feet to limit shading impacts to the
4		substrate. In calculating the shaded impact, the total square footage, uncovered open water
5		slips shall not be counted in the total. Projects requiring dimensions greater than those
6		stated in this Rule shall be permitted only if the greater dimensions are necessary for safe
7		use, to improve public access, or to support a water dependent use that cannot otherwise
8		occur. Size restrictions shall not apply to marinas;
9	(C)	Piers and docking facilities over coastal wetlands shall be no wider than six feet and shall
10		be elevated at least three feet above any coastal wetland substrate as measured from the
11		bottom of the decking;
12	(D)	A boathouse shall not exceed 400 square feet except to accommodate a documented need
13		need, provided to the Division of Coastal Management by the application applicant for a
14		larger boathouse and shall have sides extending no farther than one-half the height of the
15		walls as measured from the Normal Water Level or Normal High Water to the bottom edge
16		of the roofline, and covering only the top half of the walls. Measurements of square footage
17		shall be taken of the greatest exterior dimensions. Boathouses shall not be allowed on lots
18		with less than 75 linear feet of shoreline, except that structural boat covers utilizing a frame-
19		supported fabric covering may be permitted on properties with less than 75 linear feet of
20		shoreline when using screened fabric for side walls. Size restrictions do not apply to
21		marinas;
22	(E)	The total area enclosed by an individual boat lift shall not exceed 400 square feet except to
23		accommodate a documented need for a larger boat lift;
24	(F)	Piers and docking facilities shall be single story. They may be roofed but shall not be
25		designed to allow second story use;
26	(G)	Pier and docking facility length shall be limited by:
27		(i) not extending beyond the established pier or docking facility length along the
28		same shoreline for similar use. This restriction does not apply to piers 100 feet or
29		less in length unless necessary to avoid unreasonable interference with navigation
30		or other uses of the waters by the public;
31		(ii)(i) not extending into the channel portion of the water body; and
32		(iii)(ii) not extending more than one-fourth the width of a natural water body, or human-
33		made canal or basin. Measurements to determine widths of the water body, canals,
34		or basins shall be made from the waterward edge of any coastal wetland
35		vegetation that borders the water body. The one-fourth length limitation does not
36		apply in areas where the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, or a local government in
37		consultation with the Corps of Engineers, has established an official pier-head

1				line. The one-fourth length limitation shall not apply when the proposed pier is
2				located between longer piers or docking facilities within 200 feet of the applicant's
3				property. However, the proposed pier or docking facility shall not be longer than
4				the pier head line established by the adjacent piers or docking facilities, nor longer
5				than one third the width of the water body.
6				(iii) Notwithstanding (i) and (ii), the proposed pier or docking facility shall not be
7				longer than the pier head line established by the piers or docking facilities along
8				the same contiguous shoreline having the same land use, nor longer than one-third
9				the width of the water body. This restriction does not apply to piers 100 feet or
10				less in length unless necessary to avoid unreasonable interference with navigation
11				or other uses of the waters by the public.
12			(H)	Piers or docking facilities longer than 400 feet shall be permitted only if the proposed
13				length gives access to deeper water at a rate of at least 1 foot for each 100 foot increment
14				of length longer than 400 feet, or, if the additional length is necessary to span some
15				obstruction to navigation. Measurements to determine lengths shall be made from the
16				waterward edge of any coastal wetland vegetation that borders the water body;
17			(I)	Piers and docking facilities shall not interfere with the access to any riparian property and
18				shall have a minimum setback of 15 feet between any part of the pier or docking facility
19				and the adjacent property owner's areas of riparian access. The line of division of areas of
20				riparian access shall be established by drawing a line along the channel or deep water in
21				front of the properties, then drawing a line perpendicular to the line of the channel so that
22				it intersects with the shore at the point the upland property line meets the water's edge. The
23				minimum setback provided in the rule may be waived by the written agreement of the
24				adjacent riparian owner(s) or when two adjoining riparian owners are co-applicants. If the
25				adjacent property is sold before construction of the pier or docking facility commences, the
26				applicant shall obtain a written agreement with the new owner waiving the minimum
27				setback and submit it to the permitting agency prior to initiating any development of the
28				pier. Application of this Rule may be aided by reference to the approved diagram in 15A
29				NCAC 07H .1205(t) illustrating the rule as applied to various shoreline configurations.
30				When shoreline configuration is such that a perpendicular alignment cannot be achieved,
31				the pier shall be aligned to meet the intent of this Rule to the maximum extent practicable
32				as determined by the Director of the Division of Coastal Management; and
33			(J)	Applicants for authorization to construct a pier or docking facility shall provide notice of
34				the permit application to the owner of any part of a shellfish franchise or lease over which
35				the proposed dock or pier would extend. The applicant shall allow the lease holder the
36				opportunity to mark a navigation route from the pier to the edge of the lease.
37	(7))	Bulkhe	ads

1		(A)	Bulkhe	ad alignment, for the purpose of shoreline stabilization, shall approximate the
2			locatior	n of normal high water or normal water level;
3		(B)	Bulkhe	ads shall be constructed landward of coastal wetlands in order to avoid significant
4			adverse	impacts to the <u>coastal</u> resources;
5		(C)	Bulkhe	ad backfill material shall be obtained from an upland source approved by the
6			Divisio	n of Coastal Management pursuant to this Section, or if the bulkhead is a part of a
7			permitte	ed project involving excavation from a non-upland source, the material so obtained
8			may be	contained behind the bulkhead;
9		(D)	Bulkhe	ads shall be permitted below normal high water or normal water level only when
10			the foll	owing standards are met:
11			(i)	the property to be bulkheaded has an identifiable erosion problem, whether it
12				results from natural causes or adjacent bulkheads, or it has unusual geographic or
13				geologic features, e.g. steep grade <u>bank;</u> bank, which will cause the applicant
14				unreasonable hardship under the other provisions of this Rule;
15			(ii)	the bulkhead alignment extends no further below normal high water or normal
16				water level than necessary to allow recovery of the area eroded in the year prior
17				to the date of application, to align with adjacent bulkheads, or to mitigate the
18				unreasonable hardship resulting from the unusual geographic or geologic features;
10			<i>(</i>)	
19			(iii)	the bulkhead alignment will not adversely impact public trust rights or the
19 20			(111)	the bulkhead alignment will not adversely impact public trust rights or the property of adjacent riparian owners; and;
			(111) (iv)	
20				property of adjacent riparian owners; and;
20 21				property of adjacent riparian owners; and; the need for a bulkhead below normal high water or normal water level is do-
20 21 22		(E)	(iv) (iv)	property of adjacent riparian owners; and; the need for a bulkhead below normal high water or normal water level is do cumented by the Division of Coastal Management; and
20 21 22 23	(8)		(iv) (iv)	property of adjacent riparian owners; <u>and;</u> <u>the need for a bulkhead below normal high water or normal water level is do-</u> <u>cumented by the Division of Coastal Management; and</u> the property to be bulkheaded is in a non-oceanfront area. possible, sloping rip-rap, gabions, or vegetation shall be used rather than bulkheads.
20 21 22 23 24	(8)		(iv) (v)(iv) Where j	property of adjacent riparian owners; <u>and;</u> <u>the need for a bulkhead below normal high water or normal water level is do-</u> <u>cumented by the Division of Coastal Management; and</u> the property to be bulkheaded is in a non-oceanfront area. possible, sloping rip-rap, gabions, or vegetation shall be used rather than bulkheads.
20 21 22 23 24 25	(8)	Beach	(iv) (v)(iv) Where p Nourishm Beach c	property of adjacent riparian owners; and; the need for a bulkhead below normal high water or normal water level is do cumented by the Division of Coastal Management; and the property to be bulkheaded is in a non-oceanfront area. possible, sloping rip-rap, gabions, or vegetation shall be used rather than bulkheads.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	(8)	Beach	(iv) (v)(iv) Where p Nourishm Beach c	property of adjacent riparian owners; <u>and;</u> <u>the need for a bulkhead below normal high water or normal water level is do</u> <u>cumented by the Division of Coastal Management; and</u> the property to be bulkheaded is in a non-oceanfront area. possible, sloping rip-rap, gabions, or vegetation shall be used rather than bulkheads. nent creation or maintenance <u>may shall</u> be allowed to enhance water related recreational
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	(8)	Beach	(iv) (v)(iv) Where p Nourishm Beach c facilitie	property of adjacent riparian owners; <u>and;</u> the need for a bulkhead below normal high water or normal water level is do cumented by the Division of Coastal Management; and the property to be bulkheaded is in a non-oceanfront area. possible, sloping rip-rap, gabions, or vegetation shall be used rather than bulkheads. nent creation or maintenance <u>may shall</u> be allowed to enhance water related recreational as for public, commercial, and private use consistent with the following:
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	(8)	Beach	(iv) (v)(iv) Where p Nourishm Beach c facilitie	property of adjacent riparian owners; <u>and;</u> the need for a bulkhead below normal high water or normal water level is do cumented by the Division of Coastal Management; and the property to be bulkheaded is in a non-oceanfront area. possible, sloping rip-rap, gabions, or vegetation shall be used rather than bulkheads. nent creation or maintenance <u>may shall</u> be allowed to enhance water related recreational as for public, commercial, and private use consistent with the following: Beaches <u>may be created or maintained</u> <u>are located</u> in areas where they have
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	(8)	Beach	(iv) (v)(iv) Where p Nourishm Beach c facilitie (i)	property of adjacent riparian owners; <u>and;</u> the need for a bulkhead below normal high water or normal water level is do cumented by the Division of Coastal Management; and the property to be bulkheaded is in a non-oceanfront area. possible, sloping rip-rap, gabions, or vegetation shall be used rather than bulkheads. nent creation or maintenance <u>may shall</u> be allowed to enhance water related recreational as for public, commercial, and private use consistent with the following: Beaches <u>may be created or maintained</u> <u>are located</u> in areas where they have historically been found due to natural processes;
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	(8)	Beach	(iv) (v)(iv) Where p Nourishm Beach c facilitie (i)	property of adjacent riparian owners; <u>and</u> ; the need for a bulkhead below normal high water or normal water level is do cumented by the Division of Coastal Management; and the property to be bulkheaded is in a non-oceanfront area. possible, sloping rip-rap, gabions, or vegetation shall be used rather than bulkheads. nent creation or maintenance <u>may shall</u> be allowed to enhance water related recreational as for public, commercial, and private use consistent with the following: Beaches <u>may be created or maintained</u> <u>are located</u> in areas where they have historically been found due to natural processes; Material placed in the water and along the shoreline shall be clean <u>sand</u> . sand and
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	(8)	Beach	(iv) (v)(iv) Where p Nourishm Beach o facilitie (i) (ii)	property of adjacent riparian owners; <u>and;</u> the need for a bulkhead below normal high water or normal water level is do cumented by the Division of Coastal Management; and the property to be bulkheaded is in a non-oceanfront area. possible, sloping rip-rap, gabions, or vegetation shall be used rather than bulkheads. nent creation or maintenance <u>may shall</u> be allowed to enhance water related recreational as for public, commercial, and private use consistent with the following: Beaches <u>may be created or maintained are located</u> in areas where they have historically been found due to natural processes; Material placed in the water and along the shoreline shall be clean <u>sand</u> . sand and free from pollutants. Grain size shall be equal to that found naturally at the site;
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	(8)	Beach	(iv) (v)(iv) Where p Nourishm Beach o facilitie (i) (ii)	property of adjacent riparian owners; <u>and</u> ; the need for a bulkhead below normal high water or normal water level is do cumented by the Division of Coastal Management; and the property to be bulkheaded is in a non-oceanfront area. possible, sloping rip-rap, gabions, or vegetation shall be used rather than bulkheads. nent creation or maintenance <u>may shall</u> be allowed to enhance water related recreational as for public, commercial, and private use consistent with the following: Beaches <u>may be created or maintained are located</u> in areas where they have historically been found due to natural processes; Material placed in the water and along the shoreline shall be clean <u>sand</u> . sand and free from pollutants. Grain size shall be equal to that found naturally at the site; Beach creation shall not be allowed in primary nursery areas, nor in any areas
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	(8)	Beach	(iv) (v)(iv) Where J Nourishm Beach o facilitie (i) (ii) (iii)	property of adjacent riparian owners; and: the need for a bulkhead below normal high water or normal water level is do cumented by the Division of Coastal Management; and the property to be bulkheaded is in a non-oceanfront area. possible, sloping rip-rap, gabions, or vegetation shall be used rather than bulkheads. nent creation or maintenance may shall be allowed to enhance water related recreational is for public, commercial, and private use consistent with the following: Beaches may be created or maintained are located in areas where they have historically been found due to natural processes; Material placed in the water and along the shoreline shall be clean sand. sand and free from pollutants. Grain size shall be equal to that found naturally at the site; Beach creation shall not be allowed in primary nursery areas, nor in any areas where siltation from the site would pose a threat to shellfish beds;

1			(v) Material shall not be placed on any submerged bottom with significant shellfish
2			resources as identified by the Division of Marine Fisheries during the permit
3			review; and
4			(vi) Beach construction shall not ereate the potential for cause filling of adjacent
5			navigation channels, canals <u>canals</u> , or boat basins.
6		(B)	Placing unconfined sand material in the water and along the shoreline shall not be allowed
7			as a method of shoreline erosion control;
8		(C)	Material from dredging projects may be used for beach nourishment if:
9			(i) it is first handled in a manner consistent with dredged material disposal as set forth
10			in this Rule, <u>15A NCAC 7H .0208;</u>
11			(ii) it is allowed to dry prior to being placed on the beach; and
12			(iii) only that material of acceptable grain size as set forth in Subpart (b)(8)(A)(ii) of
13			this Rule is removed from the disposal site for placement on the beach. Material
14			shall not be placed directly on the beach by dredge or dragline during maintenance
15			excavation.
16		(D)	Beach construction shall comply with State and federal water quality standards;
17		(<u>E)(D)</u>	The renewal of permits for beach nourishment projects shall require an evaluation by the
18			Division of Coastal Management of any significant adverse impacts of the original work;
19			and
20		(F))(E)	Permits issued for beach nourishment shall be limited to authorizing beach nourishment
21			only one time.
22	(9)	Groins	
23		(A)	Groins shall not extend more than 25 feet waterward of the normal high water or normal
24			water level unless a longer structure is justified by site specific conditions and by an
25			individual who meets any North Carolina occupational licensing requirements for the type
26			of structure being proposed and approved during the application process;
27		(B)	Groins shall be set back a minimum of 15 feet from the adjoining riparian lines. The setback
28			for rock groins shall be measured from the toe of the structure. This setback may be waived
29			by written agreement of the adjacent riparian owner(s) or when two adjoining riparian
30			owners are co-applicants. Should the adjacent property be sold before construction of the
31			groin commences, the applicant shall obtain a written agreement with the new owner
32			waiving the minimum setback and submit it to the permitting agency prior to initiating any
33			
55			development of the groin;
34		(C)	development of the groin; Groins shall pose no threat to navigation;
		(C) (D)	

1		(E)	No more than two structures shall be allowed per 100 feet of shoreline unless the applicant
2			provides evidence the Division of Coastal Management a design showing that more
3			structures are needed for shoreline stabilization. The groin structures shall be designed by
4			an individual who meets any North Carolina occupational licensing requirements for the
5			structures being proposed.
6		(F)	"L" and "T" sections shall not be allowed at the end of groins; and
7		(G)	Riprap material used for groin construction shall be free from loose dirt or any other
8			pollutant and of a size sufficient to prevent its movement from the site by wave and current
9			action.
10	(10)	"Frees	standing Moorings".
11		(A)	A "freestanding mooring" is any means to attach a ship, boat, vessel, floating structure
12			structure, or other water craft to a stationary underwater device, mooring buoy, buoyed
13			anchor, or piling as long as the piling is not associated with an existing or proposed pier,
14			dock, or boathouse;
15		(B)	Freestanding moorings shall be permitted only:
16		()	(i) to riparian property owners within their riparian corridors; or
17			(ii) to any applicant proposing to locate a mooring buoy consistent with a water use
18			plan that is included in either the local zoning or land use plan.plan; or
19			(iii) is associated with commercial shipping, public service, or temporary construction
20			or salvage operations.
20 21		(C)	or salvage operations. All mooring fields shall provide an area for access to any mooring(s) moorings and other
		(C)	
21		(C)	All mooring fields shall provide an area for access to any mooring(s) moorings and other
21 22		(C) (D)	All mooring fields shall provide an area for access to any mooring(s) moorings and other land based operations that shall include wastewater pumpout, trash disposal disposal, and
21 22 23			All mooring fields shall provide an area for access to any mooring(s) moorings and other land based operations that shall include wastewater pumpout, trash disposal disposal, and vehicle parking;
21 22 23 24			All mooring fields shall provide an area for access to any mooring(s) moorings and other land based operations that shall include wastewater pumpout, trash disposal disposal, and vehicle parking; To protect water quality of shellfishing areas, mooring fields shall not be located within
21 22 23 24 25			All mooring fields shall provide an area for access to any mooring(s) moorings and other land based operations that shall include wastewater pumpout, trash disposal disposal, and vehicle parking; To protect water quality of shellfishing areas, mooring fields shall not be located within areas where shellfish harvesting for human consumption is a significant existing use or
21 22 23 24 25 26			All mooring fields shall provide an area for access to any mooring(s) moorings and other land based operations that shall include wastewater pumpout, trash disposal disposal, and vehicle parking; To protect water quality of shellfishing areas, mooring fields shall not be located within areas where shellfish harvesting for human consumption is a significant existing use or adjacent to such areas if shellfish harvest closure is anticipated to will result from the
21 22 23 24 25 26 27			All mooring fields shall provide an area for access to any mooring(s) moorings and other land based operations that shall include wastewater pumpout, trash disposal disposal, and vehicle parking; To protect water quality of shellfishing areas, mooring fields shall not be located within areas where shellfish harvesting for human consumption is a significant existing use or adjacent to such areas if shellfish harvest closure is anticipated to will result from the location of the mooring field. In compliance with Section 101(a)(2) of the Federal Water
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28			All mooring fields shall provide an area for access to any mooring(s) moorings and other land based operations that shall include wastewater pumpout, trash disposal disposal, and vehicle parking; To protect water quality of shellfishing areas, mooring fields shall not be located within areas where shellfish harvesting for human consumption is a significant existing use or adjacent to such areas if shellfish harvest closure is anticipated to will result from the location of the mooring field. In compliance with Section 101(a)(2) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 (a)(2), and North Carolina Water Quality Standards
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29			All mooring fields shall provide an area for access to any mooring(s) moorings and other land based operations that shall include wastewater pumpout, trash disposal disposal, and vehicle parking; To protect water quality of shellfishing areas, mooring fields shall not be located within areas where shellfish harvesting for human consumption is a significant existing use or adjacent to such areas if shellfish harvest closure is anticipated to will result from the location of the mooring field. In compliance with Section 101(a)(2) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 (a)(2), and North Carolina Water Quality Standards adopted pursuant to that section, shellfish harvesting is a significant existing use if it can
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30			All mooring fields shall provide an area for access to any mooring(s) moorings and other land based operations that shall include wastewater pumpout, trash disposal disposal, and vehicle parking; To protect water quality of shellfishing areas, mooring fields shall not be located within areas where shellfish harvesting for human consumption is a significant existing use or adjacent to such areas if shellfish harvest closure is anticipated to will result from the location of the mooring field. In compliance with Section 101(a)(2) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 (a)(2), and North Carolina Water Quality Standards adopted pursuant to that section, shellfish harvesting is a significant existing use if it can be established that shellfish have been regularly harvested for human consumption since
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31			All mooring fields shall provide an area for access to any mooring(s) moorings and other land based operations that shall include wastewater pumpout, trash disposal disposal, and vehicle parking; To protect water quality of shellfishing areas, mooring fields shall not be located within areas where shellfish harvesting for human consumption is a significant existing use or adjacent to such areas if shellfish harvest closure is anticipated to will result from the location of the mooring field. In compliance with Section 101(a)(2) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 (a)(2), and North Carolina Water Quality Standards adopted pursuant to that section, shellfish harvesting is a significant existing use if it can be established that shellfish have been regularly harvested for human consumption since November 28, 1975 or that shellfish are propagating and surviving in a biologically suitable
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32			All mooring fields shall provide an area for access to any mooring(s) moorings and other land based operations that shall include wastewater pumpout, trash disposal disposal, and vehicle parking; To protect water quality of shellfishing areas, mooring fields shall not be located within areas where shellfish harvesting for human consumption is a significant existing use or adjacent to such areas if shellfish harvest closure is anticipated to will result from the location of the mooring field. In compliance with Section 101(a)(2) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 (a)(2), and North Carolina Water Quality Standards adopted pursuant to that section, shellfish harvesting is a significant existing use if it can be established that shellfish have been regularly harvested for human consumption since November 28, 1975 or that shellfish are propagating and surviving in a biologically suitable habitat and are available and suitable for harvesting for the purpose of human consumption.
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33			All mooring fields shall provide an area for access to any mooring(s) moorings and other land based operations that shall include wastewater pumpout, trash disposal disposal, and vehicle parking; To protect water quality of shellfishing areas, mooring fields shall not be located within areas where shellfish harvesting for human consumption is a significant existing use or adjacent to such areas if shellfish harvest closure is anticipated to will result from the location of the mooring field. In compliance with Section 101(a)(2) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 (a)(2), and North Carolina Water Quality Standards adopted pursuant to that section, shellfish harvesting is a significant existing use if it can be established that shellfish have been regularly harvested for human consumption since November 28, 1975 or that shellfish are propagating and surviving in a biologically suitable habitat and are available and suitable for harvesting for the purpose of human consumption. consumption as determined by the Division of Marine Fisheries in accordance with 15A
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34			All mooring fields shall provide an area for access to any mooring(s) moorings and other land based operations that shall include wastewater pumpout, trash disposal disposal, and vehicle parking; To protect water quality of shellfishing areas, mooring fields shall not be located within areas where shellfish harvesting for human consumption is a significant existing use or adjacent to such areas if shellfish harvest closure is anticipated to will result from the location of the mooring field. In compliance with Section 101(a)(2) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 (a)(2), and North Carolina Water Quality Standards adopted pursuant to that section, shellfish harvesting is a significant existing use if it can be established that shellfish are propagating and surviving in a biologically suitable habitat and are available and suitable for harvesting for the purpose of human consumption. consumption as determined by the Division of Marine Fisheries in accordance with 15A NCAC 18A .0900. The Division of Marine Fisheries shall be consulted regarding the
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35			All mooring fields shall provide an area for access to any mooring(s) moorings and other land based operations that shall include wastewater pumpout, trash disposal disposal, and vehicle parking; To protect water quality of shellfishing areas, mooring fields shall not be located within areas where shellfish harvesting for human consumption is a significant existing use or adjacent to such areas if shellfish harvest closure is anticipated to will result from the location of the mooring field. In compliance with Section 101(a)(2) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 (a)(2), and North Carolina Water Quality Standards adopted pursuant to that section, shellfish harvesting is a significant existing use if it can be established that shellfish have been regularly harvested for human consumption since November 28, 1975 or that shellfish are propagating and surviving in a biologically suitable habitat and are available and suitable for harvesting for the purpose of human consumption. consumption as determined by the Division of Marine Fisheries in accordance with 15A <u>NCAC 18A .0900</u> . The Division of Marine Fisheries shall be consulted regarding the significance of shellfish harvest as an existing use and the magnitude of the quantities of

1		(E)	Moorings shall not be located without written consent from the leaseholders or owners of
2			submerged lands that have been leased from the state or deeded by the State;
3		(F)	Moorings shall be located and constructed so as to avoid adverse impacts on navigation
4			throughout all federally maintained channels. This includes permanent or temporary
5			mooring sites, speed or traffic reductions, or any other device, either physical or regulatory,
6			which may cause a federally maintained channel to be restricted;
7		(G)	Open water moorings shall not be enclosed within breakwaters that preclude circulation
8			and degrade water quality in violation of EMC standards; in accordance with 15A NCAC
9			<u>2B.0225.</u>
10		(H)	- Moorings and the associated land based operation design shall comply with all applicable
11			EMC requirements for management of stormwater runoff;
12		(<u>H)(H)</u>	Mooring fields shall have posted in view of patrons a notice prohibiting the discharge of
13			any waste from boat toilets or any other discharge and listing the availability of local pump-
14			out services and waste disposal;
15		(J)	-Freestanding moorings associated with commercial shipping, public service, or temporary
16			construction or salvage operations may be permitted without a public sponsor;
17		<mark>(K)(I)</mark>	Freestanding mooring buoys and piles shall be evaluated based upon the arc of the swing
18			including the length of the vessel to be moored. Moorings and the attached vessel shall not
19			interfere with the access of any riparian owner nor shall it block riparian access to channels
20			or deep water, which allows riparian access. Freestanding moorings shall not interfere with
21			the ability of any riparian owner to place a pier for access;
22		<mark>(L)(J)</mark>	Freestanding moorings shall not be established in submerged cable or pipe crossing areas
23			or in a manner that interferes with the operations of an access through any bridge;
24		<mark>(M)(K)</mark>	
25			and the WRC requirements and the required marking maintained for the life of the
26			mooring(s); and
27		(<u>N)(L)</u>	The type of material used to create a mooring must be free of pollutants and of a design
28			and type of material so as to not present a hazard to navigation or public safety.
29	(11)	Filling	of Canals, Basins and Ditches - Notwithstanding the general use standards for estuarine
30		systems	s as set out in Paragraph (a) of this Rule, filling canals, basins and ditches shall be allowed if
31		all of th	ne following conditions are met:
32		(A)	the area to be filled was not created by excavating lands which were below the normal high
33			water or normal water level;
34		(B)	if the area was created from wetlands, the elevation of the proposed filling does not exceed
35			the elevation of said wetlands so that wetland function will be restored;

1		(C)	the fill	ing will not adversely impact any designated primary nursery area, shellfish bed,
2			subme	rged aquatic vegetation as defined by the MFC, coastal wetlands, public trust right
3			right, c	or public trust usage; and
4		(D)	the fill	ling will not adversely affect the value and enjoyment of property of any riparian
5			owner	
6	(12)	"Subn	nerged La	nds Mining"
7		(A)	Develo	opment Standards. Mining of submerged lands shall meet all the following standards:
8			(i)	The Division of Coastal Management shall evaluate the biological productivity
9				and biological significance of mine sites, or borrow sites used for sediment
10				extraction, shall be evaluated extraction for significant adverse impacts and a
11				protection strategy for these natural functions and values sites provided with the
12				State approval request or permit application;
13			(ii)	Natural reefs, coral outcrops, artificial reefs, seaweed communities, and
14				significant benthic communities identified by the Division of Marine Fisheries or
15				the WRC shall be avoided;
16			(iii)	Mining shall avoid <mark>significant</mark> archaeological resources as defined in Rule .0509
17				of this Subchapter; and shipwrecks identified by the Department of Cultural
18				Resources; and unique geological features that require protection from
19				uncontrolled or incompatible development as identified by the Division of
20				Energy, Mineral, and Land Resources pursuant to G.S. 113A-113(b)(4)(g);
21			(iv)	Mining activities shall not be conducted on or within 500 meters of significant
22				biological communities identified by the Division of Marine Fisheries or the
23				WRC, such as high relief hard bottom areas. "High relief" is defined for this Part
24				as relief greater than or equal to one-half meter per five meters of horizontal
25				distance;
26			(v)	Mining activities shall be timed to minimize impacts on the life cycles of estuarine
27				or ocean resources; and
28			(vi)	Mining activities shall not negatively affect potable groundwater supplies,
29				wildlife, freshwater, estuarine, or marine fisheries.
30		(B)	Permit	Conditions. Permits for submerged lands mining may shall be conditioned on the
31			applica	ant amending the mining proposal to include measures necessary to ensure
32			compli	iance with the provisions of the Mining Act and the rules for development set out in
33			this Su	bchapter. Permit conditions shall also include:
34			(i)	Monitoring by the applicant to ensure compliance with all applicable development
35				standards; and
36			(ii)	A determination of the necessity and feasibility of restoration shall be made by
37				the Division of Coastal Management as part of the permit or consistency review

1				process. Restoration shall be necessary where it will facilitate recovery of the pre-
2				development ecosystem. Restoration shall be considered feasible unless, after
3				consideration of all practicable restoration alternatives, the Division of Coastal
4				Management determines that the adverse effects of restoration outweigh the
5				benefits of the restoration on estuarine or ocean resources. If restoration is
6				determined to be necessary and feasible, then the applicant shall submit a
7				restoration plan to the Division of Coastal Management prior to the issuance of
8				the permit.
9		(C)	Dredgi	ng activities for the purposes of mining natural resources shall be consistent with
10			the dev	elopment standards set out in this Rule; <u>15A NCAC 7H .0208.</u>
11		(D)	Mitigat	ion. Where mining cannot be conducted consistent with the development standards
12			set out	in this Rule, the applicant may request mitigation approval under 15A NCAC 07M
13			.0700;	and
14		(E)	Public	Benefits Exception. Projects that conflict with the standards in this Subparagraph,
15			<mark>but pro</mark>	wide a public benefit, may be approved pursuant to the standards set out in
16			<mark>Subpar</mark>	agraph (a)(3) of this Rule.
17	(13)	"Wind	Energy F	acilities"
18		(A)	An app	licant for the development and operation of a wind energy facility shall provide:
19			(i)	an evaluation of the proposed noise impacts of the turbines to be associated with
20				the proposed facility;
21			(ii)	an evaluation of shadow flicker impacts for the turbines to be associated with the
22				proposed facility;
23			(iii)	an evaluation of avian and bat impacts of the proposed facility;
24			(iv)	an evaluation of viewshed impacts of the proposed facility;
25			(v)	an evaluation of potential user conflicts associated with development in the
26				proposed project area; and
27			(vi)	a plan regarding the action to be taken upon decommissioning and removal of the
28				wind energy facility. The plan shall include estimates of monetary costs, time
29				frame of removal <u>removal</u> , and the proposed site condition after
30				decommissioning.
31		(B)	Develo	pment Standards. Development of wind energy facilities shall meet the following
32				ds in addition to adhering to the requirements outlined in Part (a)(13)(A) of this
33			Rule:	
34			(i)	Natural reefs, coral outcrops, artificial reefs, seaweed communities, and
35				significant benthic communities identified by the Division of Marine Fisheries or
36				the WRC shall be avoided;

1			(ii)	Development shall not be sited on or within 500 meters of significant biological
2				communities identified by the Division of Marine Fisheries or the WRC, such as
3				high relief hard bottom areas. High relief is defined for this standard as relief
4				greater than or equal to one-half meter per five meters of horizontal distance;
5			(iii)	Development shall not cause irreversible damage to documented archeological
6				resources including shipwrecks identified by the Department of Cultural
7				Resources and unique geological features as identified by the State Geologist
8				pursuant to G.S. 113A-113(b)(4)(g) that require protection from uncontrolled or
9				incompatible development: development as identified by the Division of Energy,
10				Mineral, and Land Resources pursuant to G.S. 113A 113(b)(4)(g);
11			(iv)	Development activities shall be timed to avoid significant adverse impacts on the
12				life cycles of estuarine or ocean resources, or wildlife;
13			(v)	Development or operation of a wind energy facility shall not jeopardize the use
14				of the surrounding waters for navigation or for other public trust rights in public
15				trust areas or estuarine waters; and
16			(vi)	Development or operation of a wind energy facility shall not interfere with air
17				navigation routes, air traffic control areas, military training routes routes, or or
18				special use airspace and shall comply with standards adopted by the Federal
19				Aviation Administration and codified under 14 CFR Part 77.13.
20		(C)	Permit	Conditions. Permits for wind energy facilities may be conditioned on the applicant
21			amend	ing the proposal to include measures necessary to ensure compliance with the
22			standar	rds for development set out in this Rule. Permit conditions may include monitoring
23			to ensu	re compliance with all applicable development standards; and
24		(D)	- Public	Benefits Exception. Projects that conflict with these standards, but provide a public
25			<mark>benefit</mark>	, may be approved pursuant to the standards set out in Subparagraph (a)(3) of this
26			Rule.	
27				
28	History Note:	Autho	rity G.S. 1	'13A-107(b); 113A-108; 113A-113(b); <mark>113A-115; 113A-115.1</mark> ; 113A-124; <mark>113-229;</mark>
29		Eff. Se	ptember !	9, 1977;
30		Amena	led Eff. F	ebruary 1, 1996; April 1, 1993; February 1, 1993; November 30, 1992;
31		RRC Objection due to ambiguity Eff. March 21, 1996;		
32		Amena	led Eff. A	ugust 1, 2012(see S.L. 2012-143, s.1.(f)); February 1, 2011; August 1, 2010;
33		June 1	, 2010; A	ugust 1, 1998; May 1, 1996;
34		Reado	pted Eff.	July 1, 2020;
35		Amena	led Eff. <mark>Se</mark>	<u>eptember 1,2023;</u> August 1, 2022.

RRC STAFF OPINION

PLEASE NOTE: THIS COMMUNICATION IS EITHER 1) ONLY THE RECOMMENDATION OF AN RRC STAFF ATTORNEY AS TO ACTION THAT THE ATTORNEY BELIEVES THE COMMISSION SHOULD TAKE ON THE CITED RULE AT ITS NEXT MEETING, OR 2) AN OPINION OF THAT ATTORNEY AS TO SOME MATTER CONCERNING THAT RULE. THE AGENCY AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ARE INVITED TO SUBMIT THEIR OWN COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (ACCORDING TO RRC RULES) TO THE COMMISSION.

AGENCY: Coastal Resources Commission

RULE CITATION: 15A NCAC 07H .0308

DATE ISSUED: August 14, 2023

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Approve, but note staff's comment

- X Object, based on:
 - Lack of statutory authority
 - X Unclear or ambiguous Unnecessary Failure to comply with the APA Extend the period of review

COMMENT:

This rule, which governs use standards within ocean hazard areas of environmental concern, contains several different ambiguous or unclear terms.

Significant Adverse Impact

Throughout this Rule, which governs specific use standards within ocean hazard areas, the agency uses the terms "significant adverse impact", "adverse impact", "adverse effect", and "adversely affect" (and other similar formulations) without definition or example, despite their importance to the regulatory scheme enshrined herein.

These terms are included in almost every specific use standard described in this Rule. Any property owner or entity seeking to engage in erosion control activities (subparagraph (a)(1)), beach bulldozing (subparagraph (a)(4)), dune protection, establishment, restoration, and stabilization (subparagraph (b)), or construct structural beach accessways (subparagraph (c)) will have their projects evaluated for whether they will cause "significant adverse impacts", "adverse impacts", "adverse impacts", etc.

While development projects must avoid "significant adverse impacts" in order to meet with agency approval, the agency provides no definition of this term, provides no examples to elucidate the meaning of the term, or any other guidance that would allow the regulated public to determine whether a particular project is in compliance with this Rule and the laws undergirding it.

Brian Liebman Commission Counsel In response to staff's request that the agency provide a definition of the term, the CRC responded in an August 3, 2023 memo that "adverse impact," "adverse effect," or "adversely effected" should be given their ordinary meaning, citing to Webster's Dictionary. Incorporating that meaning, the agency states that "adverse impact (or effect)" means "an effect or impact that is opposed or antagonistic to the goals of the Coastal Area Management Act" as found in G.S. 113A-102(b). Turning to the addition of the term "significant", the agency again argues that the word be given its ordinary meaning, which the agency claims is: "to require an impact be large enough to make a difference. This use of this adjective disqualifies a 'de minimus' impact from requiring action under the CRC's rules." The agency then goes on to argue that "significant adverse impact" "is a term of art that has been consistently used by the General Assembly, in North Carolina regulations, and by appellate courts to analyze negative impacts that warrant action." It is important to note that these **responses were not incorporated in the Rule**.

The Commission has previously objected to the use of these terms in CRC's rules. At the September 2022 meeting, the Commission objected to 07H .2305 on the basis that "significant adverse impact" was unclear and ambiguous under G.S. 150B-21.9(a)(2). The Commission continued that objection at the February 2023 meeting, and added objections to rules 07H .0508, 07H .0509, 07J .0203, 07M .0202, .0401, .0402, and .0403 where the agency added the term in revisions made following objections on other grounds. Staff hereby incorporates the staff opinions, memos, and objection letters issued with respect to those Rules by reference.

In the aforementioned proceedings before the Commission, the CRC consistently argued that "significant adverse impact" was a term of art which had a meaning known to the General Assembly, the various environmental regulatory agencies, the regulated public, and North Carolina's courts. Nonetheless, the agency has repeatedly declined to articulate this known meaning in writing, incorporate it into its Rules, or provide specific references to this extensive usage other than citations to other equally opaque CRC rules, an inapposite statute, and a case which mentions but does not construe the term.

With respect to the instant Rule, the CRC now appears to argue that "significant adverse impact" and its variants are both terms of art and have ordinary meaning. This argument is contradicted by the very definition of "term of art": "[a] word or phrase having a specific, precise meaning in a given specialty, **apart from its general meaning in ordinary contexts**." Term of Art, BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY (11th ed. 2019) (emphasis added). See also Southern Furniture Co. of Conover, Inc. v. DOT, 133 N.C.App. 400, 403-04 (1999) ("When terms with special meanings or terms of art appear in an instrument, they are to be given their technical meaning; whereas, ordinary terms are to be given their meaning in ordinary speech."); In re Pharm. Indus. Average Wholesale Price Litig., 460 F. Supp. 2d 277 (D. Mass. 2006) ("By definition, a term must have an established and settled meaning to constitute a term of art.").

Thus, to the extent that the agency claims that "significant adverse impact" is a term of art, their claim necessitates the conclusion that "significant adverse impact" does not have the ordinary meaning of its component words, and instead has a specific industry meaning apart from the ordinary meaning. Such a meaning has not been proffered here, and more importantly, has not been included in this Rule or any other within CRC's authority.

Assuming for the sake of argument that the agency has imprecisely used the phrase "term of art" and that "significant adverse impact" and its variants are to be given their ordinary meaning, that meaning still has not yet been incorporated into this Rule or any other rule under the CRC's authority. While staff believes that the ordinary meaning articulated in the agency's August 3, 2023

memo could form the basis for a workable definition, unless and until these terms are formally defined within the CRC's rules, staff is of the opinion that the terms "significant adverse impact", "adverse impact", "adverse effect", and "adversely affect" (and other similar formulations) are impermissibly unclear or ambiguous.

Consequently, staff recommends objection to this Rule under G.S. 150B-21.9(a)(2).

Regional Significance

In (a)(1)(I), the CRC lays out several potential exemptions for structures that would otherwise be prohibited by the use standards contained in this Rule. In subparagraph (i), the agency states that a structure may be permitted upon a finding that "the structure is necessary to maintain an existing commercial navigation channel of regional significance within federally authorized limits." The Rule does not define "regional" or "regional significance."

In response to staff's request for a definition of "regional significance," the agency states only that the Coastal Area Management Act (CAMA) "includes references to issues with either a 'statewide' or 'regional' focus at different times." Additionally, the agency states that the limits on erosion control structures "was added to the [CAMA] in 2003. 113A-115.1. The section of the statute is based on the CRC's rules as of 2003 which included 'regional significance.' To delete this language from the CRC's rule would be contrary to the Coastal Area Management Act."

As an initial matter, staff would point out that the agency was asked to define, rather than delete the term, and has chosen to do neither, despite the ambiguity in the Rule. It is unclear whether "region" means a geographical portion of the State (i.e. the Town of Emerald Isle, the Inner Banks, Onslow County, etc.), or whether it refers to an area of interstate territory (i.e. the Southeast United States). It is similarly unclear what standards the agency uses to determine whether a commercial navigation channel is "significant".

The Rule contains use standards that an applicant must meet as part of a permitting process. Thus, it appears that the applicant bears the burden of providing the CRC with the evidence to support the CRC's "finding" that the structure is necessary to maintain a "regionally significant" channel. Without objective standards as to what is "regionally significant", or at least a list of those channels that the agency has determined meet its standards for regional significance, the applicant must rely on the agency's after-the-fact determination as to the sufficiency of the evidence provided.

Consequently, staff recommends objection to this Rule as impermissibly unclear and ambiguous under G.S. 150B-21.9(a)(2).

Practical Alternative

In (a)(1)(J), the CRC states that it may authorize the replacement of a permanent erosion control structure that was permitted pursuant to a variance granted by the Commission prior to 1 July 1995 if the Commission finds, among other things, "(ii) there is no practical alternative to replacing the structure that will provide the same or similar benefits".

In response to staff's request to define the term "practical alternative", the agency merely stated that the statute "references exceptions to the limitations on erosion control structures as of 2003. 'Practical alternative' is included in the 2003 rule language."

Thus, neither the agency's response nor the text of the Rule itself provide clarity as to what standards the CRC will use to determine what alternatives are "practical" and which are not.

As such, staff recommends objection to this Rule as impermissibly unclear and ambiguous under G.S. 150B-21.9(a)(2).

§ 150B-21.9. Standards and timetable for review by Commission.

(a) Standards. - The Commission must determine whether a rule meets all of the following criteria:

- (1) It is within the authority delegated to the agency by the General Assembly.
- (2) It is clear and unambiguous.
- (3) It is reasonably necessary to implement or interpret an enactment of the General Assembly, or of Congress, or a regulation of a federal agency. The Commission shall consider the cumulative effect of all rules adopted by the agency related to the specific purpose for which the rule is proposed.
- (4) It was adopted in accordance with Part 2 of this Article.

The Commission shall not consider questions relating to the quality or efficacy of the rule but shall restrict its review to determination of the standards set forth in this subsection.

The Commission may ask the Office of State Budget and Management to determine if a rule has a substantial economic impact and is therefore required to have a fiscal note. The Commission must ask the Office of State Budget and Management to make this determination if a fiscal note was not prepared for a rule and the Commission receives a written request for a determination of whether the rule has a substantial economic impact.

(a1) Entry of a rule in the North Carolina Administrative Code after review by the Commission creates a rebuttable presumption that the rule was adopted in accordance with Part 2 of this Article.

(b) Timetable. - The Commission must review a permanent rule submitted to it on or before the twentieth of a month by the last day of the next month. The Commission must review a rule submitted to it after the twentieth of a month by the last day of the second subsequent month. The Commission must review a temporary rule in accordance with the timetable and procedure set forth in G.S. 150B-21.1. (1991, c. 418, s. 1; 1995, c. 507, s. 27.8(f); 2000-140, s. 93.1(a); 2001-424, s. 12.2(b); 2003-229, s. 9.)

JOSH STEIN ATTORNEY GENERAL



REPLY TO: MARY L. LUCASSE (919) 716-6962 MLUCASSE@NCDOJ.GOV

Memorandum

- To: Brian Liebman, Commission Counsel North Carolina Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh, NC 27609
- From: Mary L. Lucasse, Special Deputy Attorney General & Counsel for Coastal Resources Commission

Date: August 3, 2023

Re: 15A NCAC 07H .0208, .0308, 07K .0207, and 15A NCAC 07M .0603

On May 26, 2023, the NC Coastal Resources Commission ("CRC") received a request for G.S. § 150B-21.10 changes to 15A NCAC 07H .0208, .0308, 07K .0207, and 15A NCAC 07M .0603. At its June 2023 meeting, the RRC extended the period of review for the rules in accordance with G.S. § 150B-21.10. Attached is an annotated copy of the requests for changes which includes the CRC's responses (in red) to each request and a clean copy of the rewritten rules for your review.

In addition, the CRC responds to RRC counsel's comments regarding the use of the terms "significant adverse impact," adverse impact, "adverse effect" and "adversely effected" in 15A NCAC 07H 0208 and .0308 as follows:

Our Supreme Court has applied the rules of statutory construction to administrative regulations as well as statutes. State ex rel. Comm'r of Ins. v. N.C. Rate Bureau, 300 N.C. 381, 269 S.E.2d 547 (1980). References in the CRC's rules to "adverse impact," "adverse effect" or "adversely effected" should be given their ordinary meaning. See Food Town Stores Inc. v. City of Salisbury, 300 N.C. 21, 2654 S.E.2d 123 (1980) (words are to be given their ordinary meaning unless they have been defined or have "acquired a technical meaning.). Dictionaries may be used to determine the ordinary meaning of words used. State v. Fly, 127 N.C. App. 286, 488 S.E.2d 614 (1997). The words "impact" and "effect" can be used interchangeably. See Webster's II New College Dictionary, (3rd ed., 2005) (definition of impact includes " "the effect or impression of one thing upon another."). The ordinary meaning of "adverse" is opposed or antagonistic. Id. Thus, in context, the ordinary meaning of this phrase is an effect or impact that is opposed or antagonistic to the goals of the Coastal Area Management Act. N.C.G.S. § 113A-102(b). Placing this phrase in the context of the organic statute does not create ambiguity since the CRC's rules could not be reasonably understood as referring to anything else. The ordinary meaning of this phrase - a negative effect or impact - has been used in other statutory contexts. Following are just two of many examples. Padilla

Brian Liebman, Commission Counsel. August 3, 2023 Page **2** of **3**

<u>v. Kentucky</u>, 559 U.S. 356, 130 S.Ct. 1473 (2010) (defendant's counsel was ineffective by reason of failing to inform the client of the <u>adverse effects</u> of a criminal conviction on immigration status.) and <u>American Motors Sales Corp. v. Peters</u>, 311 N.C. 311, 317, 317 (1984) (considering whether there was an "adverse effect" in order to determine whether a restraint of trade is monopolistic.). Given the plain and ordinary meaning of "adverse impact, "adverse effect" and "adversely effected" in 15A NCAC 07H 0208, the CRC requests counsel reconsider its objection.

RRC Staff may believe that a more difficult question is raised when the adjective "significant" is added to the phrase since this addition indicates that the effect or impact must be large enough to be important. However, as the CRC has previously explained, this phrase is not ambiguous. The ordinary meaning of "significant" when added to "adverse impact" (or some variation of that phrase) is to require an impact be large enough to make a difference. This use of this adjective disqualifies a "de minimus" impact from requiring action under the CRC's rules. This phrase is a "term of art" that has been consistently used by the General Assembly, in North Carolina regulations, and by appellate courts to analyze negative impacts that warrant action. *See* November 23, 2022 Letter to NC Rules Review Commission from Mary L. Lucasse, counsel for the CRC, p 3; January 18, 2023 Letter to NC Rules Review Commission from Mary L. Lucasse, counsel for the CRC, p 1; and February 9, 2023 letter to NC Rules Review Commission from Julie Youngman, SELC, pp 1-2.

The term "significant adverse impact" is also used by state and federal agencies in the environmental review of coastal development projects, where use of the term in this context indicates that there the effects are raised to a level which requires some mitigative measure or a change in the scope of the project is necessary for the project to proceed. Under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the NEPA review process begins when a federal agency develops a proposal to take a major federal action. These actions are defined at <u>40 CFR 1508.1</u>, and include a Finding of No Significant Impact where a federal agency presents the reasons why an action, not otherwise excluded (§ 1508.4), will not have a significant effect on the human environment and for which an environmental impact statement therefore will not be prepared. 40 C.F.R. § 1508.13.

15A NCAC 07H .0208 and .0308 relate to construction of development including erosion control activities, navigation channels, canals, and boat basins to marinas, piers, and docks to bulkheads, beach nourishment, submerged land mining, and freestanding moorings. Each permit application may, depending on the design and scope of the proposed development, have different impacts on a range of different environmental and cultural resources. Individual resource values can vary as well, for example, where a small patch of sparsely vegetated, mono-specific salt marsh in an already impacted area may not have the same value as a pristine, densely vegetated, multi-species salt marsh that is providing a variety of habitat for multiple species. Not knowing what the regulated public will propose in any application and how severe the impacts will be on various coastal and marine resources, the CRC is not in a position to list all possible adverse impacts or numerical thresholds regarding the significance of

Brian Liebman, Commission Counsel. August 3, 2023 Page **3** of **3**

those impacts. Through its legislative findings and goals, the General Assembly has provided the CRC and DCM with a roadmap to use to weigh negative impacts. This analysis occurs on a case-by-case basis. To provide further examples trying to capture the ordinary meaning of the phrase "significant adverse impact" and explain the roadmap provided by the General Assembly would either be limiting because not every situation could be provided as an example or incomplete. As written, the CRC's rule allows the courts, the CRC, and DCM to weigh and balance the protection provided for the valuable natural resources of the coastal area while ensuring development proceeds appropriately. See 113A-102(b).

For these reasons, the CRC strongly believes that the version of 15A NCAC 07H .0208 submitted simultaneously with this memo, which has been revised to address many of the comments received from RRC, is not impermissibly ambiguous. Accordingly, please recommend approval of the rule as submitted.

Enclosures:

- CRC's Responses to Requests for Changes dated May 26, 2023;
- 15A NCAC 07H .0208 (revised and retyped);
- 15A NCAC 07H .0308 (revised and retyped);
- 15A NCAC 07K .0207 (revised and retyped);
- 15A NCAC 07M .0603 (revised and retyped);
- Nov. 23, 2022 Letter to NC Rules Review Commission from Mary L. Lucasse;
- Jan. 18, 2023 Letter to NC Rules Review Commission from Mary L. Lucasse;
- Feb. 9, 2023 letter to NC Rules Review Commission from Julie Youngman, SELC.

JOSH STEIN Attorney General



REPLY TO: MARY L. LUCASSE (919) 716-6962 MLUCASSE@NCDOJ.GOV

November 23, 2022

North Carolina Rules Review Commission Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh, North Carolina 27609

Re: CRC's Response to RRC's Objections to Rules 15A NCAC 07H .0501, .0502, .0503, .0505, .0506, .0507, .0508, .0509, .0510, .2305;
07I .0406, .0504, .0506, .0508, .0511, .0602, .0702;
07J .0203, .0206, .0207, .0208, .0210, .0312;
07M .0201, .0202, .0401, .0402, .0403, .0601, .0603, .0701, .0703, .0704, .1001, .1002, .1101, .1102.

Dear Chair Doran and Commission Members:

On behalf of the North Carolina Coastal Resources Commission ("CRC) and pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.12(a)(1) and (2), please accept this letter as the CRC's partial written response to the North Carolina Rules Review Commission ("RRC") September 17, 2022 letter objecting to the above referenced rules (the "Objection Letter"). The CRC will be submitting a second letter (dated November 23, 2022) addressing the remaining rules included in the Objection Letter.

While the CRC disagrees with the RRC's objections, this written response is not intended to be—and should not be interpreted as—a written request to return the above-referenced rules pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.12(d). The CRC is not seeking the return of these rules at this time and, instead, appreciates the opportunity to continue working with the RRC and its staff to resolve the RRC's objections.

At its recent November 17, 2022 regularly scheduled meeting, the CRC decided to submit additional technical changes as allowed by N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.12(a)(1) to the following rules: 07H .0501, .0506, .0508, .0509, .0510, 07I .0504, .0508, .0511, .0602, .0702, 07J .0203, .0204, .0206, .0207, .0208, .0210, .0312, 07M .0601, .0603, .0703, and .0704. While the CRC disagrees with the RRC's objections to these rules, we have attempted to resolve the RRC's concerns through additional technical changes and are submitting the revised rules to the RRC along with this Response. Please do not hesitate to let us know if there are any additional technical changes requested.

In addition, the CRC decided not to submit changes as allowed by N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.12(a)(2), for the following rules: 15A NCAC 07H .0502, .0503, .0505, .0507, .2305, 07I .0406, .0506, 07M .0201, .0202, .0401, .0402, .0403, .0701, .1001, .1002, .1101, .1102. The CRC disagrees with the RRC's objections to these rules.

North Carolina Rules Review Commission November 23, 2022 Page 2 of 6

The CRC is submitting the following additional information in an effort to resolve the concerns raised in RRC Objection Letter to all the above-referenced rules.

1.C RC's Response to Objections based on N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.9(a)(1).

In its Objection Letter, the RRC objected to Rules 15A NCAC 07H .0501, .0502, .0503, .0505, .0506, .0507, .0508, .0509, .0510, 07I .0504, .0508, .0511, .0602, .0702, 07J .0203, .0206, .0207, .0208, .0312, 07M .0201, .0202, .0401, .0403, .0701, .0704, .1001, .1002, and .1101 based on the allegation that "each of [these] . . . rules do not meet the definition of a "Rule" pursuant to G.S. 150B-2(8a)" and therefore the agency lacks the statutory authority to adopt these rules based on N.C. Gen. Stat. 150B-19.1(a)(1). *See* Objection Letter and attached RRC Staff Opinions. This argument is incorrect.

The CRC's authority and duty to adopt "guidelines for the coastal area" consisting of "statements of objectives, policies, and standards to be followed in public and private use of land and water areas within the coastal area . . . consistent with the goals . . . in G.S. 113A-102" is well established and uniquely provided for under its enabling statute. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 113A-107. In 1978, the North Carolina Supreme Court concluded that "the Act properly delegates authority to the CRC to develop, adopt and amend State guidelines for the coastal area." *Adams v. NC Dep't of Natural & Economic Resources*, 295 N.C. 683, 698, 249 S.E. 2d 402, 411 (1978). The Commission provided an initial response on this issue in its September 1, 2022 Memorandum to Brian Liebman and William W. Peaslee, RRC Commission Counsel attached is a copy for your convenience.

During the RRC's September 15, 2022 meeting, RRC counsel was asked by one of the Commissioners for a response to the CRC's claim that that it has authority to adopt rules to set policies and guidelines. RRC counsel responded that the CRC could set policies and guidelines as contemplated by statute-just not by rulemaking. This response completely misunderstands the authority provided by the legislature to the CRC. As explained by the North Carolina Supreme Court, "amendments to the State guidelines by the CRC <u>are</u> considered administrative rule-making." *Adams*, 295 N.C. at 702, 249 S.E.2d at 413. (Emphasis added). This is consistent with the requirement that the CRC "shall not seek to implement or enforce against any person a policy, guideline, or other interpretive statement" unless it has "been adopted as a rule in accordance with this Article." N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-18. Thus, as authorized by the North Carolina Supreme Court, the CRC is authorized to set guidelines (including objectives, policies, and standards) regulating the public and private use of land and waters within the coastal area through rule-making.

These rules are not newly adopted but have been in existence for decades as part of the North Carolina Administrative Code pursuant to the very same statutory authority. This creates "a rebuttable presumption that" each "rule was adopted in accordance with Part 2 of the Article." *See* N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.9(a1). For the RRC to change course in 2022 and now assert that the CRC's long-standing rules are not within the authority

¹ This case was decided under an earlier iteration of the Administrative Procedure Act at N.C. Gen. Stat. 150A.

North Carolina Rules Review Commission November 23, 2022 Page 3 of 6

delegated to the agency by the General Assembly, is arbitrary and capricious and contrary to the North Carolina Supreme Court precedent.

In addition to addressing the RRC's generic objection regarding whether the rules are "Rules," the CRC has provided additional authority for specific rules that the RRC identified as lacking authority. For example, the RRC objected to 15A NCAC 07J .0208 claiming that CAMA does not authorize the circulation of CAMA permit application to other state agencies for review. However, the CRC was instructed by the General Assembly "to coordinate the issuance of permits" and consideration of variances under the Dredge & Fill Act and the Coastal Area Management Act "to avoid duplication and to create a single, expedited permitting process." N.C. Gen. Stat. § 113-229(e). Both statutes also provide for the CRC to adopt rules to implement these articles. See N.C. Gen. Stat. § 113-229(e) ("The CRC may adopt rules interpreting and applying the provisions of this section and rules specifying the procedures for obtaining a permit under this section.") and N.C. Gen. Stat. § 113A-124(c)(8) (The CRC has additional authority "[t]o adopt rules to implement this Article."). As noted by RRC counsel, a dredge and fill permit application is required to be circulated among State agencies and may be submitted to federal agencies. See RRC Staff opinion for 15A NCAC 07J .0208 attached to Objection Letter. Given the authority from the legislature requiring that the CRC create a single, expedited permitting process, this provision in the Dredge and Fill Act is sufficient to provide authorization for the CAMA permit applications to be circulated to state and federal agencies for review.

Based on the clarification provided in this letter, as well as the information previously submitted to the RRC, the CRC respectfully requests that the RRC rescind its earlier objection to these rules based on Section 150B-21.9(1).

2.C RC's Response to Objections based on N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.9(a)(2).

In its Objection Letter, the RRC objected to Rules 15A NCAC 07H .0501, .0502, .0503, .0505, .0506, .0507, .0508, .0509, .0510, .2305, 07J .0203, .0204, .0206, .0210, 07M .0201, .0202, .0401, .0402, .0403, .0601, .0603, .0701, .0703, .0704, .1001, .1002, .1101, and .1102 based on the claim that these rules were ambiguous. The majority of the RRC's objection to these rules is not specific to individual rules. To the extent that specific words or phrases were identified as ambiguous by the Objection Letter, the CRC has attempted to provide further clarifying language. *See e.g.*, technical changes provided for 15A NCAC 07J .0203, .0204, .0206, .0210, .0601, .0603, .0703, .0704. If there are other technical changes that the RRC believes would resolve any remaining ambiguity, the CRC is willing to consider further changes.

The perceived ambiguity that the RRC has identified in 15A NCAC 07H .2305 regarding the use of the phrase "significant adverse impact" continues to puzzle the CRC. The General Assembly has authorized denial of "an application for a dredge or fill permit upon finding . . . that there will be <u>significant adverse effect</u>" as a result of the proposed dredging and filling. N.C. Gen. Stat. §113-229(e) (emphasis added). The General Assembly clearly understands that determining whether there is a significant adverse impact is not ambiguous. As the CRC has previously explained, this phrase is "a term of art used in other rules and understood by the courts. *See, e.g., Shell Island Homeowners Assoc. v. Tomlinson*, 134 NC App. 217 (1999). The CRC has used this phrase, or similar phrase,

WWW.NCDOJ.GOV

919.716.6400

North Carolina Rules Review Commission November 23, 2022 Page 4 of 6

throughout its rules to require an assessment of the impact of the development on the natural resources. *See e.g.*, 15A NCAC 07H .0209 (throughout), 07H .0308, 07J .1101, .1102, 1201, 07K .0202, 07M .0402. Many, if not most, of these rules were recently readopted or amended without the RRC objecting to the rule language requiring an assessment of the impact. It is arbitrary and capricious for the RRC to claim the use of this phrase in one rule is ambiguous when that objection has not been consistently asserted by the RRC.

Based on the changes provided, as well as the clarifying information provided above, the CRC respectfully requests that the RRC rescind its earlier objection based on Section 150B-21.9(2).

3.C RC's Response to Objections based on N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.9(a)(3).

In its Objection Letter, the RRC objected to Rules 15A NCAC 07H .0501, .0502, .0503, .0505, .0506, .0507, .0508, .0509, .0510, 07I .0406, .0506, 07J .0203, .0206, 07M .0201, .0202, .0401, .0403, .0701, .1001, .1101 on the grounds these rules were not "reasonably necessary" pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. §150B-21.9(3). The majority of these rules are those the RRC contends are not "Rules" and therefore, it also objects under section 3 claiming "only 'Rules' can be reasonably necessary." *See* Objection Letter and attachments. In response, the CRC incorporates and relies on the arguments set forth above in Section 1 relating to N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.9(a)(1).

In its Objection Letter, the RRC objects to 15A NCAC 07I .0406 claiming that this rule simply restates information from "G.S. 113A-119.1 and in 15A NCAC 07J .0204." *See* RRC Staff Opinion for 15A NCAC 07I .0406 attached to the Opinion Letter. Even if true, this does not provide a basis for rejecting the rule as unnecessary. The General Assembly provides that "a brief statement that informs the public of a requirement imposed by law does not violate this subdivision and satisfies the "reasonably necessary" standard of review." N.C. Gen. Stat.§ 150B-19(4). In this rule, the CRC has provided a brief statement synthesizing information regarding the fee requirement found in two separate places. This is allowable under the Administrative Procedure Act. Moreover, the information included the middle sentence relating to "deficits" is not included elsewhere. Therefore, this rule is necessary, and the CRC respectfully requests the RRC rescind its earlier objection.

In its Objection Letter, the RRC also objects to 15A NCAC 07I .0506 on the basis that the rule is not reasonably necessary as it "re-states material regarding allocation of permit-letting authority that is contained in G.S. 113A-116, -118, and -121." *See* RRC Staff Opinion for 15A NCAC 07I .0506 attached to Objection Letter (cleaned up). Even if true, this does not provide a basis for rejecting the rule as unnecessary. The General Assembly has provided that "a brief statement that informs the public of a requirement imposed by law does not violate this subdivision and satisfies the "reasonably necessary" standard of review." N.C. Gen. Stat.§ 150B-19(4). Moreover, this rule provides additional clarifying information regarding boundaries and the extra-territorial zoning area subject to permit letting authority, and timeframes. This rule does not simply re-state material in the statute. Therefore, the rule is necessary, and the CRC respectfully requests the RRC rescind its earlier objection.

WWW.NCDOJ.GOV

4.C RC's Response to Objections based on N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.9(a)(4).

In the Objection Letter, the RRC objected to Rules 15A NCAC 07H .0501, .0502, .0503, .0505, .0506, .0507, .0508, .0509, .0510, 07J .0203, .0204, 07M .0201, .0202, .0401, .0403, .0701, .1001, .1101, .1102 for "failure to comply with the Part 2 of Article 2A of the Administrative Procedure Act pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.9(4)." This section of the NC Administrative Procedure Act provides procedures for the adoption of temporary rules, emergency rules, permanent rules, and the periodic review of existing rules. In the Objection Letter and the attachments to the Objection Letter, the RRC has not identified the manner in which it alleges the CRC failed to follow the rulemaking procedures set forth in Part 2 of this Article during its periodic review and re-adoption of these rules.

Moreover, if this objection is merely intended to indicate that the RRC does not believe these rules meet the definition of a "Rule," that objection is based on N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-19 which lists restrictions on what can be adopted as a rule in Part 1 of Article 2A of the Administrative Procedure Act—not in Part 2 of Article 2A. Therefore, a reference to Part 1 of Article 2A is not a proper basis for alleging that the rules were not adopted in accordance with Part 2 of Article 2A as required by N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.9(a)(4).

To the extent that the RRC is objecting to the procedure by which these rules were adopted by the CRC, we are providing the following information to address any such concern. As required by N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.3A(c), the CRC conducted an analysis of each existing rule and made an "initial determination as to whether the rule is necessary or unnecessary." The classifications were posted for public comment and submitted to the Office of Administrative Hearings for posting on its Web site. The CRC accepted public comment for sixty days after the determination was posted from February 20-April 20, 2017. The agency amended classifications, responded to all objections, and sent a final report to the RRC, including the public comments. Thereafter, the CRC re-adopted these rules as required by July 31, 2020 and sent them out for public comment. Twenty public hearings were held between November 17 and December 10, 2019 throughout the twenty coastal counties included within the Coastal Area Management Act. The public comment period ended December 31, 2019. No public comments were received, no changes were proposed, and no fiscal analysis was required. The CRC re-adopted the rules at its regularly scheduled meeting on February 12, 2020. Thereafter, the CRC began submitting its readopted rules to the RRC in manageable groupings. At the RRC's request, the last 132 readopted rules were submitted in one large group in June 2022. The RRC objected to 47 of the 132 rules in its September 2022 Objection Letter.

There are fifteen remaining rules for which the RRC's objection is based, in part, on an alleged failure to comply with Part 2 of Article 2A. However, the RRC has not identified any procedural flaws in the process used by the CRC to re-adopt these rules pursuant to the requirements for the periodic review of rules in Part 2 of Article 2A of the Administrative Procedure Act. In addition, an attachment to the specific objection for 15A NCAC 07M .1102 includes a highlighted reference to the procedures for adopting a permanent rule. Since the relevant procedure here relates to the periodic review of rules, the relevance of this attachment is unclear.

919.716.6400

North Carolina Rules Review Commission November 23, 2022 Page 6 of 6

If our understanding of the substance of this objection is incorrect, please provide specific information identifying the procedure established in N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.3A for the periodic review of existing rules or some other section included in Part 2 of Article 2A on which the RRC bases its objection. If there is no alleged flaw in the procedure by which these rules were re-adopted, the CRC respectfully requests that this objection be withdrawn.

In conclusion and based on the clarification provided in this letter, as well as the information previously submitted to the RRC, the CRC respectfully requests that the objections to each of the 38 rules addressed in this letter be withdrawn.

Sincerely,

Margh huaser

Mary L. Lucasse Special Deputy Attorney General Counsel to the CRC

cc: M. Renee Cahoon, CRC Chair, electronically Braxton C. Davis, DCM Director, electronically Mike Lopazanski, DCM Deputy Director, electronically Angela Willis, CRC Rulemaking Coordinator, electronically Jennifer Everett, DEQ Rulemaking Coordinator, electronically William Peaslee, RRC Counsel, electronically Brian Liebman, RRC Counsel, electronically Lawrence Duke, RRC Counsel, electronically Alex Burgos, Paralegal, Office of Administrative Hearings, electronically JOSH STEIN ATTORNEY GENERAL



REPLY TO: MARY L. LUCASSE (919) 716-6962 MLUCASSE@NCDOJ.GOV

January 18, 2023

North Carolina Rules Review Commission Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh, North Carolina 27609

> Re: CRC's Response to RRC's Objections for the following rules: 07H .0501, .0502, .0503, .0505, .0506, .0507, .0508, .0509, .0510, .2305; 07I .0406, .0504, .0506, .0508, .0511, .0602, .0702; 07J .0203, .0204; .0206, .0207, .0208, .0312; 07M .0201, .0202, .0401, .0402; .0403; .0701, .0703, .0704, .1001, .1002, .1101, and .1102

Dear Chair Doran and Commission Members:

On behalf of the North Carolina Coastal Resources Commission ("CRC"), please accept this letter as a follow-up to comments provided at the December 15, 2022 RRC meeting. Note, some of the rules are addressed in more than one category.

<u>First</u>, as set forth in more detail in our November 23, 2022 letter, RRC counsel continued to recommend the RRC object to the CRC's rules at **15A NCAC 07H .0501**, **.0502**, **.0503**, **.0505**, **.0506**, **.0507**, **.0508**, **.0509**, **.0510**, **07I .0504**, **.0508**, **.0511**, **.0602**, **.0702**, **07J .0203**, **.0206**, **.0207**, **.0208**, **.0312**, **07M .0201**, **.0202**, **.0401**, **.0403**, **.0701**, **.0704**, **.1001**, **.1002**, **and .1101** based on the allegation that "each of [these] . . . rules do not meet the definition of a "Rule" pursuant to G.S. 150B-2(8a)" and therefore the agency lacks the statutory authority to adopt these rules based on N.C. Gen. Stat. 150B-19.1(a)(1). This argument is simply incorrect. The CRC has authority to adopt "guidelines for the coastal area" through rulemaking consisting of "objectives, policies, and standards to be followed in public and private use of land and water areas within the coastal area" as recognized by the NC Supreme Court in *Adams v. Dept. of N.E.R*, 295 N.C. 683, 249 S.E.2d 402 (1978). The CRC respectfully requests the Commission approve these rules based on authority in Chapter 113A, Article 7 and the North Carolina Supreme Court's decision.

<u>Second</u>, following receipt of our November 23, 2022 letter, RRC counsel continued to recommend that the RRC object to the use of the term "significant adverse impact" as ambiguous. This recommendation should be rejected. The phrase "significant adverse impact" has been recently approved by the RRC in other CRC rules. Furthermore, the North Carolina appellate courts understand this term of art (*see, e.g., Shell Island Homeowners Assoc. v. Tomlinson,* 134 N.C. App. 217 (1999) and the General Assembly has authorized the application of this standard (using the very same phrase). *See* N.C.G.S. § 113-229(e). For these reasons, the CRC respectfully requests the RRC approve the following rules which include that phrase: **07H .0508; .2305, 07J .0203; 07M .0402; .0703**.

North Carolina Rules Review Commission January 18, 2023 Page 2

Third, following receipt of our November 23, 2022 letter, RRC counsel again recommended the RRC object to the following rules as unnecessary: 071.0406; .0506; .0702. These rules synthesize different sections of statutes as allowed under G.S. § 150B-19(4) and/or include additional information. I urge this Commission not to accept the Staff's recommendation for these rules. Specifically: The middle sentence in 07I .0406 regarding "deficits resulting from administrative costs" is not contained in statute. This sentence in the Rule addresses a situation that can arise when a local government handling the CAMA minor permits incurs costs greater than the permit fee collected from the applicant. This sentence allows the local program to cover that amount from other CAMA permit reimbursements. Similarly, 07I.0506 combines and consolidates the various requirements imposed by law for the benefit of the regulated public. The rule also includes additional information regarding extra-territorial areas which is not in the statute and is necessary to implement the article as allowed by G.S. § 113A-124(c)(5). Finally, 7I .0702 provides something more than is contained in case law or black letter law by specifying that the CRC, not a court or the OAH, determines whether a local permit-letting agency exceeds its authority. For these reasons, the CRC respectfully requests that the RRC determine these three rules are necessary and approve the rules.

<u>Finally</u>, the CRC has provided additional authority and/or technical changes to address the previous objections raised by RRC Staff Counsel in September and December, 2022 for the following rules: 07I .0508; .0511; 07J .0203; .0204; .0206; .0207; .0208 and 07M .0402, .0704, and .1102.

For the above stated reasons, we respectfully request the RRC approve the remaining re-adopted rules addressed in this letter pursuant to G.S. § 150B-21.3A. Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Sincerely,

Mary L. huan

Mary L. Lucasse Special Deputy Attorney General Counsel to the CRC

cc: M. Renee Cahoon, CRC Chair, electronically Braxton C. Davis, DCM Director, electronically Mike Lopazanski, DCM Deputy Director, electronically Angela Willis, CRC Rulemaking Coordinator, electronically Jennifer Everett, DEQ Rulemaking Coordinator, electronically William Peaslee, RRC Counsel, electronically Brian Liebman, RRC Counsel, electronically Lawrence Duke, RRC Counsel, electronically Alex Burgos, Paralegal, Office of Administrative Hearings, electronically 1 15A NCAC 07H .0308 is amended as published with changes in 37:14 NCR 1003-1008 as follows:

2				
3	15A NCAC 07H	I .0308	SPECIFIC USE STANDARDS FOR OCEAN HAZARD AREAS	
4	(a) Ocean Shore	line Eros	sion Control Activities:	
5	(1)	Use Sta	andards Applicable to all Erosion Control Activities:	
6		(A)	All oceanfront erosion response activities shall be consistent with the general policy	f
7			statements in 15A NCAC 07M .0200.	
8		(B)	Permanent erosion control structures may cause significant adverse impacts on the value	e
9			and enjoyment of adjacent properties or public access to and use of the ocean beach, and	,
10			therefore, unless specifically authorized under the Coastal Area Management Act, are	Э
11			prohibited. Such structures include bulkheads, seawalls, revetments, jetties, groins groins	,
12			and breakwaters.	
13		(C)	Rules concerning the use of oceanfront erosion response measures apply to all oceanfront	t
14 15			properties without regard to the size of the structure on the property or the date of its construction.	5
16		(D)	Shoreline erosion response projects shall not be constructed in beach or estuarine areas that	t
17		(D)	sustain substantial habitat for fish and wildlife species, as identified by State or federal	
18			natural resource agencies during project review, unless mitigation measures are	
19			incorporated into project design, as set forth in Rule .0306(h) of this Section.	-
20		(E)	Project construction shall be timed to minimize adverse effects on biological activity.	
21		(F)	Prior to completing any erosion response project, all exposed remnants of or debris from	1
22			failed erosion control structures must be removed by the permittee.	
23		(G)	Permanent erosion control structures that would otherwise be prohibited by these standards	s
24			may be permitted on finding by the Division that:	
25			(i) the erosion control structure is necessary to protect a bridge that provides the only	7
26			existing road access on a barrier island, that is vital to public safety, and is	3
27			imminently threatened by erosion as defined in Part (a)(2)(B) of this Rule;	
28			(ii) the erosion response measures of relocation, beach nourishment or temporary	/
29			stabilization are not adequate to protect public health and safety; and	
30			(iii) the proposed erosion control structure will have no adverse impacts on adjacent	t
31			properties in private ownership or on public use of the beach.	
32		(H)	Structures that would otherwise be prohibited by these standards may also be permitted or	1
33			finding by the Division that:	
34			(i) the structure is necessary to protect a state <u>State</u> or federally registered historic	3
35			site that is imminently threatened by shoreline erosion as defined in Part (a)(2)(B))
36			of this Rule;	

1		(ii)	the erosion response measures of relocation, beach nourishment or temporary
2			stabilization are not adequate and practicable to protect the site;
3		(iii)	the structure is limited in extent and scope to that necessary to protect the site; and
4		(iv)	a permit for a structure under this Part may be issued only to a sponsoring public
5			agency for projects where the public benefits outweigh the significant adverse
6			impacts. Additionally, the permit shall include conditions providing for mitigation
7			or minimization by that agency of significant adverse impacts on adjoining
8			properties and on public access to and use of the beach.
9	(I)	Structur	es that would otherwise be prohibited by these standards may also be permitted on
10		finding l	by the Division that:
11		(i)	the structure is necessary to maintain an existing commercial navigation channel
12			of regional significance within federally authorized limits;
13		(ii)	dredging alone is not practicable to maintain safe access to the affected channel;
14		(iii)	the structure is limited in extent and scope to that necessary to maintain the
15			channel;
16		(iv)	the structure shall not have significant adverse impacts on fisheries or other public
17			trust resources; and
18		(v)	a permit for a structure under this Part may be issued only to a sponsoring public
19			agency for projects where the public benefits outweigh the significant adverse
20			impacts. Additionally, the permit shall include conditions providing for mitigation
21			or minimization by that agency of any significant adverse impacts on adjoining
22			properties and on public access to and use of the beach.
23	(J)	The Cor	nmission may renew a permit for an erosion control structure issued pursuant to a
24		variance	granted by the Commission prior to 1 July 1995. The Commission may authorize
25		the repl	acement of a permanent erosion control structure that was permitted by the
26		Commis	sion pursuant to a variance granted by the Commission prior to 1 July 1995 if the
27		Commis	sion finds that:
28		(i)	the structure will not be enlarged beyond the dimensions set out in the permit;
29		(ii)	there is no practical alternative to replacing the structure that will provide the same
30			or similar benefits; and
31		(iii)	the replacement structure will comply with all applicable laws and with all rules,
32			other than the rule or rules with respect to which the Commission granted the
33			variance, that are in effect at the time the structure is replaced.
34	(K)	Propose	d erosion response measures using innovative technology or design shall be
35		consider	red as experimental and shall be evaluated on a case-by-case basis to determine
36		consiste	ncy with 15A NCAC 07M .0200 and general and specific use standards within this
37		Section.	

1	(2)	Tempo	orary Erosion Control Structures:
2		(A)	Permittable temporary erosion control structures shall be limited to sandbags placed
3			landward of mean high water and parallel to the shore.
4		(B)	Temporary erosion control structures as defined in Part (A) of this Subparagraph may be
5			used to protect only imminently threatened roads and associated right of ways and
6			buildings and their associated septic systems. A structure is considered imminently
7			threatened if its foundation, septic system, or right-of-way in the case of roads is less than
8			20 feet away from the erosion scarp. Buildings and roads located more than 20 feet from
9			the erosion scarp or in areas where there is no obvious erosion scarp may also be found to
10			be imminently threatened when site conditions, such as a flat beach profile or accelerated
11			erosion, increase the risk of imminent damage to the structure.
12		(C)	Temporary erosion control structures shall be used to protect only the principal structure
13			and its associated septic system, but not appurtenances such as pools, gazebos, decks or
14			any amenity that is allowed under Rule .0309 of this Section as an exception to the erosion
15			setback requirement.
16		(D)	Temporary erosion control structures may be placed waterward of a septic system when
17			there is no alternative to relocate it on the same or adjoining lot so that it is landward of or
18			in line with the structure being protected.
19		(E)	Temporary erosion control structures shall not extend more than 20 feet past the sides of
20			the structure to be protected except to align with temporary erosion control structures on
21			adjacent properties, where the Division has determined that gaps between adjacent erosion
22			control structures may result in an increased risk of damage to the structure to be protected.
23			The landward side of such temporary erosion control structures shall not be located more
24			than 20 feet waterward of the structure to be protected or the right-of-way in the case of
25			roads. If a building or road is found to be imminently threatened and at an increased risk
26			of imminent damage due to site conditions such as a flat beach profile or accelerated
27			erosion, temporary erosion control structures may be located more than 20 feet waterward
28			of the structure being protected. In cases of increased risk of imminent damage, the location
29			of the temporary erosion control structures shall be determined by the Director of the
30			Division of Coastal Management or the Director's designee in accordance with Part (A) of
31			this Subparagraph.
32		(F)	Temporary erosion control structures may remain in place for up to eight years for a
33			building and its associated septic system, a bridge or a road. The property owner shall be
34			responsible for removal of any portion of the temporary erosion control structure exposed
35			above grade within 30 days of the end of the allowable time period.
36		(G)	An imminently threatened structure or property may be protected only once, regardless of
37			ownership, unless the threatened structure or property is located in a community that is

1		actively	y pursuing a beach nourishment project or an inlet relocation or stabilization project
2		in acco	rdance with Part (H) of this Subparagraph. Existing temporary erosion control
3		structur	res may be permitted for additional eight-year periods provided that the structure or
4		propert	y being protected is still imminently threatened, the temporary erosion control
5		structur	re is in compliance with requirements of this Subchapter, and the community in
6		which	it is located is actively pursuing a beach nourishment or an inlet relocation or
7		stabiliz	ation project in accordance with Part (H) of this Subparagraph. In the case of a
8		building	g, a temporary erosion control structure may be extended, or new segments
9		constru	cted, if additional areas of the building become imminently threatened. Where
10		tempor	ary structures are installed or extended incrementally, the time period for removal
11		under P	Part (F) or (H) of this Subparagraph shall begin at the time the initial erosion control
12		structur	e was installed. For the purpose of this Rule:
13		(i)	a building and its septic system shall be considered separate structures,
14		(ii)	a road or highway may be incrementally protected as sections become imminently
15			threatened. The time period for removal of each contiguous section of temporary
16			erosion control structure shall begin at the time that the initial section was
17			installed, in accordance with Part (F) of this Subparagraph.
18	(H)	For pu	rposes of this Rule, a community is considered to be actively pursuing a beach
19		nourish	ment or an inlet relocation or stabilization project in accordance with G.S. 113A-
20		115.1 it	f it:
21		(i)	has been issued an active CAMA permit, where necessary, approving such
22			project; or
23		(ii)	has been identified by a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Beach Nourishment
24			Reconnaissance Study, General Reevaluation Report, Coastal Storm Damage
25			Reduction Study, or an ongoing feasibility study by the U.S. Army Corps of
26			Engineers and a commitment of local or federal money, when necessary; or
27		(iii)	has received a favorable economic evaluation report on a federal project; or
28		(iv)	is in the planning stages of a project designed by the U.S. Army Corps of
29			Engineers or persons meeting applicable State occupational licensing
30			requirements and initiated by a local government or community with a
31			commitment of local or state funds to construct the project or the identification of
32			the financial resources or funding bases necessary to fund the beach nourishment,
33			inlet relocation or stabilization project.
34		If beacl	n nourishment, inlet relocation, or stabilization is rejected by the sponsoring agency
35		or com	munity, or ceases to be actively planned for a section of shoreline, the time extension
36		is void	for that section of beach or community and existing sandbags are subject to all
37		applica	ble time limits set forth in Part (F) of this Subparagraph.

2 Management to be unnecessary due to relocation or removal of the threatened structure, it 3 shall be removed to the maximum extent practicable by the property owner within 30 days 4 of official notification from the Division of Coastal Management regardless of the time 5 limit placed on the temporary erosion control structure. If the temporary erosion control 6 structure is determined by the Division of Coastal Management to be unnecessary due to 7 the completion of a storm protection project constructed by the U.S. Army Corps of 8 Engineers, a large-scale beach nourishment project, or an inlet relocation or stabilization 9 project, any portion of the temporary erosion control structure exposed above grade shall 10 be removed by the property owner within 30 days of official notification from the Division 12 structure. 13 (J) Removal of temporary erosion control structure is not required if they are covered by sand. 14 Any portion of the temporary erosion control structure that becomes exposed above grade after the expiration of the permitted time period shall be removed by the property owner within 30 days of official notification from the Division of Coastal Management. 17 (K) The property owner shall be responsible for the removal of remnants of all portions of any damaged temporary erosion control structure. 18	1		(I) Once a temporary erosion control structure is determined by the Division of (Coastal
4 of official notification from the Division of Coastal Management regardless of the time 5 limit placed on the temporary erosion control structure. If the temporary erosion control 6 structure is determined by the Division of Coastal Management to be unnecessary due to 7 the completion of a storm protection project constructed by the U.S. Army Corps of 8 Engineers, a large-scale beach nourishment project, or an inlet relocation or stabilization 9 project, any portion of the temporary erosion control structure exposed above grade shall 10 be removed by the property owner within 30 days of official notification from the Division 11 of Coastal Management regardless of the time limit placed on the temporary erosion control 12 structure. 13 (J) Removal of temporary erosion control structures is not required if they are covered by sand. 14 Any portion of the temporary erosion control structure that becomes exposed above grade 15 after the expiration of the permitted time period shall be removed by the property owner 16 within 30 days of official notification from the Division of Coastal Management. 17 (K) The property owner shall be responsible for the removal of remnants of all portions of any damaged temporary erosion control structure. 19 (L) Sandbags	2		Management to be unnecessary due to relocation or removal of the threatened struct	ture, it
5 limit placed on the temporary erosion control structure. If the temporary erosion control 6 structure is determined by the Division of Coastal Management to be unnecessary due to 7 the completion of a storm protection project constructed by the U.S. Army Corps of 8 Engineers, a large-scale beach nourishment project, or an inlet relocation or stabilization 9 project, any portion of the temporary erosion control structure exposed above grade shall 10 be removed by the property owner within 30 days of official notification from the Division 11 of Coastal Management regardless of the time limit placed on the temporary erosion control 12 structure. 13 (J) Removal of temporary erosion control structures is not required if they are covered by sand. 14 Any portion of the temporary erosion control structure that becomes exposed above grade after the expiration of the permitted time period shall be removed by the property owner within 30 days of official notification from the Division of Coastal Management. 17 (K) The property owner shall be responsible for the removal of remnants of all portions of any damaged temporary erosion control structure. 19 (L) Sandbags used to construct temporary erosion control structures shall be tan in color and three § to five § feet wide and seven § to 15 feet long when measured flat. Base width of the temporary erosion control structure shall not exceed 20 feet, and t	3		shall be removed to the maximum extent practicable by the property owner within 3	0 days
6 structure is determined by the Division of Coastal Management to be unnecessary due to 7 the completion of a storm protection project constructed by the U.S. Army Corps of 8 Engineers, a large-scale beach nourishment project, or an inlet relocation or stabilization 9 project, any portion of the temporary erosion control structure exposed above grade shall 10 be removed by the property owner within 30 days of official notification from the Division 11 of Coastal Management regardless of the time limit placed on the temporary erosion control 12 structure. 13 (J) Removal of temporary erosion control structures is not required if they are covered by sand. 14 Any portion of the temporary erosion control structure that becomes exposed above grade 15 after the expiration of the permitted time period shall be removed by the property owner 16 within 30 days of official notification from the Division of Coastal Management. 17 (K) The property owner shall be responsible for the removal of remnants of all portions of any damaged temporary erosion control structure. 19 (L) Sandbags used to construct temporary erosion control structures shall be tan in color and three § to five § feet wide and seven § to 15 feet long when measured flat. Base width of the temporary erosion control structures shall not exceed 20 feet, and the total height shall <td>4</td> <td></td> <td>of official notification from the Division of Coastal Management regardless of th</td> <td>ie time</td>	4		of official notification from the Division of Coastal Management regardless of th	ie time
7 the completion of a storm protection project constructed by the U.S. Army Corps of 8 Engineers, a large-scale beach nourishment project, or an inlet relocation or stabilization 9 project, any portion of the temporary erosion control structure exposed above grade shall 10 be removed by the property owner within 30 days of official notification from the Division 11 of Coastal Management regardless of the time limit placed on the temporary erosion control 12 structure. 13 (J) Removal of temporary erosion control structures is not required if they are covered by sand. 14 Any portion of the temporary erosion control structure that becomes exposed above grade 15 after the expiration of the permitted time period shall be removed by the property owner 16 within 30 days of official notification from the Division of Coastal Management. 17 (K) The property owner shall be responsible for the removal of remnants of all portions of any 18 damaged temporary erosion control structure. 19 19 (L) Sandbags used to construct temporary erosion control structures shall be tan in color and 20 three § to five § feet wide and seven § to 15 feet long when measured flat. Base width of 21 the temporary erosion control structure shall be total height shall	5		limit placed on the temporary erosion control structure. If the temporary erosion	control
8Engineers, a large-scale beach nourishment project, or an inlet relocation or stabilization9project, any portion of the temporary erosion control structure exposed above grade shall10be removed by the property owner within 30 days of official notification from the Division11of Coastal Management regardless of the time limit placed on the temporary erosion control12structure.13(J)Removal of temporary erosion control structures is not required if they are covered by sand.14Any portion of the temporary erosion control structure that becomes exposed above grade15after the expiration of the permitted time period shall be removed by the property owner16within 30 days of official notification from the Division of Coastal Management.17(K)The property owner shall be responsible for the removal of remnants of all portions of any damaged temporary erosion control structure.19(L)Sandbags used to construct temporary erosion control structures shall be tan in color and three § to five § feet wide and seven § to 15 feet long when measured flat. Base width of the temporary erosion control structure shall not exceed 20 feet, and the total height shall not exceed sing 6 feet, as measured from the bottom of the lowest bag.23(M)Soldier pilings and other types of devices to anchor sandbags shall not be allowed.24(N)Existing sandbag structures may be repaired or replaced within their originally permitted dimensions during the time period allowed under Part (F) or (G) of this Subparagraph.26(3)Beach Nourishment. Sand used for beach nourishment shall be compatible with existing grain size <td>6</td> <td></td> <td>structure is determined by the Division of Coastal Management to be unnecessary</td> <td>due to</td>	6		structure is determined by the Division of Coastal Management to be unnecessary	due to
9project, any portion of the temporary erosion control structure exposed above grade shall10be removed by the property owner within 30 days of official notification from the Division11of Coastal Management regardless of the time limit placed on the temporary erosion control12structure.13(J)Removal of temporary erosion control structures is not required if they are covered by sand.14Any portion of the temporary erosion control structure that becomes exposed above grade15after the expiration of the permitted time period shall be removed by the property owner16within 30 days of official notification from the Division of Coastal Management.17(K)The property owner shall be responsible for the removal of remnants of all portions of any damaged temporary erosion control structure.19(L)Sandbags used to construct temporary erosion control structures shall be tan in color and three § to five § feet wide and seven 7 to 15 feet long when measured flat. Base width of the temporary erosion control structure shall not exceed 20 feet, and the total height shall 	7		the completion of a storm protection project constructed by the U.S. Army Co	orps of
10be removed by the property owner within 30 days of official notification from the Division11of Coastal Management regardless of the time limit placed on the temporary erosion control12structure.13(J)Removal of temporary erosion control structures is not required if they are covered by sand.14Any portion of the temporary erosion control structure that becomes exposed above grade15after the expiration of the permitted time period shall be removed by the property owner16within 30 days of official notification from the Division of Coastal Management.17(K)The property owner shall be responsible for the removal of remnants of all portions of any damaged temporary erosion control structure.19(L)Sandbags used to construct temporary erosion control structures shall be tan in color and three § to five § feet wide and seven ? to 15 feet long when measured flat. Base width of the temporary erosion control structure shall not exceed 20 feet, and the total height shall not exceed size § feet, as measured from the bottom of the lowest bag.23(M)Soldier pilings and other types of devices to anchor sandbags shall not be allowed.24(N)Existing sandbag structures may be repaired or replaced within their originally permitted dimensions during the time period allowed under Part (F) or (G) of this Subparagraph.26(3)Beach Nourishment. Sand used for beach nourishment shall be compatible with existing grain size and in accordance with Rule .0312 of this Section.28(4)Beach Bulldozing. Beach bulldozing (defined is defined as the process of moving natural beach material from any point seaward of the vegetat	8		Engineers, a large-scale beach nourishment project, or an inlet relocation or stabil	ization
11 of Coastal Management regardless of the time limit placed on the temporary erosion control 12 structure. 13 (J) Removal of temporary erosion control structures is not required if they are covered by sand. 14 Any portion of the temporary erosion control structure that becomes exposed above grade 15 after the expiration of the permitted time period shall be removed by the property owner 16 within 30 days of official notification from the Division of Coastal Management. 17 (K) The property owner shall be responsible for the removal of remnants of all portions of any 18 damaged temporary erosion control structure. 19 (L) Sandbags used to construct temporary erosion control structures shall be tan in color and 20 three § to five § feet wide and seven 7 to 15 feet long when measured flat. Base width of 21 not exceed six § feet, as measured from the bottom of the lowest bag. 23 (M) Soldier pilings and other types of devices to anchor sandbags shall not be allowed. 24 (N) Existing sandbag structures may be repaired or replaced within their originally permitted 25 dimensions during the time period allowed under Part (F) or (G) of this Subparagraph. 26 (3) Beach Nourishment. Sand used for beach nourishment shall	9		project, any portion of the temporary erosion control structure exposed above grad	le shall
12 structure. 13 (J) Removal of temporary erosion control structures is not required if they are covered by sand. 14 Any portion of the temporary erosion control structure that becomes exposed above grade 15 after the expiration of the permitted time period shall be removed by the property owner 16 within 30 days of official notification from the Division of Coastal Management. 17 (K) The property owner shall be responsible for the removal of remnants of all portions of any damaged temporary erosion control structure. 19 (L) Sandbags used to construct temporary erosion control structures shall be tan in color and the temporary erosion control structure shall not exceed 20 feet, and the total height shall not exceed six § feet, as measured from the bottom of the lowest bag. 23 (M) Soldier pilings and other types of devices to anchor sandbags shall not be allowed. 24 (N) Existing sandbag structures may be repaired or replaced within their originally permitted dimensions during the time period allowed under Part (F) or (G) of this Subparagraph. 26 (3) Beach Nourishment. Sand used for beach nourishment shall be compatible with existing grain size and in accordance with Rule .0312 of this Section. 28 (4) Beach Bulldozing. Beach bulldozing (defined is defined as the process of moving natural beach material from any point seaward of the vegetation line to create a p	10		be removed by the property owner within 30 days of official notification from the D	ivision
13(J)Removal of temporary erosion control structures is not required if they are covered by sand.14Any portion of the temporary erosion control structure that becomes exposed above grade15after the expiration of the permitted time period shall be removed by the property owner16within 30 days of official notification from the Division of Coastal Management.17(K)The property owner shall be responsible for the removal of remnants of all portions of any damaged temporary erosion control structure.19(L)Sandbags used to construct temporary erosion control structures shall be tan in color and three § to five § feet wide and seven § to 15 feet long when measured flat. Base width of the temporary erosion control structure shall not exceed 20 feet, and the total height shall not exceed six § feet, as measured from the bottom of the lowest bag.23(M)Soldier pilings and other types of devices to anchor sandbags shall not be allowed.24(N)Existing sandbag structures may be repaired or replaced within their originally permitted dimensions during the time period allowed under Part (F) or (G) of this Subparagraph.26(3)Beach Nourishment. Sand used for beach nourishment shall be compatible with existing grain size and in accordance with Rule .0312 of this Section.28(4)Beach Bulldozing. Beach bulldozing (defined is defined as the process of moving natural beach material for any other purpose) purpose is considered development and may be permitted as an erosion response if the following conditions are met:	11		of Coastal Management regardless of the time limit placed on the temporary erosion	control
14Any portion of the temporary erosion control structure that becomes exposed above grade15after the expiration of the permitted time period shall be removed by the property owner16within 30 days of official notification from the Division of Coastal Management.17(K)The property owner shall be responsible for the removal of remnants of all portions of any18damaged temporary erosion control structure.19(L)Sandbags used to construct temporary erosion control structures shall be tan in color and20five § to five § feet wide and seven 7 to 15 feet long when measured flat. Base width of21the temporary erosion control structure shall not exceed 20 feet, and the total height shall22not exceed six § feet, as measured from the bottom of the lowest bag.23(M)Soldier pilings and other types of devices to anchor sandbags shall not be allowed.24(N)Existing sandbag structures may be repaired or replaced within their originally permitted25and in accordance with Rule .0312 of this Section.28(4)Beach Bulldozing. Beach bulldozing (defined is defined as the process of moving natural beach29material from any point seaward of the vegetation line to create a protective sand dike or to obtain30material for any other purpose is considered development and may be permitted as an31erosion response if the following conditions are met:	12		structure.	
15after the expiration of the permitted time period shall be removed by the property owner16within 30 days of official notification from the Division of Coastal Management.17(K)The property owner shall be responsible for the removal of remnants of all portions of any damaged temporary erosion control structure.19(L)Sandbags used to construct temporary erosion control structures shall be tan in color and dimee § to five § feet wide and seven 7 to 15 feet long when measured flat. Base width of the temporary erosion control structure shall not exceed 20 feet, and the total height shall not exceed sin § feet, as measured from the bottom of the lowest bag.23(M)Soldier pilings and other types of devices to anchor sandbags shall not be allowed.24(N)Existing sandbag structures may be repaired or replaced within their originally permitted dimensions during the time period allowed under Part (F) or (G) of this Subparagraph.26(3)Beach Nourishment. Sand used for beach nourishment shall be compatible with existing grain size and in accordance with Rule .0312 of this Section.28(4)Beach Bulldozing. Beach bulldozing (defined is defined as the process of moving natural beach material from any point seaward of the vegetation line to create a protective sand dike or to obtain material for any other purpose is considered development and may be permitted as an erosion response if the following conditions are met:	13		(J) Removal of temporary erosion control structures is not required if they are covered b	y sand.
16within 30 days of official notification from the Division of Coastal Management.17(K)The property owner shall be responsible for the removal of remnants of all portions of any damaged temporary erosion control structure.19(L)Sandbags used to construct temporary erosion control structures shall be tan in color and three § to five § feet wide and seven 7 to 15 feet long when measured flat. Base width of the temporary erosion control structure shall not exceed 20 feet, and the total height shall not exceed six § feet, as measured from the bottom of the lowest bag.23(M)Soldier pilings and other types of devices to anchor sandbags shall not be allowed.24(N)Existing sandbag structures may be repaired or replaced within their originally permitted dimensions during the time period allowed under Part (F) or (G) of this Subparagraph.26(3)Beach Nourishment. Sand used for beach nourishment shall be compatible with existing grain size and in accordance with Rule .0312 of this Section.28(4)Beach Bulldozing. Beach bulldozing (defined is defined as the process of moving natural beach material from any point seaward of the vegetation line to create a protective sand dike or to obtain material for any other purpose) purpose is considered development and may be permitted as an erosion response if the following conditions are met:	14		Any portion of the temporary erosion control structure that becomes exposed above	e grade
 17 (K) The property owner shall be responsible for the removal of remnants of all portions of any damaged temporary erosion control structure. 19 (L) Sandbags used to construct temporary erosion control structures shall be tan in color and three 3 to five 5 feet wide and seven 7 to 15 feet long when measured flat. Base width of the temporary erosion control structure shall not exceed 20 feet, and the total height shall not exceed 3 is 6 feet, as measured from the bottom of the lowest bag. 23 (M) Soldier pilings and other types of devices to anchor sandbags shall not be allowed. 24 (N) Existing sandbag structures may be repaired or replaced within their originally permitted dimensions during the time period allowed under Part (F) or (G) of this Subparagraph. 26 (3) Beach Nourishment. Sand used for beach nourishment shall be compatible with existing grain size and in accordance with Rule .0312 of this Section. 28 (4) Beach Bulldozing. Beach bulldozing (defined is defined as the process of moving natural beach material for any other purpose) purpose is considered development and may be permitted as an erosion response if the following conditions are met: 	15		after the expiration of the permitted time period shall be removed by the property	owner
18damaged temporary erosion control structure.19(L)Sandbags used to construct temporary erosion control structures shall be tan in color and20Ibree 3 to five 5 feet wide and seven 7 to 15 feet long when measured flat. Base width of21the temporary erosion control structure shall not exceed 20 feet, and the total height shall22not exceed six 6 feet, as measured from the bottom of the lowest bag.23(M)Soldier pilings and other types of devices to anchor sandbags shall not be allowed.24(N)Existing sandbag structures may be repaired or replaced within their originally permitted25and in accordance with Rule .0312 of this Section.28(4)Beach Bulldozing. Beach bulldozing (defined is defined as the process of moving natural beach29material from any point seaward of the vegetation line to create a protective sand dike or to obtain30material for any other purpose) purpose is considered development and may be permitted as an31erosion response if the following conditions are met:	16		within 30 days of official notification from the Division of Coastal Management.	
19(L)Sandbags used to construct temporary erosion control structures shall be tan in color and20three 3 to five 5 feet wide and seven 7 to 15 feet long when measured flat. Base width of21the temporary erosion control structure shall not exceed 20 feet, and the total height shall22not exceed six 6 feet, as measured from the bottom of the lowest bag.23(M)Soldier pilings and other types of devices to anchor sandbags shall not be allowed.24(N)Existing sandbag structures may be repaired or replaced within their originally permitted25imensions during the time period allowed under Part (F) or (G) of this Subparagraph.26(3)Beach Nourishment. Sand used for beach nourishment shall be compatible with existing grain size27and in accordance with Rule .0312 of this Section.28(4)Beach Bulldozing. Beach bulldozing (defined is defined as the process of moving natural beach29material from any point seaward of the vegetation line to create a protective sand dike or to obtain30material for any other purpose) purpose is considered development and may be permitted as an31erosion response if the following conditions are met:	17		(K) The property owner shall be responsible for the removal of remnants of all portions	of any
20 three 3 to five 5 feet wide and seven 7 to 15 feet long when measured flat. Base width of 21 the temporary erosion control structure shall not exceed 20 feet, and the total height shall 22 not exceed six 6 feet, as measured from the bottom of the lowest bag. 23 (M) Soldier pilings and other types of devices to anchor sandbags shall not be allowed. 24 (N) Existing sandbag structures may be repaired or replaced within their originally permitted 25 dimensions during the time period allowed under Part (F) or (G) of this Subparagraph. 26 (3) Beach Nourishment. Sand used for beach nourishment shall be compatible with existing grain size 27 and in accordance with Rule .0312 of this Section. 28 (4) Beach Bulldozing. Beach bulldozing (defined is defined as the process of moving natural beach 29 material for any point seaward of the vegetation line to create a protective sand dike or to obtain 30 material for any other purpose) purpose is considered development and may be permitted as an 31 erosion response if the following conditions are met:	18		damaged temporary erosion control structure.	
21the temporary erosion control structure shall not exceed 20 feet, and the total height shall22not exceed six 6 feet, as measured from the bottom of the lowest bag.23(M)Soldier pilings and other types of devices to anchor sandbags shall not be allowed.24(N)Existing sandbag structures may be repaired or replaced within their originally permitted25dimensions during the time period allowed under Part (F) or (G) of this Subparagraph.26(3)Beach Nourishment. Sand used for beach nourishment shall be compatible with existing grain size27and in accordance with Rule .0312 of this Section.28(4)Beach Bulldozing. Beach bulldozing (defined is defined as the process of moving natural beach29material from any point seaward of the vegetation line to create a protective sand dike or to obtain30material for any other purpose) purpose is considered development and may be permitted as an31erosion response if the following conditions are met:	19		(L) Sandbags used to construct temporary erosion control structures shall be tan in co	lor and
22not exceed six § feet, as measured from the bottom of the lowest bag.23(M)Soldier pilings and other types of devices to anchor sandbags shall not be allowed.24(N)Existing sandbag structures may be repaired or replaced within their originally permitted25dimensions during the time period allowed under Part (F) or (G) of this Subparagraph.26(3)Beach Nourishment. Sand used for beach nourishment shall be compatible with existing grain size27and in accordance with Rule .0312 of this Section.28(4)Beach Bulldozing. Beach bulldozing (defined is defined as the process of moving natural beach29material from any point seaward of the vegetation line to create a protective sand dike or to obtain30material for any other purpose) purpose is considered development and may be permitted as an31erosion response if the following conditions are met:	20		three <u>3</u> to five <u>5</u> feet wide and seven <u>7</u> to 15 feet long when measured flat. Base w	idth of
 (M) Soldier pilings and other types of devices to anchor sandbags shall not be allowed. (N) Existing sandbag structures may be repaired or replaced within their originally permitted dimensions during the time period allowed under Part (F) or (G) of this Subparagraph. (3) Beach Nourishment. Sand used for beach nourishment shall be compatible with existing grain size and in accordance with Rule .0312 of this Section. (4) Beach Bulldozing. Beach bulldozing (defined is defined as the process of moving natural beach material from any point seaward of the vegetation line to create a protective sand dike or to obtain material for any other purpose) purpose is considered development and may be permitted as an erosion response if the following conditions are met: 	21		the temporary erosion control structure shall not exceed 20 feet, and the total heigh	nt shall
 (N) Existing sandbag structures may be repaired or replaced within their originally permitted dimensions during the time period allowed under Part (F) or (G) of this Subparagraph. (3) Beach Nourishment. Sand used for beach nourishment shall be compatible with existing grain size and in accordance with Rule .0312 of this Section. (4) Beach Bulldozing. Beach bulldozing (defined is defined as the process of moving natural beach material from any point seaward of the vegetation line to create a protective sand dike or to obtain material for any other purpose) purpose is considered development and may be permitted as an erosion response if the following conditions are met: 	22		not exceed six <u>6</u> feet, as measured from the bottom of the lowest bag.	
25dimensions during the time period allowed under Part (F) or (G) of this Subparagraph.26(3)Beach Nourishment. Sand used for beach nourishment shall be compatible with existing grain size27and in accordance with Rule .0312 of this Section.28(4)Beach Bulldozing. Beach bulldozing (defined is defined as the process of moving natural beach29material from any point seaward of the vegetation line to create a protective sand dike or to obtain30material for any other purpose) purpose is considered development and may be permitted as an31erosion response if the following conditions are met:	23		(M) Soldier pilings and other types of devices to anchor sandbags shall not be allowed.	
 (3) Beach Nourishment. Sand used for beach nourishment shall be compatible with existing grain size and in accordance with Rule .0312 of this Section. (4) Beach Bulldozing. Beach bulldozing (defined is defined as the process of moving natural beach material from any point seaward of the vegetation line to create a protective sand dike or to obtain material for any other purpose) purpose is considered development and may be permitted as an erosion response if the following conditions are met: 	24		(N) Existing sandbag structures may be repaired or replaced within their originally per	rmitted
27and in accordance with Rule .0312 of this Section.28(4)Beach Bulldozing. Beach bulldozing (defined is defined as the process of moving natural beach29material from any point seaward of the vegetation line to create a protective sand dike or to obtain30material for any other purpose) purpose is considered development and may be permitted as an31erosion response if the following conditions are met:	25		dimensions during the time period allowed under Part (F) or (G) of this Subparagra	ph.
28(4)Beach Bulldozing. Beach bulldozing (defined is defined as the process of moving natural beach29material from any point seaward of the vegetation line to create a protective sand dike or to obtain30material for any other purpose) purpose is considered development and may be permitted as an31erosion response if the following conditions are met:	26	(3)	Beach Nourishment. Sand used for beach nourishment shall be compatible with existing gra	in size
29material from any point seaward of the vegetation line to create a protective sand dike or to obtain30material for any other purpose) purpose is considered development and may be permitted as an31erosion response if the following conditions are met:	27		and in accordance with Rule .0312 of this Section.	
30material for any other purpose)purposeis considereddevelopment and may be permitted as an31erosion response if the following conditions are met:	28	(4)	Beach Bulldozing. Beach bulldozing (defined is defined as the process of moving natural	beach
31 erosion response if the following conditions are met:	29		material from any point seaward of the vegetation line to create a protective sand dike or to	obtain
	30		material for any other purpose) purpose is considered development and may be permitted	l as an
	31		erosion response if the following conditions are met:	
32 (A) The area on which this activity is being performed shall maintain a slope of adequate grade	32		(A) The area on which this activity is being performed shall maintain a slope of adequate	e grade
so as to not endanger the public or the public's use of the beach and shall follow the pre-	33		so as to not endanger the public or the public's use of the beach and shall follow t	he pre-
34 emergency slope as closely as possible. The movement of material utilizing a bulldozer,	34		emergency slope as closely as possible. The movement of material utilizing a bul	ldozer,
35 front end loader, backhoe, scraper, or any type of earth moving or construction equipment	35		front end loader, backhoe, scraper, or any type of earth moving or construction equ	ipment
36 shall not exceed one foot in depth measured from the pre-activity surface elevation;	36		shall not exceed one foot in depth measured from the pre-activity surface elevation	;

1		(B) The activity shall not exceed the lateral bounds of the applicant's property unless
2		permission is obtained from the adjoining land owner(s);
3		(C) Movement of material from seaward of the mean low water line will require a CAMA
4		Major Development and State Dredge and Fill Permit;
5		(D) The activity shall not increase erosion on neighboring properties and shall not have an
6		adverse effect on natural or cultural resources; resources as identified by the NC
7		Department of Natural and Cultural Resources.
8		(E) The activity may be undertaken to protect threatened on-site waste disposal systems as well
9		as the threatened structure's foundations.
10	(b) Dune Prote	ction, Establishment, Restoration and Stabilization.
11	(1)	No development shall be permitted that involves the removal or relocation of primary or frontal
12		dune sand or vegetation that would adversely affect the integrity of the dune dune's function as a
13		protective barrier against flooding and erosion. Other dunes within the ocean hazard area shall not
14		be disturbed unless the development of the property is otherwise impracticable. Any disturbance of
15		these other dunes shall be allowed only to the extent permitted by this Rule.
16	(2)	Any new dunes established shall be aligned to the greatest extent possible with existing adjacent
17		dune ridges and shall be of the same configuration as adjacent natural dunes.
18	(3)	Existing primary and frontal dunes shall not, except for beach nourishment and emergency
19		situations, be broadened or extended in an oceanward direction.
20	(4)	Adding to dunes shall be accomplished in such a manner that the damage to existing vegetation is
21		minimized. The filled areas shall be replanted or temporarily stabilized until planting can be
22		completed.
23	(5)	Sand used to establish or strengthen dunes shall be of the same general characteristics as the sand
24		in the area in which it is to be placed.
25	(6)	No new dunes shall be created in inlet hazard areas. Reconstruction or repair of existing dune
26		systems as defined in Rule .0305 of this Section and within the Inlet Hazard Area may be permitted.
27	(7)	Sand held in storage in any dune, other than the frontal or primary dune, shall remain on the lot or
28		tract of land to the maximum extent practicable and may be redistributed within the Ocean Hazard
29		AEC provided that it is not placed any farther oceanward than the crest of a primary dune, if present,
30		or the crest of a frontal dune.
31	(8)	No disturbance of a dune area shall be allowed when other techniques of construction can be utilized
32		and alternative site locations exist to avoid dune impacts.
33	(c) Structural A	Accessways:
34	(1)	Structural accessways shall be permitted across primary or frontal dunes so long as they are designed
35		and constructed in a manner that entails negligible alteration of does not alter the primary or frontal
36		dune. Structural accessways shall not be considered threatened structures for the purpose of
37		Paragraph (a) of this Rule.

1	(2)	An accessway shall be considered to entail negligible alteration of primary or frontal dunes provided
2		that:
3		(A) The accessway is exclusively for pedestrian use;
4		(B) The accessway is a maximum of six feet in width;
5		(C) Except in the case of beach matting for a local, State, or federal government's public access,
6		matting, the accessway is raised on posts or pilings of five feet or less depth, so that
7		wherever possible only the posts or pilings touch the dune, in accordance with any more
8		restrictive local, State, or federal building requirements. Beach matting for a local, State,
9		or federal government's public access shall be installed at grade and not involve any
10		excavation or fill of the dune; and
11		(D) Any areas of vegetation that are disturbed are revegetated as soon as feasible.
12	(3)	An accessway that does not meet Part (2)(A) and (B) of this Paragraph shall be permitted only if it
13		meets a public purpose or need which cannot otherwise be met and it meets Part (2)(C) of this
14		Paragraph. Public fishing piers are allowed provided all other applicable standards of this Rule are
15		met.
16	(4)	In order to preserve the protective nature of primary and frontal dunes, a structural accessway (such
17		such as a "Hatteras ramp") ramp" may be provided for off-road vehicle (ORV) or emergency vehicle
18		access. Such accessways shall be no greater than 15 feet in width and may be constructed of wooden
19		sections fastened together, or other materials approved by the Division, over the length of the
20		affected dune area. Installation of a Hatteras ramp shall be done in a manner that will preserve the
21		dune's function as a protective barrier against flooding and erosion by not reducing the volume of
22		the dune.
23	(5)	Structural accessways and beach matting may be constructed no more than six feet seaward of the
24		waterward toe of the frontal or primary dune, provided they do not interfere with public trust rights
25		and emergency access along the beach. Structural accessways and beach matting are not restricted
26		by the requirement to be landward of the First Line of Stable and Natural Vegetation as described
27		in Rule .0309(a) of this Section. A local, State, or federal entity may install beach matting farther
28		seaward to enhance handicap accessibility at a public beach access, subject to review by the Wildlife
29		Resources Commission and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to determine whether the proposed
30		design or installation will have an adverse impact on sea turtles or other threatened or endangered
31		species.
32	(d) Building C	onstruction Standards. New building construction and any construction identified in <u>Rule</u> .0306(a)(5)
33	of this Section a	and 15A NCAC 07J .0210 shall comply with the following standards:
34	(1)	In order to avoid danger to life and property, all development shall be designed and placed so as to
35		minimize damage due to fluctuations in ground elevation and wave action in a 100-year storm. Any
36		building constructed within the ocean hazard area shall comply with relevant sections of the North
37		Carolina Building Code including the Coastal and Flood Plain Construction Standards and the local

1		flood damage prevention ordinance as required by the National Flood Insurance Program. If any
2		provision of the building code or a flood damage prevention ordinance is inconsistent with any of
3		the following AEC standards, the more restrictive provision shall control.
4	(2)	All building in the ocean hazard area shall be on pilings not less than eight inches in diameter if
5		round or eight inches to a side if square.
6	(3)	All pilings shall have a tip penetration greater than eight feet below the lowest ground elevation
7		under the structure. For those structures so located on or seaward of the primary dune, the pilings
8		shall extend to five feet below mean sea level.
9	(4)	All foundations shall be designed to be stable during applicable fluctuations in ground elevation and
10		wave forces during a 100-year storm. Cantilevered decks and walkways shall meet the requirements
11		of this Part or shall be designed to break-away without structural damage to the main structure.
12		
13	History Note:	Authority G.S. 113A-107(a); 113A-107(b); 113A-113(b)(6)a.,b.,d.; 113A-115.1; 113A-124;
14		Eff. June 1, 1979;
15		Temporary Amendment Eff. June 20, 1989, for a period of 180 days to expire on December 17,
16		1989;
17		Amended Eff. August 3, 1992; December 1, 1991; March 1, 1990; December 1, 1989;
18		RRC Objection Eff. November 19, 1992 due to ambiguity;
19		RRC Objection Eff. January 21, 1993 due to ambiguity;
20		Amended Eff. March 1, 1993; December 28, 1992;
21		RRC Objection Eff. March 16, 1995 due to ambiguity;
22		Amended Eff. April 1, 1999; February 1, 1996; May 4, 1995;
23		Temporary Amendment Eff. July 3, 2000; May 22, 2000;
24		Amended Eff. April 1, 2019; May 1, 2013; July 1, 2009; April 1, 2008; February 1, 2006; August 1,
25		2002;
26		Readopted Eff. December 1, 2020;
27		Amended Eff. July 1, 2023; August 1, 2022; December 1, 2021.