# **Burgos, Alexander N**

#### Subject:

FW: [External] Reply to 18 NCAC 07D RFC with formatted rule file

From: Ascher, Seth M <seth.ascher@oah.nc.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 14, 2025 11:23 AM
To: Elmore, Ann M <aelmore@sosnc.gov>
Cc: Burgos, Alexander N <alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov>; Wall, Ann B <awall@sosnc.gov>
Subject: Re: [External] Reply to 18 NCAC 07D RFC with formatted rule file

I have reviewed your change, and anticipate recommending the final revised version of 18 NCAC 07D containing this rule.

#### **Seth Ascher**

Counsel to the North Carolina Rules Review Commission

Office of Administrative Hearings

(984) 236-1934

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

Subject:
Attachments:

FW: [External] Reply to 18 NCAC 07D RFC with formatted rule file 5.13.25 Response to 18 NCAC 07D technical correction request final.docx; 18 NCAC 07D .0404.docx

From: Ann Elmore <aelmore@sosnc.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, May 13, 2025 5:06 PM
To: Ascher, Seth M <seth.ascher@oah.nc.gov>
Cc: Burgos, Alexander N <alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov>; Wall, Ann B <awall@sosnc.gov>
Subject: [External] Reply to 18 NCAC 07D RFC with formatted rule file

**CAUTION:** External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless verified. Report suspicious emails with the Report Message button located on your Outlook menu bar on the Home tab.

Good afternoon, Seth.

Enclosed is our reply to the 18 NCAC 07D RFC. Also enclosed is the formatted rule file for 07D .0404, which reflects the RFC-prompted change you recommended.

Please let us know if you have any questions.

Sent on behalf of Ann B. Wall, General Counsel & Rulemaking Coordinator.

Thanks!

AnnE

Ann McNellis Elmore, Agency Legal Consultant

**NC Department of the Secretary of State** PO Box 29622 Raleigh, NC 27626-0622 Telephone: (919) 814-5521 Fax: 919 814-5596

For tips on how to become a better informed investor, go to <u>https://www.sosnc.gov/divisions/securities/for investors.</u>)

E-Mail to and from this Department is subject to the N.C. Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

Connect with us!

On the Web: <a href="http://www.sosnc.gov/">http://www.sosnc.gov/</a>

Facebook: <a href="https://www.facebook.com/NCSecState">https://www.facebook.com/NCSecState</a>

Twitter: <a href="https://twitter.com/NCSecState">https://twitter.com/NCSecState</a>

YouTube: <a href="https://www.youtube.com/c/NCSecState">https://www.youtube.com/c/NCSecState</a>

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: THIS E-MAIL MESSAGE, INCLUDING ANY ATTACHMENTS, IS FOR THE SOLE USE OF THE INTENDED RECIPIENT (S) AND MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL AND PRIVILEGED INFORMATION. ANY UNAUTHORIZED USE, DISCLOSURE, OR DISTRIBUTION IS PROHIBITED.



State of North Carolina Department of the Secretary of State

ELAINE F. MARSHALL SECRETARY OF STATE ANN B. WALL General Counsel

May 13, 2025

By email

Seth M. Ascher OAH Counsel to RRC seth.ascher@oah.nc.gov

. . .

Re: Response to Request for technical changes to 18 NCAC 07D

Enclosed please find our response to your request for change to 18 NCAC 07D. The language of the adopted rule and your technical correction request are provided in black font, with your requests italicized. Our responses and change made are provided in blue font.

Please feel free to call me if you have any questions.

## RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR CHANGES PURSUANT TO G.S. 150B-21.10 FOR 18 NCAC SUBCHAPTER 07D

<u>18 NCAC 07D .0404</u>: I found this rule a little awkward to parse on first reading. I think it might help to make it clear that "satisfactory evidence" in item 1 is a defined term. I.e., consider "satisfactory evidence, as defined in G.S. 10B-3(22);"

18 NCAC 07D .0404 NOTARY USE OF IDENTITY PROOFING AND CREDENTIAL ANALYSIS INDEPENDENT OF PLATFORM

A notary public electing to shall use approved identity proofing or credential analysis solutions when performing an in-person notarial act shall: if the notary:

(1) not use identity proofing and credential analysis as a replacement for the notary's independent evaluation of whether verifies the identity of the principal using personal knowledge or satisfactory evidence of identification has been met; evidence;

The Department agrees and proposes to implement the change highlighted in green as shown below:

# 18 NCAC 07D .0404 NOTARY USE OF IDENTITY PROOFING AND CREDENTIAL ANALYSIS INDEPENDENT OF PLATFORM

A notary public electing to shall use approved identity proofing or credential analysis solutions when performing an in-person notarial act shall: if the notary:

not use identity proofing and credential analysis as a replacement for the notary's independent evaluation of whether verifies the identity of the principal using personal knowledge or satisfactory evidence of identification has been met; evidence, as defined in G.S. 10B-3(22);
 ...

The enclosure reflects change as described and formatted.

Regards,

an B. Wan

Ann B. Wall General Counsel and Rulemaking Coordinator

Enclosure

cc: Alex Burgos Ann Elmore

1	18 NCAC 07D .0404 is adopted with changes as published in 39:13 NCR 818 as follows:		
2			
3	18 NCAC 07D	0404 NOTARY USE OF IDENTITY PROOFING AND CREDENTIAL ANALYSIS	
4		INDEPENDENT OF PLATFORM	
5	A notary public	electing to shall use approved identity proofing or credential analysis solutions when performing an	
6	in-person notarial act <del>shall:</del> if the notary:		
7	(1)	not use identity proofing and credential analysis as a replacement for the notary's independent	
8		evaluation of whether verifies the identity of the principal using personal knowledge or satisfactory	
9		evidence of identification has been met; evidence, as defined in G.S. 10B-3(22);	
10	(2)	use only approved uses the identity proofing or credential analysis vendors and solutions; only as a	
11		supplement to, and not a replacement for, the identification process described in Item (1) of this	
12		Rule: and	
13	(3)	comply complies with G.S. 10B-134.11(a)(2).	
14			
15	History Note:	Authority G.S. 10B-4; 10B-134.21; 10B-134.23;	
16		Eff. July 1, 2025.	

# **Burgos, Alexander N**

#### Subject:

FW: [External] Re: 18 NCAC 07D RFC

From: Ascher, Seth M <seth.ascher@oah.nc.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 2, 2025 10:07 AM
To: Wall, Ann B <awall@sosnc.gov>; Elmore, Ann M <aelmore@sosnc.gov>
Cc: Burgos, Alexander N <alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov>
Subject: Re: [External] Re: 18 NCAC 07D RFC

Thursday May 15<sup>th</sup> is the deadline for 7D.

It is for good reasons, I'm going up to Boston for a couple days to catch up with an old friend.

#### Seth Ascher

Counsel to the North Carolina Rules Review Commission

Office of Administrative Hearings

(984) 236-1934

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

# **Burgos, Alexander N**

From:	Ann Wall <awall@sosnc.gov></awall@sosnc.gov>
Sent:	Thursday, May 1, 2025 4:08 PM
То:	Ascher, Seth M; Elmore, Ann M
Cc:	Burgos, Alexander N
Subject:	[External] Re: 18 NCAC 07D RFC

**CAUTION:** External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless verified. Report suspicious emails with the Report Message button located on your Outlook menu bar on the Home tab.

Thanks, Seth. What is the deadline for getting back to you on 07D?

Hope your time away is for fun reasons.

Ann

Ann B. Wall General Counsel Department of the Secretary of State <u>awall@sosnc.gov</u> Phone (919) 814-5310 Fax 919-814-5391

Please be aware that communications with the Secretary of State's office may be public records.

Good afternoon,

I have completed my review of 18 NCAC 07D and attached an RFC. Note that the one comment I had was a suggestion to improve the readability of one rule. Otherwise, these looked good.

To update you on the overall progress: I think we are on track to get you change requests for all of the remaining rules in the next two weeks. I will be out of town for a few days next week, but another attorney is making an initial pass through some of the rules as time allows. If we ended up having to hold rules over to June, I expect it would be a very small number.

#### Seth Ascher

Counsel to the North Carolina Rules Review Commission Office of Administrative Hearings (984) 236-1934 Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

# Connect with us!

On the Web: http://www.sosnc.gov/

## Facebook: https://www.facebook.com/NCSecState

#### Twitter: https://twitter.com/NCSecState

#### YouTube: https://www.youtube.com/c/NCSecState

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: THIS E-MAIL MESSAGE, INCLUDING ANY ATTACHMENTS, IS FOR THE SOLE USE OF THE INTENDED RECIPIENT (S) AND MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL AND PRIVILEGED INFORMATION. ANY UNAUTHORIZED USE, DISCLOSURE, OR DISTRIBUTION IS PROHIBITED.