Subject:

FW: [External] RE: 21 NCAC 42D .0102 referral to OSBM

From: Johnny Loper <jloper@loper-law.com>
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2024 4:18 PM
To: Peaslee, William W <bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov>
Cc: Burgos, Alexander N <alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov>
Subject: RE: [External] RE: 21 NCAC 42D .0102 referral to OSBM

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless verified. Report suspicious emails with the Report Message button located on your Outlook menu bar on the Home tab.

Thanks. We discussed your comment below earlier today, and that is our intention.

Have a good weekend.

Johnny

From: Peaslee, William W <<u>bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov</u>>
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2024 2:37 PM
To: Johnny Loper <<u>jloper@loper-law.com</u>>
Cc: Burgos, Alexander N <<u>alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov</u>>
Subject: RE: [External] RE: 21 NCAC 42D .0102 referral to OSBM

I think that is the best course of action. Your email will suffice for the withdrawal, and I will inform the RRC.

If it meets the Board's intentions, it seems to me that <mark>removing any language governing tasks which do not fall</mark> within the definition of optometry from the rule would clear much of the ambiguity.

Thank you and have a good weekend.

William W. Peaslee Rules Review Commission Counsel / Legislative Liaison Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh NC, 27609 (984) 236-1939 Bill.Peaslee@oah.nc.gov

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

Subject:

FW: [External] RE: 21 NCAC 42D .0102 referral to OSBM

From: Peaslee, William W <bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov>
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2024 2:37 PM
To: Johnny Loper <jloper@loper-law.com>
Cc: Burgos, Alexander N <alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov>
Subject: RE: [External] RE: 21 NCAC 42D .0102 referral to OSBM

I think that is the best course of action. Your email will suffice for the withdrawal, and I will inform the RRC.

If it meets the Board's intentions, it seems to me that removing any language governing tasks which do not fall within the definition of optometry from the rule would clear much of the ambiguity.

Thank you and have a good weekend.

William W. Peaslee Rules Review Commission Counsel / Legislative Liaison Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh NC, 27609 (984) 236-1939 Bill.Peaslee@oah.nc.gov

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

From: Johnny Loper <<u>iloper@loper-law.com</u>>
Sent: Friday, July 19, 2024 1:43 PM
To: Peaslee, William W <<u>bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov</u>>
Cc: Burgos, Alexander N <<u>alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov</u>>
Subject: RE: [External] RE: 21 NCAC 42D .0102 referral to OSBM

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless verified. Report suspicious emails with the Report Message button located on your Outlook menu bar on the Home tab.

Seems like the appropriate thing to do, then, is for us to withdraw the rule and start the process over. I'm sure we'll seek a pre-review on the rule after we've generated the fiscal note and tried to resolve your stated objections.

Do I need to send you something other than this email asking for the withdrawal of the rule?

Thanks.

Johnny

To:	
Subje	ect:

Peaslee, William W; Johnny Loper RE: [External] RE: 21 NCAC 42D .0102 referral to OSBM

From: Peaslee, William W <bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov>
Sent: Thursday, July 18, 2024 12:27 PM
To: Johnny Loper <jloper@loper-law.com>
Cc: Burgos, Alexander N <alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov>
Subject: RE: [External] RE: 21 NCAC 42D .0102 referral to OSBM

I don't know of a way to fix the 21.9(a)(4) issue without starting over.

Regarding the other issues raised in the previous staff opinion, you could request a pre-review of the rule after withdrawal and before filing once you have addressed the issues raised and revised the rule. In the absence of a revision my opinion would remain the same.

If the Board decides not to withdraw, I will issue an opinion and I am confident the RRC will object on at least 21.9(a)(4).

William W. Peaslee

Rules Review Commission Counsel / Legislative Liaison Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh NC, 27609 (984) 236-1939 Bill.Peaslee@oah.nc.gov

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

Subject:

FW: [External] RE: 21 NCAC 42D .0102 referral to OSBM

From: Johnny Loper <jloper@loper-law.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 17, 2024 5:24 PM
To: Peaslee, William W <bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov>
Cc: Burgos, Alexander N <alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov>
Subject: RE: [External] RE: 21 NCAC 42D .0102 referral to OSBM

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless verified. Report suspicious emails with the Report Message button located on your Outlook menu bar on the Home tab.

Do we have the option of working with you to resolve your objections and then re-publishing the revised rule with a fiscal note?

Or do we have to withdraw and start from scratch?

Thanks.

From: Peaslee, William W <<u>bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov</u>>
Sent: Wednesday, July 17, 2024 5:15 PM
To: Johnny Loper <<u>jloper@loper-law.com</u>>
Cc: Burgos, Alexander N <<u>alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov</u>>
Subject: RE: [External] RE: 21 NCAC 42D .0102 referral to OSBM

Good afternoon,

It is my present intention to recommend objection pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.9(a)(4) and hold in abeyance the recommendation for objection pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.9(a)(1). Given the statement by OSBM it seems clear that the Board did not follow the APA. (See G.S. 150B-21.4)

Or do you wish to withdraw the rule before the meeting?

Thank you.

William W. Peaslee Rules Review Commission Counsel / Legislative Liaison Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh NC, 27609 (984) 236-1939 Bill.Peaslee@oah.nc.gov Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

From: Johnny Loper <<u>iloper@loper-law.com</u>>
Sent: Wednesday, July 17, 2024 4:18 PM
To: Ventaloro, Julie W <<u>julie.ventaloro@osbm.nc.gov</u>>; Peaslee, William W <<u>bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov</u>>
Cc: Burgos, Alexander N <<u>alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov</u>>; Arnold, Michael J <<u>michael.arnold@osbm.nc.gov</u>>
Subject: [External] RE: 21 NCAC 42D .0102 referral to OSBM

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless verified. Report suspicious emails with the Report Message button located on your Outlook menu bar on the Home tab.

Thanks, Julie. I'll check with Mr. Peaslee and see what the next steps are.

Johnny

From: Ventaloro, Julie W <julie.ventaloro@osbm.nc.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 17, 2024 4:00 PM
To: Peaslee, William W <<u>bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov</u>>
Cc: Burgos, Alexander N <<u>alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov</u>>; Arnold, Michael J <<u>michael.arnold@osbm.nc.gov</u>>; Johnny
Loper <<u>jloper@loper-law.com</u>>
Subject: Re: 21 NCAC 42D .0102 referral to OSBM

Mr. Peaslee,

Please see the attached letter in response to your request for determination of whether a fiscal note is required for the subject Rule pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.4(b1).

Julie Ventaloro Economic Analyst NC Office of State Budget and Management <u>Chat with me in Teams</u> 984-236-0694



Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.



STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA Office of State Budget and Management



Employment First State for Individuals with Disabilities

ROY COOPER GOVERNOR KRISTIN WALKER STATE BUDGET DIRECTOR

July 17, 2024

William Peaslee Commission Counsel Office of Administrative Hearings

RE: 21 NCAC 42D .0102

Mr. Peaslee:

In response to the Rules Review Commission's June 27, 2024 request pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.9, OSBM has determined that a fiscal note is required for the proposed amendments to the above referenced rule per G.S. 150B 21.4(b1). OSBM's determination is based on information gathered and analyzed by staff for the North Carolina State Board of Examiners in Optometry.

The amendments would establish new certification requirements for certain staff that provide direct patient care in optometry practices that offer telemedicine and which do not have an optometrist working on site. The new certification requirements apply to individuals working as "optometric assistants" or "optometric technicians" in telemedicine practices. Each of these roles is described in the proposed rule as assisting the optometrist in the evaluation and treatment of a patient.

The economic impact of the proposed intervention depends on multiple uncertain or variable factors such as the number of telemedicine practices operating in North Carolina, the number of technicians/assistants employed by these practices, the costs for obtaining certification, and the effect of certification on the quality of patient care.

Information provided to OSBM indicates that the amendments would result in total direct costs across 80 identified telemedicine practices of between \$133,000 and \$267,000 in the first year of implementation. These estimates include the costs of testing, study materials, and travel to testing sites. The lower estimate assumes each affected practice employs one certified assistant/technician. The higher estimate assumes each practice employs two certified assistants/technicians. Total costs are expected to be highest in the initial period of implementation as both existing and new staff seek certification.

The intent of the proposed certification requirement is to increase the likelihood that the standard of care will be met in telemedicine settings where there is no supervising optometrist physically present during a patient examination. The Board has received complaints demonstrating instances where the standard of care at telemedicine practices has not met due, in large part, to inadequate training and experience of the technician. The effect of the proposed training on the

quality of care, and thus the magnitude of any change in patient outcomes, is unknown. If the training is effective at achieving: 1) more timely and accurate identification of patients with disqualifying conditions or conditions that warrant immediate attention by an in-person doctor, and 2) avoided need for follow-up visits to an in-person optometrist due to inaccurate rendering of prescriptions, then the proposed change has the potential to have a significant impact (greater than \$1M in benefits and costs) when improved patient outcomes are considered together with certification costs. Therefore, a fiscal note is required by G.S. 150B 21.4(b1).

Sincerely,

Jan Ventelos

Julie Ventaloro Economic Analyst NC Office of State Budget and Management

cc: Johnny Loper, Esq., Rulemaker for the Board of Examiners in Optometry

Subject: Attachments: FW: 21 NCAC 42D .0102 referral to OSBM 2024.06 Optometry referral to OSBM fiscal note.docx; 21 NCAC 42D .0102.docx

From: Peaslee, William W <bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 28, 2024 12:15 PM
To: Ventaloro, Julie W <julie.ventaloro@osbm.nc.gov>
Cc: Burgos, Alexander N <alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov>; Arnold, Michael J <michael.arnold@osbm.nc.gov>
Subject: FW: 21 NCAC 42D .0102 referral to OSBM

Good afternoon,

Mr. Arnold suggested that I forward this to you.

As always if you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you.

William W. Peaslee

Rules Review Commission Counsel / Legislative Liaison Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh NC, 27609 (984) 236-1939 Bill.Peaslee@oah.nc.gov

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

From:	Peaslee, William W
Sent:	Thursday, June 27, 2024 12:21 PM
То:	Arnold, Michael J
Cc:	jloper@loper-law.com; Burgos, Alexander N
Subject:	21 NCAC 42D .0102 referral to OSBM
Attachments:	2024.06 Optometry referral to OSBM fiscal note.docx; 21 NCAC 42D .0102.docx

Mr. Arnold:

At its meeting on June 26, 2024, the Rules Review Commission (RRC) voted to refer the above-captioned Rule (copy attached) to the Office of Budget and Management pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.9 to determine whether a fiscal note is required pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.4(b1).

Please advise whether you are the correct person to address the referral notice.

Thank you in advance for your prompt reply.

William W. Peaslee Rules Review Commission Counsel / Legislative Liaison Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh NC, 27609 (984) 236-1939 Bill.Peaslee@oah.nc.gov

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

From: Sent:	Peaslee, William W		
то:	Wednesday, June 26, 2024 1:57 PM Blue, Chris		
Cc: Schilling, Michelle; Snyder, Ashley B; Burgos, Alexander N			
Subject:	12 NCAC 09C .0401 Temp		
Attachments:	06.2024 - CJETS Temporary Rule Objection Letter.docx; 06.2024 Staff Opinion 12 NCAC 09C .0401.doc		
Importance:	High		

Dear Chair Blue:

Attached please find the letter of objection required by G.S. 150B-21.1 notifying you of the Rule Review Commission's objection to the above captioned temporary rule.

If you have any questions please feel free to contact me.

William W. Peaslee Rules Review Commission Counsel / Legislative Liaison Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh NC, 27609 (984) 236-1939 Bill.Peaslee@oah.nc.gov

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

Subject:

FW: [External] RE: June Rules Review Commission Meeting

From: Johnny Loper <jloper@loper-law.com>
Sent: Monday, June 24, 2024 12:27 PM
To: Burgos, Alexander N <alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov>; Peaslee, William W <bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov>
Cc: exdir@ncoptometry.org; Janice Peterson <janice@ncoptometry.org>
Subject: RE: [External] RE: June Rules Review Commission Meeting

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless verified. Report suspicious emails with the Report Message button located on your Outlook menu bar on the Home tab.

Mr. Bugos: thanks for this. I'll be attending in person on Wednesday.

Johnny

Subject:

FW: [External] RE: June Rules Review Commission Meeting

From: Burgos, Alexander N
Sent: Monday, June 24, 2024 12:00 PM
To: Johnny Loper <jloper@loper-law.com>; Peaslee, William W <bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov>
Cc: exdir@ncoptometry.org; Janice Peterson <janice@ncoptometry.org>
Subject: RE: [External] RE: June Rules Review Commission Meeting

Mr. Loper,

It was brought to my attention that Mr. Lovejoy has opted to address the Commission via Webex and was sent the invitation link for him to do so. The Commission will allow the agency to respond to any comments or questions arising during the presentation of the rule. If you intend to respond remotely, let me know, and I will keep an eye out for you on the screen.

Thank you, Alex

Alexander Burgos

Paralegal Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh NC, 27609 (984) 236-1940 <u>Alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov</u>

From: Johnny Loper <<u>iloper@loper-law.com</u>>
Sent: Monday, June 24, 2024 11:46 AM
To: Burgos, Alexander N <<u>alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov</u>>; Peaslee, William W <<u>bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov</u>>;
Cc: exdir@ncoptometry.org; Janice Peterson <<u>janice@ncoptometry.org</u>>
Subject: [External] RE: June Rules Review Commission Meeting

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless verified. Report suspicious emails with the Report Message button located on your Outlook menu bar on the Home tab.

Mr. Burgos:

I am the attorney for the North Carolina State Board of Examiners in Optometry. I note on the Agenda linked below that Mr. Lovejoy of the National Association of Retail Optical Companies has requested to speak in opposition to our proposed rule.

I understand that the Staff Recommendation is to send the rule at issue to OSBM to determine whether the rule has a substantial economic impact and to defer the issue of objections until OSBM makes its determination. Accordingly, it seems to me that at Wednesday's meeting the RRC would not want to hear comments for or against the rule if the Commission does decide to send the rule to OSBM.

If, however, the Commission does allow Mr. Lovejoy to speak, I request to be able to respond to his comments on behalf of the Board. I will be present in person at the meeting on Wednesday.

Many thanks.

Johnny

Johnny M. Loper Loper Law, PLLC 1212 Briar Patch Lane Raleigh, NC 27615

919-390-7749 (DD) 919-390-3342 (F) jloper@loper-law.com

Subject:

FW: [External] RE: 21 NCAC 42D .0102

From: Peaslee, William W <bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 24, 2024 9:54 AM
To: Johnny Loper <jloper@loper-law.com>
Cc: Janice Peterson <janice@ncoptometry.org>; Burgos, Alexander N <alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov>; exdir@ncoptometry.org
Subject: RE: [External] RE: 21 NCAC 42D .0102

Received. Thank you.

William W. Peaslee Rules Review Commission Counsel / Legislative Liaison Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh NC, 27609 (984) 236-1939 Bill.Peaslee@oah.nc.gov

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

From:	Johnny Loper <jloper@loper-law.com></jloper@loper-law.com>
Sent:	Saturday, June 22, 2024 9:32 PM
То:	Peaslee, William W
Cc:	Janice Peterson; Burgos, Alexander N; exdir@ncoptometry.org
Subject:	[External] RE: 21 NCAC 42D .0102

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless verified. Report suspicious emails with the Report Message button located on your Outlook menu bar on the Home tab.

Mr. Peaslee:

I write in response to your RRC Staff Opinion dated June 22, 2024, and your earlier emails of June 19, 2024. Thank you for your patience, as I had just returned to town after about a week out, and Dr. Rafferty also was out of town through June 20.

As referred to in your Staff Opinion, in one of your June 19 emails you had asked our Board whether "the Board has failed to conduct the analysis required by G.S. 150B-21.4(b1) prior to publication of the proposed text of the rule" at issue, and if the Board has conducted the analysis, on what date was the analysis completed.

In another of your June 19 emails, you asked us to "explain why G.S. 150B-21.4(b1) [is] inapplicable to those agencies which do not expend 'State Funds.' State funds are not mentioned in (b1)."

We do not believe to the Board has "failed" to conduct any analysis required by G.S. 150B-21.4. Let me try to answer your questions in the following way:

- As we interpret G.S. 150B-21.4, there are two circumstances in which a state agency such as our Board is required to prepare a fiscal note:
 - **G.S. 150B-21.4(a)**: If the proposed permanent rule change "would require the expenditure or distribution of funds subject to the State Budget Act...." As indicated to you previously, our Board is funded entirely by fees and other monies collected from its licensees. It therefore has no funds subject to the Budget Act. Accordingly, we believed there was no requirement to prepare a fiscal note under G.S. 150B-21.4(a).
 - G.S. 150B-21.4(b1): The agency must submit a fiscal note before the agency publishes the text of a proposed rule change "that would have a substantial economic impact...." We believe the proposed rule would affect only a limited number of optometric practices in the state. Specifically, the Board Staff estimated that no more than 100 optometric practices offer remote/telemedicine eye exams when there is no optometrist physically present with the patient, and accordingly, fewer than 200 technicians would be required to become certified under the proposed rule. Given the estimated costs to become certified, we determined that the \$1,000,000/twelve-month threshold was not implicated, and we therefore did not prepare a fiscal note. Notably, we disagree with the contentions of the North Carolina Retail Merchants Association as to the scope of the language "all persons affected" by the rule as contained in G.S. 150B-21.4(b1) but based on your recommendation discussed below, that may be an issue for resolution by or before the OSBM.

• So if your question is merely "Did the Board prepare a fiscal note?" our answer is no, because we clearly were not required to under G.S. 150B-21.4(a) and we believed we were not required to under G.S. 150B-21.4(b1). If your question is "When did you make the determination that the proposed rule would not have a substantial economic impact on those who would be affected by the rule?", I cannot give you a specific date, but can tell you that such determination was made prior to the proposed rule being presented to the full Board for its approval for publication in the Register, which occurred at the Board's March 6, 2023 meeting. This was the first iteration of the proposed rule containing the "certification" requirement.

On a separate point: In your June 22 Staff Opinion, you indicate that the Commission must ask OSBM for a determination of "substantial economic impact" if the Commission receives a written request for such determination under G.S. 150B-21.9, and you recommend sending the proposed rule to OSBM for such a determination. We agree that the language of G.S. 150B-21.9 is mandatory.

It does seem odd to me, however, that the Commission must make such a request of OSBM no matter who makes such a written request. For example, if the Commission receives such a written request in this matter from a non-citizen of North Carolina located in Neah Bay, Washington State, who has never set foot in the state of North Carolina, much less been examined by a licensee of our Board, would the Commission still feel it is required to send the matter to OSBM? It seems to me that the written request would need to be from someone who would be affected by the proposed rule – sort of a "standing" issue. And it seems to us that the entity submitting the request here is not one that would be affected by the Proposed rule. Again, maybe this too is an OSBM issue.

Thanks for your consideration. I plan to appear in person at the Commission's meeting on Wednesday the 26th meeting in the event the Commission has any questions or would like additional information.

Johnny

Johnny M. Loper Loper Law, PLLC 1212 Briar Patch Lane Raleigh, NC 27615

919-390-7749 (DD) 919-390-3342 (F) jloper@loper-law.com

From: Peaslee, William W <bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov>
Sent: Saturday, June 22, 2024 11:58 AM
To: Johnny Loper <jloper@loper-law.com>
Cc: Janice Peterson <janice@ncoptometry.org>; Burgos, Alexander N <alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov>
Subject: 21 NCAC 42D .0102

Good afternoon,

Attached please find a copy of the staff opinion on the above captioned rule which will be considered by the RRC at its June 26, 2024 meeting.

As always if you have any questions or concerns please feel free to contact me.

William W. Peaslee Rules Review Commission Counsel / Legislative Liaison Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh NC, 27609 (984) 236-1939 Bill.Peaslee@oah.nc.gov

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

From:	Peaslee, William W
Sent:	Wednesday, June 19, 2024 12:03 PM
То:	Janice Peterson
Cc:	jloper@loper-law.com; Burgos, Alexander N
Subject:	NC RMA comment 21 NCAC 42D .0102

Good morning,

The Rule Review Commission has received a comment from the North Carolina Retail Merchants Association (NCRMA).

In its comment, the NCRMA suggests that the Board has failed to conduct the analysis required by G.S. 150B-21.4(b1) prior to publication of the proposed text of the rule in the North Carolina Register.

Has the Board conducted this analysis? If so, what was the date the analysis was completed? Feel free to submit any documentation in support of your response to the questions posed.

Thank you in advance for your prompt reply.

William W. Peaslee

Rules Review Commission Counsel / Legislative Liaison Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh NC, 27609 (984) 236-1939 Bill.Peaslee@oah.nc.gov

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

Subject:

FW: FW: [External] 21 NCAC 42D .0102

From: Peaslee, William W <bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 11:45 AM
To: Janice Peterson <janice@ncoptometry.org>
Cc: Johnny Loper <jloper@loper-law.com>; Burgos, Alexander N <alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov>; exdir@ncoptometry.org
Subject: RE: FW: [External] 21 NCAC 42D .0102

Thank you for the prompt reply.

William W. Peaslee Rules Review Commission Counsel / Legislative Liaison Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh NC, 27609 (984) 236-1939 Bill.Peaslee@oah.nc.gov

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

From: Janice Peterson <janice@ncoptometry.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 11:26 AM
To: Peaslee, William W <<u>bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov</u>>
Cc: Johnny Loper <<u>jloper@loper-law.com</u>>; Burgos, Alexander N <<u>alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov</u>>;
exdir@ncoptometry.org
Subject: Re: FW: [External] 21 NCAC 42D .0102

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless verified. Report suspicious emails with the Report Message button located on your Outlook menu bar on the Home tab.

Good morning, Mr. Peaslee.

I apologize. Form 0400 that was submitted was for the previous version of 21 NCAC 42D .0102. I have attached the corrected form. The Board did meet via video conference on May 16, 2024. This is the <u>link</u> to the Board's website where the Minutes from that meeting are posted. The Minutes from the June 6th meeting will be posted once they are approved at the next meeting; however, the agenda is posted on the website.

As always, thank you for your assistance and please let me know if there is anything else you need.

Janice K. Peterson, Administrative Associate Please note new address: 521 Yopp Rd. Suite 214 #444 Jacksonville, NC 28540 (910) 285-3160 Fax: (910) 285-4546 janice@ncoptometry.org

On Tue, Jun 18, 2024 at 10:13 AM Peaslee, William W <<u>bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov</u>> wrote:

SUBMISSION FOR PERMANENT RULE

1. Rule-Making Agency: North Carolina State Board of Examiners in Optometry		
2. Rule citation & name (name not required for repeal): 21 TECHNICIAN	I NCAC 42D .0102 OPTOMETRIC ASSISTANT; OPTOMETRIC	
3. Action:	DEADORTION DEPEND through DEADORTION	
ADOPTION X AMENDMENT REPEAL 4. Rule exempt from RRC review?	READOPTION REPEAL through READOPTION 5. Rule automatically subject to legislative review?	
Yes. Cite authority:	Yes. Cite authority:	
X No	X No	
 6. Notice for Proposed Rule: X Notice Required Notice of Text published on: February 22, 2024 Link to Agency notice: https://docs.google.com/documer Hearing on: none Adoption by Agency on: June 6, 2024 Notice not required under: Adoption by Agency on: 	nt/d/1tWNc4FH6oyMPM0OMQYOO1XXXtxTJvVCK/edit	
7. Rule establishes or increases a fee? (See G.S. 12-3.1)	8. Fiscal impact. Check all that apply.	
Yes	☐ This Rule was part of a combined analysis.	
Agency submitted request for consultation on: Consultation not required. Cite authority: X No	 ☐ State funds affected ☐ Local funds affected ☐ Substantial economic impact (≥\$1,000,000) ☐ Approved by OSBM X No fiscal note required 	
9. REAS	ON FOR ACTION	
 9A. What prompted this action? Check all that apply: X Agency Court order / cite: Federal statute / cite: Federal regulation / cite: 9B. Explain: To set standards for staff providing direct patien patient care. 	 Legislation enacted by the General Assembly Cite Session Law: Petition for rule-making Other: at care when the doctor is off site, thus assuring quality and safety of 	
10. Rulemaking Coordinator: Johnny M. Loper Phone: 919-390-7749 E-Mail: jloper@loper-law.com	11. Signature of Agency Head* or Rule-making Coordinator:	
Additional agency contact, if any: Janice K. Peterson	*If this function has been delegated (reassigned) pursuant to	
Phone: 910-285-3160	G.S. 143B-10(a), submit a copy of the delegation with this form.	
E-Mail: janice@ncoptometry.org	Typed Name: Title:	
RRC AND	O OAH USE ONLY	
Action taken: RRC extended period of review: RRC determined substantial changes: Withdrawn by agency Subject to Legislative Review		
Other:		

Subject:

FW: FW: [External] 21 NCAC 42D .0102

From: Peaslee, William W <bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 10:14 AM
To: Janice Peterson <janice@ncoptometry.org>; Johnny Loper <jloper@loper-law.com>
Cc: Burgos, Alexander N <alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov>; exdir@ncoptometry.org
Subject: RE: FW: [External] 21 NCAC 42D .0102

I would also note that according to the NC Secretary of State's <u>website</u>, it does not appear that the Board met on May 16, 2024. Please see <u>G.S. 143-318.12</u>

Thank you.

William W. Peaslee Rules Review Commission Counsel / Legislative Liaison Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh NC, 27609 (984) 236-1939 Bill.Peaslee@oah.nc.gov

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

From: Peaslee, William W
Sent: Tuesday, June 18, 2024 10:08 AM
To: Janice Peterson <<u>janice@ncoptometry.org</u>>; Johnny Loper <<u>jloper@loper-law.com</u>>
Cc: Burgos, Alexander N <<u>alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov</u>>; <u>exdir@ncoptometry.org</u>
Subject: RE: FW: [External] 21 NCAC 42D .0102

Good morning,

I noticed on the most current Form 0400 the date of adoption is May 16, 2024. How can that be considering that the previous submission listed the date of adoption (since withdrawn) as May 16, 2024? Did the Board adopt both versions on May 16, 2024?

Has the Board met since May 16, 2024?

Thank you in advance for your prompt reply.

William W. Peaslee Rules Review Commission Counsel / Legislative Liaison Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

Subject:

FW: [External] Resubmission of 21 NCAC 42D .0102

From: Janice Peterson <janice@ncoptometry.org>
Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2024 10:56 AM
To: Peaslee, William W <bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov>
Cc: Burgos, Alexander N <alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov>; Johnny Loper <jloper@loper-law.com>; exdir@ncoptometry.org
Subject: Re: [External] Resubmission of 21 NCAC 42D .0102

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless verified. Report suspicious emails with the Report Message button located on your Outlook menu bar on the Home tab.

Thank you, Mr. Peaslee.

Janice K. Peterson, Administrative Associate Please note new address: 521 Yopp Rd. Suite 214 #444 Jacksonville, NC 28540 (910) 285-3160 Fax: (910) 285-4546 janice@ncoptometry.org

Subject:

FW: [External] Resubmission of 21 NCAC 42D .0102

From: Peaslee, William W <bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2024 10:38 AM
To: Janice Peterson <janice@ncoptometry.org>
Cc: Burgos, Alexander N <alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov>; Johnny Loper <jloper@loper-law.com>; exdir@ncoptometry.org
Subject: RE: [External] Resubmission of 21 NCAC 42D .0102

Thank you for your email.

The rule will be considered at the June RRC meeting.

When I have determined my recommendation, you will be among the first to know. Thank you for your patience.

William W. Peaslee Rules Review Commission Counsel / Legislative Liaison Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh NC, 27609 (984) 236-1939 Bill.Peaslee@oah.nc.gov

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

Subject:
Attachments:

FW: [External] Resubmission of 21 NCAC 42D .0102 21 NCAC 42D .0102.docx

From: Janice Peterson <janice@ncoptometry.org>
Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2024 9:52 AM
To: Peaslee, William W <bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov>
Cc: Burgos, Alexander N <alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov>; Johnny Loper <jloper@loper-law.com>; exdir@ncoptometry.org
Subject: [External] Resubmission of 21 NCAC 42D .0102

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless verified. Report suspicious emails with the Report Message button located on your Outlook menu bar on the Home tab.

Good morning, Mr. Peaslee

As you have directed, we have resubmitted 21 NCAC 42D .0102 (attached) to OAH.

Because this is an unusual situation (at least for this Board), we have a couple of questions:

-- Since the Optometry Board considered and adopted this version in order to satisfy your earlier objections, are you still recommending approval (as stated in the December 20th email)?

-- If "the rule remains under consideration by the RRC...", will it be voted on at the June meeting?

As always, we appreciate your time and the assistance you have given us.

Janice K. Peterson, Administrative Associate Please note new address: 521 Yopp Rd. Suite 214 #444 Jacksonville, NC 28540 (910) 285-3160 Fax: (910) 285-4546 janice@ncoptometry.org Email and other correspondence and communications to and from this address, including attachments, may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Act and therefore subject to disclosure upon request by third parties. If you are not the intended recipient, you are not authorized to read, print, copy, retain, or disseminate this message, in whole or in part, or any attachment. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender as soon as possible, and unless directed otherwise, delete the original and any copies of this email and any others constituting a thread, and discard any hard copies of the email(s) and attachment(s).

1 21 NCAC 42D .0102 is adopted as published in 38:17 NCR 1068-1070 as follows:

2

3	21 NCAC 42D .0102	FUNCTION OPTOMETRIC ASSISTANT; OPTOMETRIC TECHNICIAN
4	(a) For the purposes of t	this Rule, "paraoptometric personnel" means an optometric assistant, optometric technician,
5	or similarly titled position	n who qualifies by training and experience to perform duties of an administrative, technical,
6	or clinical nature and is-	directed and supervised by a licensed optometrist. An optometric assistant is an individual
7	who is certified through	the American Optometric Association's certification process. The Board recognizes the
8	American Optometric A	ssociation's certification process as its only approved certification process for certified
9	optometric assistants. T	he certified optometric assistant assists the optometrist in the evaluation and treatment of a
10	patient. The optometrist	may delegate acts and duties within the scope of the American Optometric Association
11	training and certification	process to the certified optometric assistant; however, the optometrist remains responsible
12	for the acts and duties h	e or she assigns to that individual. The following are those acts and duties which may be
13	assigned to the certified of	optometric assistant:
14	(1) Testing	and Procedures
15	<u>(</u> A)	Take case histories (including chief complaint, patient and family medical and ocular
16		history, current medications, and allergies.)
17	<u>(B)</u>	Perform testing procedures
18		(i) Visual acuity testing and assess visual acuity
19		(ii) Stereo acuity testing
20		(iii) Blood pressure measurement (manual, automated)
21		(iv) Keratometry
22		(v) Color vision assessment
23		(vi) Chair-side scribing
24		(vii) Tonometry
25		(viii) Screening for Binocular abnormalities, including cover test screening
26		(ix) Dry eye testing
27		(x) Meibography
28		(xi) Corneal topography
29		(xii) Scanning computerized ophthalmic testing
30		(xiii) Visual field testing
31		(xiv) Glaucoma ancillary testing
32		(xv) Near points of accommodation and convergence testing
33		(xvi) Macular Pigment Optical Density (MPOD)
34		(xvii) Pupil evaluation screening
35		(xviii) Amsler grid
36		(xix) Frequency doubling technology (FDT) fields
37		(xx) Aberrometry

1		(xxi) Automated refractometry
2		(xxii) Contrast sensitivity tests
3		(xxiii) Anterior segment photography
4		(xxiv) Fundus photography
5		(xxv) Low vision testing
6		(xxvi) Pachymetry
7		(xxvii) Traumatic brain injury testing
8		(xxviii) Vision therapy testing
9		(xxix) Administer diagnostic/therapeutic medications
10	<u>(2)</u>	Ophthalmic Optics and Dispensing
11		(A) Order and maintain eyewear inventory and frame display
12		(B) Educate and assist patient in selecting eyewear
13		(C) Take appropriate frame and eye measurements on patient
14		(D) Use digital dispensing technology
15		(E) Lensometry
16		(F) Dispense/adjust/repair eyewear
17		(G) Understand fabrication requirements with various frames and prescriptions
18		
19	<u>(3)</u>	Contact Lenses
20		(A) Maintain/order/inventory contact lenses
21		(B) Educate patients concerning contact lens options and fees
22		(C) Basic Insertion and removal of contact lenses
23		(D) Select proper care system for contact lenses
24		(E) Perform and evaluate contact lens preliminary fitting
25		(F) Educate patients on contact lens care and handling
26		(G) Measure base curves using radiuscope
27		(H) Verify contact lens diameter, thickness, and power
28		(I) Clean and polish gas permeable lenses or prosthetic eyes
29		(J) Use slit lamp to evaluate contact lens fit
30	<u>(4)</u>	Other Duties
31		(A) Office Operations
32		(i) Prepare patient charts for optometrist to review
33		(ii) Patient education and communications
34		(iii) Direct patient flow
35		(iv) Provide patient education
36		(v) Perform telephone triage
37		(vi) Computer skills and documentation in the electronic health record
38		(vii) Relay communication between doctor, patient, specialists, and pharmacy

1		(viii)	Maintain and Clean examination rooms and testing equipment
2	<u>(B)</u>	Adminis	strative Duties
3		<u>(i)</u>	Understand and perform medical billing and coding
4		<u>(ii)</u>	Maintain diagnostic listings and fee schedule
5		<u>(iii)</u>	Handle insurance issues
6		<u>(iv)</u>	Perform staff training
7		<u>(v)</u>	Purchase ophthalmic examination equipment and supplies
8		<u>(vi)</u>	Maintain inventory of diagnostic/therapeutic medications
9		<u>(vii)</u>	Take inventory and reorder office supplies
10		<u>(viii)</u>	Comply with federal regulations
11		<u>(ix)</u>	E-prescribe and managing medication refills
12		<u>(x)</u>	Coordinate referrals to other offices for surgeries or other specialty
13		<u>(xi)</u>	Administer, record, and transmit prescribed medications
14	(b) Paraoptometric p	ersonnel shall	perform his or her functions within the scope of his or her training, as directed by
15	and assigned by an o	ptometrist, so	long as those functions are at the specific direction and under the supervision of
16	the licensee An opton	netric technici	an is an individual who is certified through the American Optometric Association's
17	certification process.	The Board re	cognizes the American Optometric Association's certification process as its only
18	approved certification	n process for	certified optometric technicians. The certified optometric technician assists the
19	optometrist in the eva	luation and tr	eatment of a patient. The optometrist may delegate acts and duties within the scope
20	of the American Opt	tometric Asso	ciation training and certification process to the certified optometric technician;
21	however, the optomet	rist remains re	esponsible for the acts and duties he or she assigns to that individual. The following
22	are those acts and du	ties which ma	y be assigned to the certified optometric technician (in addition to those acts and
23	duties which may be	assigned to a	certified optometric assistant):
24	<u>(1)</u> <u>Tes</u>	ting and Proc	edures
25	<u>(A</u>)	Slit Lan	np Examination
26	<u>(B)</u>	Ocular s	urface disease (e.g., SPEED questionnaire, Schirmer's test, TBUT, TearLab tests)
27	<u>(C)</u>	Aseptic	or Infection Control techniques
28	<u>(D</u>)	Instrum	ent and equipment sterilizing
29	<u>(E)</u>	Automa	ted and manual refractometry
30	<u>(F)</u>	Ocular 1	notility testing (pursuits and saccades)
31	<u>(G</u>)	Binocul	arity testing including cover testing
32	<u>(H</u>)	Goldma	nn tonometry - serial testing
33	<u>(I)</u>	Assist w	ith performing surgical tasks
34	<u>(J)</u>	Low vis	ion testing and training
35	<u>(K</u>)	Specula	r microscopy
36	<u>(L)</u>	Electroc	liagnostic Testing and training
37	<u>(M</u>) Sports v	ision testing

1		(N) Vision therapy testing
2		(O) Understand use of YAG lasers
3	<u>(2)</u>	Ophthalmic Optics and Dispensing
4		(A) Fabricate eyewear
5		(B) Measure parameters of special lenes including prism and slab off prescriptions
6		(C) Calculate Spherical Equivalent and Transpose lens prescriptions
7		(D) Write/copy prescriptions
8		(E) Troubleshoot problems with eyewear
9	<u>(3)</u>	Contact Lenses
10		(A) Advanced handling - Insertion and removal of specialized and various types of contact
11		lenses (e.g., soft, gas permeable, hybrid, scleral)
12		(B) Using slit lamp perform contact lens fitting and assessments (e.g., soft, gas permeable,
13		hybrid, scleral, etc.)
14		(C) Educate patients on contact lens care and handling of specialized contact lenses
15		(D) Trouble shoot contact lens problems
16		(E) Perform progress checks on contact lens patients
17		(F) Clean and polish gas permeable lenses
18	<u>(4)</u>	Other Duties
19		(A) Office Operations
20		(i) Overseeing management of daily patient flow
21		(ii) Management of patient clinical issues between patient and doctor
22		(B) Administrative Duties
23		(i) Coordinate patient correspondence
24		(ii) Assist in publication of office newsletter
25		(iii) Assist in use of social media
26		(iv) Assist in website development and maintenance
27		(v) Maintain and update policy and procedure manuals
28		(vi) Implement new software
29		(vii) Prepare internal reports
30		(viii) Use computer for billing/accounting
31		(ix) Maintain diagnostic listings and fee schedule
32		(x) Perform medical coding
33		(xi) Verify accuracy of procedure and medical coding
34		(xii) Provide patient education regarding diagnoses and ophthalmic surgery
35		(xiii) Help to resolve patient complaints and concerns
36		(xiv) Implement and maintain Meaningful Use (MACRA/MIPS) and PQRS
37		(xv) Assist in hiring and termination of employees

1		(xvi) Supervise personnel
2		(xvii) Plan and lead staff meetings
3		(xviii) Organize in-house educational offerings
4		(xiv) Train personnel
5		(xx) Take office supply inventory
6		(xxi) Coordinate purchase of ophthalmic examination equipment and supplies
7		(xxii) Coordinate/maintain credentialing within the office
8		(xxiii) Maintain inventory of diagnostic/therapeutic medications
9		(xiv) Comply with federal regulations (e.g., HIPAA, OSHA, etc.)
10		(xv) Perform CPR certification in BLS in case of emergency
11	(b) (c) The Boa	ard may require that paraoptometric personnel An optometric technician be currently certified by the
12	American Optor	netric Association and maintain this certification shall be in the physical presence of the patient during
13	any patient exa	mination in environments where there is no onsite optometrist physically present during such
14	examination. ar	nd supervision is limited.
15		
16		
17		
18	History Note:	Authority G.S. 90-115.1(6); 90-117.5;
19		Eff. February 1, 1976;
20		Readopted Eff. May 30, 1978;
21		Amended Eff. June 1, 1989; September 30, 1981;
22		Pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.3A, rule is necessary without substantive public interest Eff. May 23,
23		2015;
24		Amended Eff. March 1, 2023.
25		Amended Eff. July 1, 2024

Subject:

FW: [External] Optometry Board: proposed Rule 21 NCAC 42D .0102

\From: Peaslee, William W <bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 11, 2024 4:02 PM
To: Johnny Loper <jloper@loper-law.com>
Cc: exdir@ncoptometry.org; Janice Peterson <janice@ncoptometry.org>; Burgos, Alexander N <alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov>
Subject: RE: [External] Optometry Board: proposed Rule 21 NCAC 42D .0102

Mr. Loper:

Thank you for your email.

The Board can adopt the version of the rule considered by the RRC at the January meeting. If that is what the Board intends to do, please submit that version of rule.

The rule remains under consideration by the RRC notwithstanding its republication.

As always if you have any questions or concerns please feel free to contact me.

William W. Peaslee Rules Review Commission Counsel / Legislative Liaison Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh NC, 27609 (984) 236-1939 Bill.Peaslee@oah.nc.gov

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

Subject:

FW: [External] Optometry Board: proposed Rule 21 NCAC 42D .0102

From: Johnny Loper <jloper@loper-law.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 11, 2024 2:25 PM
To: Peaslee, William W <bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov>
Cc: exdir@ncoptometry.org; Janice Peterson <janice@ncoptometry.org>; Burgos, Alexander N <alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov>
Subject: RE: [External] Optometry Board: proposed Rule 21 NCAC 42D .0102

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless verified. Report suspicious emails with the Report Message button located on your Outlook menu bar on the Home tab.

Mr. Peaslee:

Given the number and scope of your June 3, 2024, Request for Changes, the Board has decided not to seek those changes outlined in my May 17 email to you, with attachment.

As we understand it based on your January 16, 2024, RRC Staff Opinion (Revised) and your letter to me of February 1, 2024, the RRC, at its January 2024 meeting, found that the revised rule satisfied the RRC's objection, with the determination that the revised rule was a substantial change and would need to be republished. As we further understand it, you had indicated in our emails of December 20, 2023, that you would recommend approval of that version (once re-published).

The Board republished the rule as required. Since the Optometry Board has determined not to seek further changes to that rule, is it permissible to simply revert to the version of the rule that was acted on by the RRC at its January 2024 meeting?

Thanks.

Johnny

From:	Peaslee, William W
Sent:	Monday, June 3, 2024 11:24 AM
То:	Johnny Loper
Cc:	exdir@ncoptometry.org; Janice Peterson; Burgos, Alexander N
Subject:	RE: [External] Optometry Board: proposed Rule 21 NCAC 42D .0102
Attachments:	06.20243 Request for Changes Optometry 21 NCAC 42D .0102.docx

Good morning,

Attached please find the request for changes for the above captioned rule.

The Form 0400 lists the Notice of Text published as "February 22, 2024." Can you explain the significance of this date? Put another way, what happened on this date that you would list it as the publication date?

Thank you

William W. Peaslee Rules Review Commission Counsel / Legislative Liaison Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh NC, 27609 (984) 236-1939 Bill.Peaslee@oah.nc.gov

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

From: Johnny Loper <jloper@loper-law.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2024 1:44 PM
To: Peaslee, William W <bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov>
Cc: exdir@ncoptometry.org; Janice Peterson <janice@ncoptometry.org>; Burgos, Alexander N
<alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov>
Subject: RE: [External] Optometry Board: proposed Rule 21 NCAC 42D .0102

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless verified. Report suspicious emails with the Report Message button located on your Outlook menu bar on the Home tab.

Mr. Peaslee:

Sorry for not being explicit: yes, please consider this a submission for consideration at the June meeting. And a new form 400 is attached.

Please let us know if you need any further information or explanation.

Thanks.

Johnny

From: Peaslee, William W <<u>bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov</u>>
Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2024 12:25 PM
To: Johnny Loper <<u>iloper@loper-law.com</u>>
Cc: exdir@ncoptometry.org; Janice Peterson <<u>janice@ncoptometry.org</u>>; Burgos, Alexander N
<<u>alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov</u>>
Subject: RE: [External] Optometry Board: proposed Rule 21 NCAC 42D .0102

Thank you for your email.

While it is implied by your email, it was not specifically stated that the email was a submission for RRC consideration at its June meeting. If your intention was contrary, please advise.

Also please submit a new form 400.

Thank you.

William W. Peaslee Rules Review Commission Counsel / Legislative Liaison Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh NC, 27609 (984) 236-1939 Bill.Peaslee@oah.nc.gov

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

From: Johnny Loper <<u>iloper@loper-law.com</u>>
Sent: Friday, May 17, 2024 9:25 AM
To: Peaslee, William W <<u>bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov</u>>; Burgos, Alexander N <<u>alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov</u>>;
Cc: exdir@ncoptometry.org; Janice Peterson <<u>janice@ncoptometry.org</u>>
Subject: [External] Optometry Board: proposed Rule 21 NCAC 42D .0102

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless verified. Report suspicious emails with the Report Message button located on your Outlook menu bar on the Home tab.

Mr. Peaslee:

In response to your letter of February 1, 2024, regarding the North Carolina Board of Examiners in Optometry's proposed revisions to 21 NCAC 42D .0102, we attach the proposed rule for your review and consideration. We did republish the rule pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.2(g), and we received four written comments during the comment period, one of which was copied to you. (The Board did not receive any comments to the proposed rule as originally published.)

The Board considered all of the comments received, and it determined several of the ideas therein had merit. As a consequence, we made certain changes in the attached proposed rule to address those comments. I'll highlight those for you below to aid you in your review of the proposed rule.

- 1. We received a comment that the likely July 1 effective date of the rule would not provide a reasonable period of time to allow for the "certification" process contained in the rule to take place. Although the Board was informally indicating to those who inquired that it would allow an appropriate period of time for such certification to occur, we agreed it was better to explicitly provide for this "ramp-up" period in the rule itself. Accordingly, we added paragraph (e) on page 4, line 15.
- 2. Another comment inquired whether the published revisions to the rule were meant to do away with the classifications of "optometric assistant" and "optometric technician." It was not our intent to do so and thereby cause confusion under G.S. 90-115(6). Accordingly, we have revised paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) to reflect that there are optometric assistants and optometric technicians, and those who become "certified" as provided in (c) are certified optometric assistants and technicians.
- 3. Another comment pointed out that technicians employed in <u>ophthalmology</u> practices (which fall under the oversight of the North Carolina Medical Board) can become certified through the International Joint Commission on Allied Health Personnel in Ophthalmology which does not offer a corresponding certification for optometric technicians. We felt it would be appropriate to accept that ophthalmology certification as satisfying the "presence of a certified technician" requirement in paragraph (d), and we therefore added the language on page 4, lines 12-14.

We hope that you will consider these changes to be clarifying in nature and not substantial changes requiring republication, but obviously that is your call. We are happy to provide any clarification or additional information you may require.

Johnny

Subject:
Attachments:

FW: [External] Optometry Board: proposed Rule 21 NCAC 42D .0102 Form 0400 for Permanent Rule 21 NCAC 42D .0102.docx

From: Johnny Loper <jloper@loper-law.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2024 1:44 PM
To: Peaslee, William W <bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov>
Cc: exdir@ncoptometry.org; Janice Peterson <janice@ncoptometry.org>; Burgos, Alexander N
<alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov>
Subject: RE: [External] Optometry Board: proposed Rule 21 NCAC 42D .0102

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless verified. Report suspicious emails with the Report Message button located on your Outlook menu bar on the Home tab.

Mr. Peaslee:

Sorry for not being explicit: yes, please consider this a submission for consideration at the June meeting. And a new form 400 is attached.

Please let us know if you need any further information or explanation.

Thanks.

Johnny

SUBMISSION FOR PERMANENT RULE

1. Rule-Making Agency: North Carolina State Board of Ex	xaminers in Optometry
2. Rule citation & name (name not required for repeal): 21 TECHNICIAN	NCAC 42D .0102 OPTOMETRIC ASSISTANT; OPTOMETRIC
3. Action:	
ADOPTION X AMENDMENT REPEAL 4. Rule exempt from RRC review?	READOPTION REPEAL through READOPTION 5. Rule automatically subject to legislative review?
Yes. Cite authority:	Yes. Cite authority:
X No	X No
 6. Notice for Proposed Rule: X Notice Required Notice of Text published on: February 22, 2024 	nt/d/1tWNc4FH6oyMPM0OMQYOO1XXXtxTJvVCK/edit
7. Rule establishes or increases a fee? (See G.S. 12-3.1)	8. Fiscal impact. Check all that apply.
☐ Yes	This Rule was part of a combined analysis.
Agency submitted request for consultation on: Consultation not required. Cite authority: X No	 ☐ State funds affected ☐ Local funds affected ☐ Substantial economic impact (≥\$1,000,000) ☐ Approved by OSBM X No fiscal note required
9. REAS	ON FOR ACTION
 9A. What prompted this action? Check all that apply: X Agency Court order / cite: Federal statute / cite: Federal regulation / cite: 9B. Explain: To set standards for staff providing direct patien patient care. 	 Legislation enacted by the General Assembly Cite Session Law: Petition for rule-making Other: t care when the doctor is off site, thus assuring quality and safety of
10. Rulemaking Coordinator: Johnny M. Loper	11. Signature of Agency Head* or Rule-making Coordinator:
Phone: 919-390-7749 E-Mail: jloper@loper-law.com	MLEPER
Additional agency contact, if any: Janice K. Peterson	*If this function has been delegated (reassigned) pursuant to
Phone: 910-285-3160	G.S. 143B-10(a), submit a copy of the delegation with this form.
E-Mail: janice@ncoptometry.org	- 1 N
	Typed Name: Title:
RRC AND	O OAH USE ONLY
Action taken:	
 RRC extended period of review: RRC determined substantial changes: Withdrawn by agency Subject to Legislative Review Other: 	

Subject:

FW: [External] Optometry Board: proposed Rule 21 NCAC 42D .0102

From: Peaslee, William W <bill.peaslee@oah.nc.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2024 12:25 PM
To: Johnny Loper <jloper@loper-law.com>
Cc: exdir@ncoptometry.org; Janice Peterson <janice@ncoptometry.org>; Burgos, Alexander N
<alexander.burgos@oah.nc.gov>
Subject: RE: [External] Optometry Board: proposed Rule 21 NCAC 42D .0102

Thank you for your email.

While it is implied by your email, it was not specifically stated that the email was a submission for RRC consideration at its June meeting. If your intention was contrary, please advise.

Also please submit a new form 400.

Thank you.

William W. Peaslee Rules Review Commission Counsel / Legislative Liaison Office of Administrative Hearings 1711 New Hope Church Road Raleigh NC, 27609 (984) 236-1939 Bill.Peaslee@oah.nc.gov

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

From:	Johnny Loper <jloper@loper-law.com></jloper@loper-law.com>
Sent:	Friday, May 17, 2024 9:25 AM
То:	Peaslee, William W; Burgos, Alexander N
Cc:	exdir@ncoptometry.org; Janice Peterson
Subject:	[External] Optometry Board: proposed Rule 21 NCAC 42D .0102
Attachments:	21 NCAC 42D .0102.docx

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless verified. Report suspicious emails with the Report Message button located on your Outlook menu bar on the Home tab.

Mr. Peaslee:

In response to your letter of February 1, 2024, regarding the North Carolina Board of Examiners in Optometry's proposed revisions to 21 NCAC 42D .0102, we attach the proposed rule for your review and consideration. We did republish the rule pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.2(g), and we received four written comments during the comment period, one of which was copied to you. (The Board did not receive any comments to the proposed rule as originally published.)

The Board considered all of the comments received, and it determined several of the ideas therein had merit. As a consequence, we made certain changes in the attached proposed rule to address those comments. I'll highlight those for you below to aid you in your review of the proposed rule.

- 1. We received a comment that the likely July 1 effective date of the rule would not provide a reasonable period of time to allow for the "certification" process contained in the rule to take place. Although the Board was informally indicating to those who inquired that it would allow an appropriate period of time for such certification to occur, we agreed it was better to explicitly provide for this "ramp-up" period in the rule itself. Accordingly, we added paragraph (e) on page 4, line 15.
- 2. Another comment inquired whether the published revisions to the rule were meant to do away with the classifications of "optometric assistant" and "optometric technician." It was not our intent to do so and thereby cause confusion under G.S. 90-115(6). Accordingly, we have revised paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) to reflect that there are optometric assistants and optometric technicians, and those who become "certified" as provided in (c) are certified optometric assistants and technicians.
- 3. Another comment pointed out that technicians employed in <u>ophthalmology</u> practices (which fall under the oversight of the North Carolina Medical Board) can become certified through the International Joint Commission on Allied Health Personnel in Ophthalmology – which does not offer a corresponding certification for optometric technicians. We felt it would be appropriate to accept that ophthalmology certification as satisfying the "presence of a certified technician" requirement in paragraph (d), and we therefore added the language on page 4, lines 12-14.

We hope that you will consider these changes to be clarifying in nature and not substantial changes requiring republication, but obviously that is your call. We are happy to provide any clarification or additional information you may require.

Johnny

1

21 NCAC 42D .0102 is adopted with changes as published in 38:17 NCR 1068-1070 as follows:

2			
3	21 NCAC 42D .	0102	FUNCTION OPTOMETRIC ASSISTANT; OPTOMETRIC TECHNICIAN
4	(a) For the purp	oses of thi	s Rule, "paraoptometric personnel" means an optometric assistant, optometric technician,
5	or similarly title	l position '	who qualifies by training and experience to perform duties of an administrative, technical,
6	or clinical nature	e and is di	rected and supervised by a licensed optometrist. An optometric assistant is an individual
7	who is certified	-through-t	he American Optometric Association's certification process. The Board recognizes the
8	American Optor	netric Ass	cociation's certification process as its only approved certification process for certified
9	<mark>optometric assis</mark>	tants. The	-certified optometric assistant assists the optometrist in the evaluation and treatment of a
10	patient. The opto	ometrist m	nay delegate the following acts and duties within the scope of the American Optometric
11	Association train	<mark>ning and c</mark>	ertification process to the certified optometric assistant; however, the optometrist remains
12	<mark>responsible for t</mark>	<mark>he acts an</mark> c	l duties he or she assigns to that individual. The following are those acts and duties which
13	may be assigned	to the cer	tified optometric assistant: assistant; however, the optometrist remains responsible for the
14	acts and duties h	<mark>e or she as</mark>	signs to the individual:
15	<u>(1)</u>	<u>Testing</u> a	and Procedures
16		<u>(A)</u>	Take case histories (including chief complaint, patient and family medical and ocular
17			history, current medications, and allergies).
18		<u>(B)</u>	Perform testing procedures including: visual acuity testing and assess visual acuity; stereo
19			acuity testing; blood pressure measurement (manual, automated); keratometry; color vision
20			assessment; chair-side scribing; tonometry; screening for binocular abnormalities,
21			including cover test screening; dry eye testing; meibography; corneal topography; scanning
22			computerized ophthalmic testing; visual field testing; glaucoma ancillary testing; near
23			points of accommodation and convergence testing; macular pigment optical density
24			(MPOD); pupil evaluation screening; Amsler grid; frequency doubling technology (FDT)
25			fields; aberrometry; automated refractometry; contrast sensitivity tests; anterior segment
26			photography; fundus photography; low vision testing; pachymetry; traumatic brain injury
27			testing; vision therapy testing; administer diagnostic/therapeutic medications.
28	<u>(2)</u>	-	nic Optics and Dispensing
29		. ,	Order and maintain eyewear inventory and frame display
30			Educate and assist patient in selecting eyewear
31			Take appropriate frame and eye measurements on patient
32			Use digital dispensing technology
33			Lensometry
34			Dispense/adjust/repair eyewear
35		<u>(G)</u>	Understand fabrication requirements with various frames and prescriptions
36 37	<u>(3)</u>	Contact]	Lenses
38	<u>,∼∕</u>		Maintain/order/inventory contact lenses

1		(B) Educate patients concerning contact lens options and fees
2		(C) Basic Insertion and removal of contact lenses
3		(D) Select proper care system for contact lenses
4		(E) Perform and evaluate contact lens preliminary fitting
5		(F) Educate patients on contact lens care and handling
6		(G) Measure base curves using radiuscope
7		(H) Verify contact lens diameter, thickness, and power
8		(I) Clean and polish gas permeable lenses or prosthetic eyes
9		(J) Use slit lamp to evaluate contact lens fit
10	<u>(4)</u>	Other Duties
11		(A) Office Operations including: prepare patient charts for optometrist to review; patient
12		education and communications; direct patient flow; provide patient education; perform telephone
13		triage; computer skills and documentation in the electronic health record; relay communication
14		between doctor, patient, specialists, and pharmacy; maintain and clean examination rooms and
15		testing equipment.
16		(B) Administrative Duties including: understand and perform medical billing and coding;
17		maintain diagnostic listings and fee schedule; handle insurance issues; perform staff training;
18		purchase ophthalmic examination equipment and supplies; maintain inventory of
19		diagnostic/therapeutic medications; take inventory and reorder office supplies; comply with federal
20		regulations; E-prescribe and managing medication refills; coordinate referrals to other offices for
21		surgeries or other specialty; administer, record, and transmit prescribed medications.
22	(b) Paraoptomet	tric personnel shall perform his or her functions within the scope of his or her training, as directed by
23	and assigned by	an optometrist, so long as those functions are at the specific direction and under the supervision of
24	the licensee An o	pptometric technician <mark>is an individual who is certified through the American Optometric Association's</mark>
25	certification pro-	cess. The Board recognizes the American Optometric Association's certification process as its only
26	approved certific	cation process for certified optometric technicians. The certified optometric technician assists the
27	optometrist in th	e evaluation and treatment of a patient. The optometrist may delegate the following acts and duties
28	within the scope	of the American Optometric Association training and certification process to the certified optometric
29	technician; howe	ever, the optometrist remains responsible for the acts and duties he or she assigns to that individual.
30	The following a	re those acts and duties which may be assigned to the certified optometric technician (in addition to
31	those acts and du	ities which may be assigned to <mark>a an certified optometric assistant); assistant); however, the optometrist</mark>
32	remains responsi	ible for the acts and duties he or she assigns to that individual:
33	<u>(1)</u>	Testing and Procedures
34		(A) Slit Lamp Examination
35		(B) Ocular surface disease (e.g., SPEED questionnaire, Schirmer's test, TBUT, TearLab tests)
36		(C) Aseptic or Infection Control techniques
37		(D) Instrument and equipment sterilizing

1		(E) Automated and manual refractometry
2		(F) Ocular motility testing (pursuits and saccades)
3		(G) Binocularity testing including cover testing
4		(H) Goldmann tonometry - serial testing
5		(I) Assist with performing surgical tasks
6		(J) Low vision testing and training
7		(K) Specular microscopy
8		(L) Electrodiagnostic Testing and training
9		(M) Sports vision testing
10		(N) Vision therapy testing
11		(O) Understand use of YAG lasers
12	<u>(2)</u>	Ophthalmic Optics and Dispensing
13		(A) Fabricate eyewear
14		(B) Measure parameters of special lenses including prism and slab off prescriptions
15		(C) Calculate Spherical Equivalent and Transpose lens prescriptions
16		(D) Write/copy prescriptions
17		(E) Troubleshoot problems with eyewear
18	<u>(3)</u>	Contact Lenses
19		(A) Advanced handling - Insertion and removal of specialized and various types of contact
20		lenses (e.g., soft, gas permeable, hybrid, scleral)
21		(B) Using slit lamp perform contact lens fitting and assessments (e.g., soft, gas permeable,
22		hybrid, scleral, etc.)
23		(C) Educate patients on contact lens care and handling of specialized contact lenses
24		(D) Trouble shoot contact lens problems
25		(E) Perform progress checks on contact lens patients
26		(F) Clean and polish gas permeable lenses
27	<u>(4)</u>	Other Duties
28		(A) Office Operations including: overseeing management of daily patient flow; management
29		of patient clinical issues between patient and doctor.
30		(B) Administrative Duties including: coordinate patient correspondence; assist in publication
31		of office newsletter; assist in use of social media; assist in website development and maintenance;
32		maintain and update policy and procedure manuals; implement new software; prepare internal
33		reports; use computer for billing/accounting; maintain diagnostic listings and fee schedule; perform
34		medical coding; verify accuracy of procedure and medical coding; provide patient education
35		regarding diagnoses and ophthalmic surgery; help to resolve patient complaints and concerns;
36		implement and maintain Meaningful Use (MACRA/MIPS) and PQRS; assist in hiring and
30 37		termination of employees; supervise personnel; plan and lead staff meetings; organize in-house
51		termination of employees, supervise personnel, plan and lead start meetings, organize m-nouse

1		educational offerings; train personnel; take office supply inventory; coordinate purchase of	
2		ophthalmic examination equipment and supplies; coordinate/maintain credentialing within the	
3		office; maintain inventory of diagnostic/therapeutic medications; comply with federal regulations	
4		(e.g., HIPAA, OSHA, etc.); perform CPR certification in BLS in case of emergency.	
5	(c) Certified op	tometric assistants and certified optometric technicians are individuals who have been certified through	
6	the American	Optometric Association's certification process. The Board recognizes the American Optometric	
7	Association's co	ertification process for certified optometric assistants and certified optometric technicians.	
8	(b) (c) (d) The	e Board may require that paraoptometric personnel An <u>A certified</u> optometric technician be <mark>currently</mark>	
9	certified by the	American Optometric Association and maintain this certification shall be in the physical presence of	
10	the patient duri	ng any patient examination in environments where there is no onsite optometrist physically present	
11	during such ex	amination. and supervision is limited. The optometrist conducting the patient examination is	
12	responsible for	ensuring the physical presence of a certified technician during that examination. The Board will also	
13	accept certified	l ophthalmic technicians certified through the International Joint Commission on Allied Health	
14	Personnel in Or	phthalmology as satisfying this requirement so long as such persons register with the Board.	
15	(e) The Board	will allow until January 1, 2025, for licensees to comply with the requirement in subsection (d).	
16			
17			
18			
19	History Note:	Authority G.S. 90-115.1(6); 90-117.5;	
20		Eff. February 1, 1976;	
21		Readopted Eff. May 30, 1978;	
22		Amended Eff. June 1, 1989; September 30, 1981;	
23		Pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.3A, rule is necessary without substantive public interest Eff. May 23,	
24		2015;	
25		Amended Eff. March 1, 2023.	