

Mailing address: 6714 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-6700

Street address: 1711 New Hope Church Rd Raleigh, NC 27609-6285

January 17, 2019

Chrissy Waggett Soil and Water Conservation Commission Sent via email only to: Christina.Waggett@ncagr.gov

Re: Extension of the Period of Review for Rules 02 NCAC 59D .0101 - .0110 and 59H .0101 - .0108

Dear Mrs. Waggett:

At its meeting this morning, the Rules Review Commission extended the period of review for the above-captioned rules in accordance with G.S. 150B-21.10. They did so in response to a request from the Soil and Water Conservation Commission to extend the period in order to allow the agency to address the requested technical changes and submit the rewritten rules at a later meeting.

Pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.13, when the Commission extends the period of review, it is required to approve or object to rules or call a public hearing on the same within 70 days.

If you have any questions regarding the Commission's actions, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Amanda J. Reeder Commission Counsel

cc: Tien Cheng, Department of Agriculture



Mailing address: 6714 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-6700 Street address: 1711 New Hope Church Rd Raleigh, NC 27609-6285

January 17, 2019

Kathie Trotter, Rulemaking Coordinator Commission for the Blind Sent via email only: Kathie.trotter@dhhs.nc.gov

Re: 10A NCAC 63C .0203 and .0601

Dear Ms. Trotter:

At its meeting yesterday, the Rules Review Commission continued its objection to the above-captioned rules in accordance with G.S. 150B-21.12.

The Commission continued its objection to Rule .0601, Paragraphs (a)(8) ("business consultation"), and (a)(9) ("consultation to support operations"), because the indicated terms are unclear and ambiguous.

The Commission continued its objection to Rule .0203, Paragraph (d)(6), because it effectively incorporates the ambiguous terms in Rule .0601 that are identified above.

Please respond to this letter in accordance with the provisions of G.S. 150B-21.12. If you have any questions regarding the Commission's action, please let me know.

Sincerely,

/s/ Jason Thomas Commission Counsel

Cc: Cynthia Speight (cynthia.speight@dhhs.nc.gov)

Administration	Rules Division	Judges and	Clerk's Office	Rules Review	Civil Rights
919/431-3000	919/431-3000	Assistants	919/431-3000	Commission	Division
fax:919/431-	fax: 919/431-	919/431-3000	fax: 919/431-	919/431-3000	919/431-3036
3100	3104	fax: 919/431-	3100	fax: 919/431-	fax: 919/431-
		3100		3104	3103



Mailing address: 6714 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-6700

Street address: 1711 New Hope Church Rd Raleigh, NC 27609-6285

January 17, 2019

Jennifer Everett Department of Transportation Sent via email only to: Jennifer.everett@ncdenr.gov

Re: Extension of the Period of Review for Rules 15A NCAC 07H .0209, .0308, .1704, and .1705

Dear Ms. Everett:

At its meeting this morning, the Rules Review Commission extended the period of review for the above-captioned rules in accordance with G.S. 150B-21.10. They did so in response to a request from the Coastal Resources Commission to extend the period in order to allow the agency to address the requested technical changes and submit the rewritten rules at a later meeting.

Pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.13, when the Commission extends the period of review, it is required to approve or object to rules or call a public hearing on the same within 70 days.

If you have any questions regarding the Commission's actions, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Amber C. May

Commission Counsel



Mailing address: 6714 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-6700

Street address: 1711 New Hope Church Rd Raleigh, NC 27609-6285

January 17, 2019

Helen Landi Department of Transportation Sent via email only to: rulemaking@ncdot.gov

Re: Extension of the Period of Review for Rules 19A NCAC 02D .0408, 02E .0412, .0418, .0419, .0420, .0421, and .1006

Dear Ms. Landi:

At its meeting this morning, the Rules Review Commission extended the period of review for the above-captioned rules in accordance with G.S. 150B-21.10. They did so in response to a request from the Department of Transportation to extend the period in order to allow the agency to address the requested technical changes and submit the rewritten rules at a later meeting.

Pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.13, when the Commission extends the period of review, it is required to approve or object to rules or call a public hearing on the same within 70 days.

If you have any questions regarding the Commission's actions, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Amber C. May

Commission Counsel

cc:

Hannah Jernigan



Mailing address: 6714 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-6700

Street address: 1711 New Hope Church Rd Raleigh, NC 27609-6285

January 17, 2019

Barden Culbreth, Rulemaking Coordinator Substance Abuse Professional Practice Board Sent via email only: Barden@ncsappb.org

Re: Objection to Rules 21 NCAC .0203, .0205, .0208, .0211, .0212, and .0305

Dear Mr. Culbreth:

At its meeting today, the Rules Review Commission objected to the above-captioned rules in accordance with G.S. 150B-21.10.

The Commission objected to Rule 21 NCAC 68 .0203 based upon lack of statutory authority and failure to comply with the APA. Specifically, in Subparagraph (a)(4) of this Rule, the Board proposed to charge a \$200 registration fee. However, G.S. 90-113.38(b1) limits the registration fee to \$150. The Commission found that the Board does not have authority to exceed the amount set by statute. In addition, when the Board noticed this Rule in the October 15, 2018 Register, it proposed that the fee be \$150. The agency raised the amount of the registration fee by \$50 after publication. The Commission found that this change after publication constituted a substantial change as defined in G.S. 150B-21.2(g), and therefore, the agency failed to comply with the APA.

The Commission objected to Rules 21 NCAC 68 .0205, .0211, .0212, and .0305 based upon lack of statutory authority to charge a higher registration fee than allowed by statute.

The Commission objected to Rule 21 NCAC 68 .0208 for lack of statutory authority. Specifically, the Commission objected to Subparagraphs (c)(2) and (c)(3) of the Rule, in which the Board set the ratio of supervision at one hour of supervision for every 80 to 160 hours of practice, with the amount of supervision decreasing the longer the individual has been practicing. G.S. 90-113.37A(b) sets the ratio of supervision to work at 40 hours. The Commission found that the Board does not have the authority to alter the statutory requirement.

Administration 919/431-3000 fax:919/431-3100

Rules Division 919/431-3000 fax: 919/431-3104 Judges and Assistants 919/431-3000 fax: 919/431-3100

Clerk's Office 919/431-3000 fax: 919/431-3100 Rules Review Commission 919/431-3000 fax: 919/431-3104 Civil Rights
Division
919/431-3036
fax: 919/431-3103

Please respond to this letter in accordance with the provisions of G.S. 150B-21.12. If you have any questions regarding the Commission's actions, please let me know.

Amanda J. Reeder Commission Counsel