

December 16, 2021

Anna Hayworth
Soil and Water Conservation Commission
Sent via amail only to an invan@haraleania

Sent via email only to: cpinyan@brookspierce.com

Re: Extension of the Period of Review for 02 NCAC 59A .0101, .0102, .0103, .0104, .0201, .0202, .0203, .0204, .0301, and .0302

Dear Ms. Hayworth:

At its meeting this morning, the Rules Review Commission extended the period of review for the above-captioned rules in accordance with G.S. 150B-21.10. They did so in response to a request from the agency to extend the period of review in order to allow the Commission additional time to address technical change requests.

Pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.13, when the Commission extends the period of review, it is required to approve or object to rules or call a public hearing on the same within 70 days.

If you have any questions regarding the Commission's actions, please let me know.

N (

Amber May

Commission Counsel

Cc: Vernon Cox and Phillip Reynolds

Donald R. van der Vaart, Director Chief Administrative Law Judge Fred G. Morrison, Jr. Senior Administrative Law Judge Linda T. Worth Deputy Director



December 16, 2021

Jennifer Everett Environmental Management Commission Sent via email only to: Jennifer.Everett@ncdenr.gov

Re: Extension of the Period of Review for 15A NCAC 02L .0202

Dear Ms. Everett:

At its meeting this morning, the Rules Review Commission extended the period of review for the above-captioned rule in accordance with G.S. 150B-21.10. They did so in response to a request from the agency to extend the period in order to allow additional time to address the requested technical changes.

Pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.13, when the Commission extends the period of review, it is required to approve or object to rules or call a public hearing on the same within 70 days.

If you have any questions regarding the Commission's actions, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Amber May

Commission Counsel

Donald R. van der Vaart, Director Chief Administrative Law Judge Fred G. Morrison, Jr.
Senior Administrative Law Judge

Linda T. Worth Deputy Director



December 16, 2021

Richard Jones, Chairman
State Board of Examiners of Electrical Contractors
Sent via email only: Richard.Jones@bryantdurham.com

Re: Objection to Rule 21 NCAC 18B .0308.

Dear Mr. Jones:

At its meeting this morning, the Rules Review Commission objected to the above-captioned temporary Rule in accordance with G.S. 150B-21.1(b).

The Rules Review Commission objected to 21 NCAC 18B .0308 for lack of statutory authority. Specifically, the Commission found that the State Board of Examiners of Electrical Contractors did not have authority under G.S. 87-1.1 to limit the percentage of plumbing, heating, or fire sprinkler contracting work in any bid submitted pursuant to that statute.

Please respond to this letter in accordance with the provisions of G.S. 150B-21.1(b1) or (b2). If you have any questions regarding the Rule Review Commission's action, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Brian Liebman

Commission Counsel

cc: Nick Fountain and Reed Fountain, Rulemaking Coordinators

Donald Robert van der Vaart, Director Chief Administrative Law Judge

Fred G. Morrison, Jr.Senior Administrative Law Judge



December 16, 2021

Clinton Pinyan Board of Pharmacy

Sent via email only to: cpinyan@brookspierce.com

Re: Extension of the Period of Review for 21 NCAC 46 .1417 and .1816

Dear Mr. Pinyan:

At its meeting this morning, the Rules Review Commission extended the period of review for the above-captioned rules in accordance with G.S. 150B-21.10. They did so in response to a request from the agency to extend the period of review in order to allow the Board an opportunity to review the letter sent by CVS dated December 8, 2021.

Pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.13, when the Commission extends the period of review, it is required to approve or object to rules or call a public hearing on the same within 70 days.

If you have any questions regarding the Commission's actions, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Amber May

Commission Counsel

Cc: Jay Campbell

Donald R. van der Vaart, Director Chief Administrative Law Judge Fred G. Morrison, Jr.
Senior Administrative Law Judge

Linda T. Worth Deputy Director



December 16, 2021

William H. Eubanks, Chairman State Board of Examiners of Plumbing, Heating, and Fire Sprinkler Contractors <u>Sent via email only: weubanks@ec.rr.com</u>

Re: Objection to Rule 21 NCAC 50 .0415.

Dear Mr. Eubanks:

At its meeting this morning, the Rules Review Commission objected to the above-captioned temporary Rule in accordance with G.S. 150B-21.1(b).

The Rules Review Commission objected to 21 NCAC 50 .0415 for lack of statutory authority. Specifically, the Commission found that the State Board of Examiners of Plumbing, Heating, and Fire Sprinkler Contractors did not have authority under G.S. 87-1.1 to limit the percentage of electrical contracting work in any bid submitted pursuant to that statute.

Please respond to this letter in accordance with the provisions of G.S. 150B-21.1(b1) or (b2). If you have any questions regarding the Rule Review Commission's action, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Brian Liebman Commission Counsel

cc: Reed Fountain, Rulemaking Coordinator

Donald Robert van der Vaart, Director Chief Administrative Law Judge **Fred G. Morrison, Jr.**Senior Administrative Law Judge