

## **Burgos, Alexander N**

---

**From:** Ascher, Seth M  
**Sent:** Monday, March 9, 2026 3:57 PM  
**To:** Rulescoordinator, Dhsr; Conley, Azzie  
**Cc:** Burgos, Alexander N  
**Subject:** 10A NCAC 14F Periodic Review Report  
**Attachments:** 10A NCAC 14F.xlsx

Good afternoon,

I am the attorney who reviewed your agency's periodic review reports. The RRC will formally review this report at its meeting on Thursday, March 26, 2026, at 10:00 a.m. The meeting will be a hybrid of in-person and WebEx attendance, and an evite should be sent to you as we get close to the meeting, or you are welcome to attend in person. If there are any other representatives from your agency who want to attend virtually, let me know prior to the meeting, and we will get evites out to them as well.

I have attached the report I plan to recommend that the Commission adopt. I wanted to note a couple of circumstances. In your report you had indicated that you did not receive public comment, but you did receive one and indicated as such on the form, so I changed that. Note that this is not a circumstance where I would recommend that the comment lead to Commission action. However, it is somewhat odd that the comment indicated the entire subchapter was unnecessary and you indicated you agreed, but still designated the rules as necessary in the report. Can you clarify this?

### **Seth Ascher**

Counsel to the North Carolina Rules Review Commission  
Office of Administrative Hearings  
(984) 236-1934

---

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.