## \*Environmental Management Commission Letters Of Objection\*

FILED

Terry Lansdell 421 Minuet Lane Charlotte, NC 28217

2016 FEB -8 PM 12: 54

OFFICE OF ADMIN HEARINGS

2/4/2016

N.C. Rules Review Commission 6714 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-6714

Re: Proposed Amendments to Air Quality Rules: Activities Exempted from Permitting Requirements - 15A NCAC 02Q.0102, 15A NCAC 02Q .0302, 15A NCAC 02Q .0318, 15A NCAC 02Q .0903

Members of the Commission:

I request that the above rule(s) be reviewed in the upcoming legislative session as set out in N.C.G.S. 150B-21.3. I further request that the rule(s) be subject to a delayed effective date as set out in that same provision.

Thank you for your consideration.

Terry Lansdell

Laura Wenzel, MSW 109 N Graham Street, #205 Chapel Hill, NC 27516

February 5, 2016

N.C. Rules Review Commission 6714 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-6714

Re: Proposed Amendments to Air Quality Rules: Activities Exempted from Permitting Requirements - 15A NCAC 02Q.0102, 15A NCAC 02Q .0302, 15A NCAC 02Q .0318, 15A NCAC 02Q .0903

Members of the Commission:

I request that the above rule(s) be reviewed in the upcoming legislative session as set out in N.C.G.S. 150B-21.3. I further request that the rule(s) be subject to a delayed effective date as set out in that same provision.

Thank you for your consideration.

Laura Wersel

TOIL FEB -8 AM 11: 51

OFFICE OF ADMIN HEARINGS

## Filed

2016 FER 16 PM 1: 51

Deborah Leiner Fields 902 Carolina Street Greensboro, NC 27401

Office of Administrative Hearings

February 10, 2016

N.C. Rules Review Commission 6714 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-6714

Re: Proposed Amendments to Air Quality Rules: Activities Exempted from Permitting Requirements - 15A NCAC 02Q.0102, 15A NCAC 02Q .0302, 15A NCAC 02Q .0318, 15A NCAC 02Q .0903

Members of the Commission:

I live in Guilford County where many small emitters are located near residential areas. I request that the above rule(s) exempting many of these facilities from permitting requirements be reviewed in the upcoming legislative session as set out in N.C.G.S. 150B-21.3. I further request that the rule(s) be subject to a delayed effective date as set out in that same provision.

referen field

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Deborah Leiner Fields

## Filed

2016 FER 16 PM 1: 51

Office of Administrative Hospins

Karl Bertrand Fields 902 Carolina Street Greensboro, NC 27401

February 10, 2016

N.C. Rules Review Commission 6714 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-6714

Re: Proposed Amendments to Air Quality Rules: Activities Exempted from Permitting Requirements - 15A NCAC 02Q.0102, 15A NCAC 02Q .0302, 15A NCAC 02Q .0318, 15A NCAC 02Q .0903

Members of the Commission:

As a Guilford County resident, where many small emitters are located near residential areas, I request that the above rule(s) be reviewed in the upcoming legislative session as set out in N.C.G.S. 150B-21.3. I further request that the rule(s) be subject to a delayed effective date as set out in that same provision.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Karl Bertrand Fields

Filed

Robert Parr, D.O. 6706 Falcon Pointe Road Wilmington, North Carolina 28411

February 11, 2016

2014 FFB 16 PM 1:51

Office of Administrative Hearings

N.C. Rules Review Commission 6714 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-6714

Re: Proposed Amendments to Air Quality Rules: Activities Exempted from Permitting Requirements - 15A NCAC 02Q.0102, 15A NCAC 02Q.0302, 15A NCAC 02Q.0318, 15A NCAC 02Q.0903

Members of the Commission:

I request that the above rule(s) be reviewed in the upcoming legislative session as set out in N.C.G.S. 150B-21.3. I further request that the rule(s) be subject to a delayed effective date as set out in that same provision.

As a practicing physician in New Hanover County I strongly object to any rule changes that may negatively impact the health and public welfare of my patients and family. Without supporting studies showing that these rule changes will not adversely affect citizens living off site but in close proximity to facilities emitting toxic substances they should be delayed/rejected.

Specifically from the official Rule Summary

Who is Affected and How:

No mention of stakeholder citizens living in close proximity to toxic emission sources.

It is assumed that toxic emissions of less than 25 tons/year will have no negative health affects on surrounding citizens without data or medical studies to support this critical assumption.

Impacts:

While annually there is a potential net savings of \$768,225 to the public sector and a loss in permit fees to the DAQ of \$280, 425 which is offset some by opportunity savings of \$162,500 there is no attempt to calculate the potential health care costs to affected stakeholders living in close proximity of 1,227 facilities emitting air toxics.

The official Rule Summary has taken into account the potential benefits of regulatory relief and net savings to emitters of air toxics but has not included the potential negative health and economic impacts of citizen stakeholders who may be affected by these rule changes.

It is important to take into account that these emissions are the result of private enterprises that are unable to contain toxic emissions on their private property and which will drift offsite onto the private property of affected neighborhoods. These toxic substances have very well studied negative health impacts that may directly and seriously harm stake holders for whom NCDAQ has the responsibility to protect.

Additionally, there are no monitoring safe guards to ensure that emissions in the future will not exceed those stated on the initial application paper work.

Until such time that the potential negative health and economic impacts for thousands of citizens across North Carolina are quantified and taken into account and spot monitoring by NCDAQ is included, the suggested rule changes are not in the best interests of the general public and should be delayed/rejected.

Sincerely,

Robert Parr, D.O.

Ostert Parz, D.O.

Rule Summary (http://daq.state.nc.us/rules/draft/AttachmentA.pdf)

N.C. Rules Review Commission 6714 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-6714

Re: <u>Proposed Amendments to Air Quality Rules: Activities Exempted from Permitting Requirements - 15A NCAC 02Q.0102, 15A NCAC 02Q .0302, 15A NCAC 02Q .0318, 15A NCAC 02Q .0903</u>

Dear Members of the Commission:

I request that the above rule(s) be reviewed in the upcoming legislative session as set out in N.C.G.S. 150B-21.3. I further request that the rule(s) be subject to a delayed effective date as set out in that same provision.

Thank you for your consideration.

Anne M. Jones

Green Jones

OFFICE OF ADMIN HEARING

FILE

Carrie Clark 1401 Courtland Dr. Raleigh NC 27604

February 17, 2016

N.C. Rules Review Commission 6714 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-6714

Via email: <u>Jason.Thomas@oah.nc.gov</u>

Re: Proposed Amendments to Air Quality Rules: Activities Exempted from Permitting Requirements - 15A NCAC 02Q.0102, 15A NCAC 02Q .0302, 15A NCAC 02Q .0318, 15A NCAC 02Q .0903

Members of the Commission:

I request that the above rule(s) be reviewed in the upcoming legislative session as set out in N.C.G.S. 150B-21.3. I further request that the rule(s) be subject to a delayed effective date as set out in that same provision.

Thank you for your consideration.

Canie Clark

Carrie Clark