Agency - Medical Care Commission

Comment Period - 05/29/2015 through 07/28/2015

Date Submitted to A	APO - Filled in by RRC sta	aff						
Rule Section	Rule Citation	Rule Name	Date and Last Agency Action	Agency Determination [150B-	Required to Implement or Conform	Federal Regulation Citation	Public Comment Received [150B-	Agency Determination Following
			on the Rule	21.3A(c)(1)a]	to Federal Regulation [150B-		21.3A(c)(1)]	Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)]
					21.3A(d1)]			

Date Submitted to	APO - Filled in by RRC s	ταπ			_		_	
Rule Section	Rule Citation	Rule Name	Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule	Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a]	Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)]	Federal Regulation Citation	Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)]	Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)]
SECTION .0100 – DEFINITIONS	10A NCAC 13P .0101	ABBREVIATIONS	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		Yes	Necessary with substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0102	DEFINITIONS	Amended Eff. March 3, 2009 pursuant to E.O. 9, Beverly Perdue, March 3, 2009; Pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.3(c), a bill was not ratified by the General Assembly to disapprove this rule	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
SECTION .0200 – EMS SYSTEMS	10A NCAC 13P .0201	EMS SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0203	SPECIAL SITUATIONS	Amended Eff. January 1, 2004	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0204	EMS PROVIDER LICENSE REQUIREMENTS	Amended Eff. March 3, 2009 pursuant to E.O. 9, Beverly Perdue, March 3, 2009; Pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.3(c), a bill was not ratified by the General Assembly to disapprove this rule	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0205	EMS PROVIDER LICENSE CONDITIONS	Amended Eff. February 1, 2009	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0206	TERM OF EMS PROVIDER LICENSE	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0207	GROUND AMBULANCE: VEHICLE AND EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0208	CONVALESCENT AMBULANCE: VEHICLE AND EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0209	AIR MEDICAL AMBULANCE: VEHICLE AND EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS	Amended Eff. March 3, 2009 pursuant to E.O. 9, Beverly Perdue, March 3, 2009; Pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.3(c), a bill was not ratified by the General Assembly to disapprove this rule	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest

Agency - Medical Care Commission

	05/29/2015 through 0							
Rule Section	APO - Filled in by RRC Rule Citation	Rule Name	Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule	Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a]	Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)]	Federal Regulation Citation	Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)]	Agency Determination Followin Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(
	10A NCAC 13P .0210	WATER AMBULANCE: WATERCRAFT AND EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0211	AMBULANCE PERMIT CONDITIONS	Amended Eff. January 1, 2004	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0212	TERM OF AMBULANCE PERMIT	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0213	EMS NONTRANSPORTING VEHICLE REQUIREMENTS	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0214	EMS NONTRANSPORTING VEHICLE PERMIT CONDITIONS	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0215	TERM OF EMS NONTRANSPORTING VEHICLE PERMIT	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0216	WEAPONS AND EXPLOSIVES FORBIDDEN	Eff. April 1, 2003	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive publi interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0217	MEDICAL AMBULANCE/EVACUATION BUS: VEHICLE AND EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS	Eff. July 1, 2011	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0218	PEDIATRIC SPECIALTY CARE GROUND AMBULANCE: VEHICLE AND EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS	Eff. July 1, 2011	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0219	STAFFING FOR MEDICAL AMBULANCE/EVACUATION BUS VEHICLES	Eff. July 1, 2011	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive publi interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0220	STAFFING FOR PEDIATRIC SPECIALTY CARE GROUND	Eff. July 1, 2011	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest

Agency - Medical Care Commission

	- 05/29/2015 through 07	· ·						
Date Submitted to	o APO - Filled in by RRC :	staff					_	_
Rule Section	Rule Citation	Rule Name	Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule	Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a]	Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)]	Federal Regulation Citation	Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)]	Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)]
	10A NCAC 13P .0221	PATIENT TRANSPORTATION BETWEEN HOSPITALS	Eff. July 1, 2012	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
SECTION .0300 – SPECIALTY CARE TRANSPORT PROGRAMS	10A NCAC 13P .0301	SPECIALTY CARE TRANSPORT PROGRAM CRITERIA	Amended Eff. March 3, 2009 pursuant to E.O. 9, Beverly Perdue, March 3, 2009; Pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.3(c), a bill was not ratified by the General Assembly to disapprove this rule	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0302	AIR MEDICAL SPECIALTY CARE TRANSPORT PROGRAM CRITERIA FOR LICENSED EMS PROVIDERS USING ROTARY-WING AIRCRAFT	Amended Eff. March 3, 2009 pursuant to E.O. 9, Beverly Perdue, March 3, 2009; Pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.3(c), a bill was not ratified by the General Assembly to disapprove this rule	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0305	AIR MEDICAL SPECIALTY CARE TRANSPORT PROGRAM CRITERIA FOR LICENSED EMS PROVIDERS USING FIXED-WING AIRCRAFT	Amended Eff. March 3, 2009 pursuant to E.O. 9, Beverly Perdue, March 3, 2009; Pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.3(c), a bill was not ratified by the General Assembly to disapprove this rule	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
SECTION .0400 - MEDICAL OVERSIGHT	10A NCAC 13P .0401	COMPONENTS OF MEDICAL OVERSIGHT FOR EMS SYSTEMS	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
OVERSIGHT	10A NCAC 13P .0402		Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0403	RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE MEDICAL DIRECTOR FOR EMS SYSTEMS	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0404	RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE MEDICAL DIRECTOR FOR SPECIALTY CARE TRANSPORT PROGRAMS	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0405		Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0406		Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest

Agency - Medical Care Commission

Comment Period -	05/29/2015 through 07	7/28/2015						
Date Submitted to	APO - Filled in by RRC	staff						
Rule Section	Rule Citation	Rule Name	Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule	Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a]	Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)]	Federal Regulation Citation	Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)]	Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)]
	10A NCAC 13P .0407	REQUIREMENTS FOR EMERGENCY MEDICAL DISPATCH PRIORITY REFERENCE SYSTEM	Amended Eff. January 1, 2004	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0408	EMS PEER REVIEW COMMITTEE FOR EMS SYSTEMS	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0409	EMS PEER REVIEW COMMITTEE FOR SPECIALTY CARE TRANSPORT PROGRAMS	Amended Eff. March 3, 2009 pursuant to E.O. 9, Beverly Perdue, March 3, 2009; Pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.3(c), a bill was not ratified by the General Assembly to disapprove this rule	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
SECTION .0500 – EMS PERSONNEL	10A NCAC 13P .0501	EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0502	INITIAL CREDENTIALING REQUIREMENTS FOR MR, EMT, EMT-I, EMT-P, AND EMD	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0503	TERM OF CREDENTIALS FOR EMS PERSONNEL	Eff. April 1, 2003	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0504	RENEWAL OF CREDENTIALS FOR MR, EMT, EMT-I, EMT- P, AND EMD	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0505	SCOPE OF PRACTICE FOR EMS PERSONNEL	Eff. April 1, 2003	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0506	PRACTICE SETTINGS FOR EMS PERSONNEL	Amended Eff. January 1, 2004	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0507	CREDENTIALING REQUIREMENTS FOR LEVEL I EMS INSTRUCTORS	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0508	CREDENTIALING REQUIREMENTS FOR LEVEL II EMS INSTRUCTORS	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0509	CREDENTIALING OF INDIVIDUALS TO ADMINISTER LIFESAVING TREATMENT TO PERSONS SUFFERING AN ADVERSE REACTION TO AGENTS THAT MIGHT CAUSE ANAPHYLAXIS	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0510	RENEWAL OF CREDENTIALS FOR LEVEL I AND LEVEL II EMS INSTRUCTORS	Amended Eff. February 1, 2009	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0511	CRIMINAL HISTORIES	Amended Eff. January 1, 2013	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest

Agency - Medical Care Commission
Comment Period - 05/29/2015 through 07/28/2015

Date Submitted to	APO - Filled in by RRC st	taff						
Rule Section	Rule Citation	Rule Name	Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule	Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a]	Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)]	Federal Regulation Citation	Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)]	Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)]
SECTION .0600 – EMS EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS	10A NCAC 13P .0601	CONTINUING EDUCATION EMS EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION REOUIREMENTS	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0602	BASIC EMS EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION REQUIREMENTS	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0603	ADVANCED EMS EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION REQUIREMENTS	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
SECTION .0900 – TRAUMA CENTER STANDARDS AND APPROVAL	10A NCAC 13P .0901	LEVEL I TRAUMA CENTER CRITERIA	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
ATTAC	10A NCAC 13P .0902	LEVEL II TRAUMA CENTER CRITERIA	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0903	LEVEL III TRAUMA CENTER CRITERIA	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0904	INITIAL DESIGNATION PROCESS	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		Yes	Necessary with substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .0905	RENEWAL DESIGNATION PROCESS	Amended Eff. April 1, 2009	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
SECTION .1000 – TRAUMA CENTER DESIGNATION ENFORCEMENT	10A NCAC 13P .1003	MISREPRESENTATION OF DESIGNATION	Eff. April 1, 2003	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
SECTION .1100 – TRAUMA SYSTEM DESIGN	10A NCAC 13P .1101	STATE TRAUMA SYSTEM	Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
DESIGN	10A NCAC 13P .1102	REGIONAL TRAUMA SYSTEM PLAN	1 Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .1103	REGIONAL TRAUMA SYSTEM POLICY DEVELOPMENT	1 Amended Eff. January 1, 2009	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
SECTION 1400 – RECOVERY AND REHABILITATION OF CHEMICALLY DEPENDENT EMS	10A NCAC 13P .1401	CHEMICAL ADDICTION OR ABUSE TREATMENT PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS	Eff. October 1, 2010	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
DERSONNEL	10A NCAC 13P .1402	PROVISIONS FOR PARTICIPATION IN THE CHEMICAL ADDICTION OR ABUSE TREATMENT PROGRAM	Eff. October 1, 2010	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest

Agency - Medical Care Commission

Comment Period - 05/29/2015 through 07/28/2015

Date Submitted to	APO - Filled in by RRC	staff						
Rule Section	Rule Citation	Rule Name	Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule	Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a]	Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)]	Federal Regulation Citation	Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)]	Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)
	10A NCAC 13P .1403	CONDITIONS FOR RESTRICTED PRACTICE WITH LIMITED PRIVILEGES	Eff. October 1, 2010	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .1404	REINSTATEMENT OF AN UNENCUMBERED EMS CREDENTIAL	Eff. October 1, 2010	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .1405	FAILURE TO COMPLETE THE CHEMICAL ADDICTION OR ABUSE TREATMENT PROGRAM	Eff. October 1, 2010	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
SECTION .1500 - DENIAL, SUSPENSION, AMENDMENT, OR REVOCATION	10A NCAC 13P .1501	ENFORCEMENT DEFINITIONS	Eff. January 1, 2013	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .1502	LICENSED EMS PROVIDERS	Eff. January 1, 2013	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .1503	SPECIALTY CARE TRANSPORT PROGRAMS	Eff. January 1, 2013	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .1504	TRAUMA CENTERS	Eff. January 1, 2013	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .1505	EMS EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS	Eff. January 1, 2013	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .1506	EMS VEHICLE PERMITS	Eff. January 1, 2013	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .1507	EMS PERSONNEL CREDENTIALS	Eff. January 1, 2013	Necessary with substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary with substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .1508	SUMMARY SUSPENSION	Eff. January 1, 2013	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest
	10A NCAC 13P .1509	PROCEDURES FOR DENIAL, SUSPENSION, AMENDMENT, OR REVOCATION	Eff. January 1, 2013	Necessary without substantive public interest	No		No	Necessary without substantive public interest

Rule Title	Rule Citation	Date	First Name	Last Name	Company	Email Address	Zip	Comment
ABBREVIATIONS	10A NCAC 13P .0101	5/21/2015	Erin	Glendening	DHSR	erin.glendening@dhhs.nc.gov		This is a test comment to verify that the system is working.
INITIAL DESIGNATION PROCESS	10A NCAC 13P .0904	5/22/2015	Phil	Angelo	Novant Health Presbyterian Medical Center	pjangelo@novanthealth.org		I respectfully request that the above rule be reviewed and amended to remove the requirement that hospitals seeking a Level II Trauma designation meet a minimum admission requirement. 10A NCAC 13P .0904(b) describes the initial designation process for hospitals applying for Level I and Level II trauma designation and defines certain limiting criteria. Subsection (b)(3) states "Evidence the Trauma Center will admit at least 1,200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I or II Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum." The admission requirement for Level I trauma Centers is based on the American College of Surgeons (ACS) recommendations for trauma centers as outlined in their Resources for Optimal Care of the Injured Patient 2014. This guideline states "A Level I trauma center must admit at least 1,200 trauma patients yearly or have 240 admissions with an Injury Severity Score of more than 15. This is the minimum volume that is believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements for a Level I trauma center." Only Level I Trauma Centers have this requirement under the ACS. As is noted in the above statement, the 1,200 trauma patient admission criteria is related to a Level I institution's trauma research and education. The ACS defines the differences between a Level I and Level II trauma center as the following: "Level I trauma centers are distinguished from Level II centers in that they must do the following: "Meet the admission volume requirements. • Maintain a surgically directed critical care service. • Participate in the training of residents and be a leader in

		education and outreach activities. • Conduct trauma
		research." As is clearly stated in the above statements, only
		a Level I trauma center has minimum admission
		requirements. Level II trauma centers do not have a
		minimum admission requirement proscribed by the ACS as
		they do not have any research or education requirements.
		Enforcing a minimum admission requirement on hospitals
		seeking Level II trauma center designation that is based off
		of the education and research requirements that only Level I
		trauma centers have is innapropriate. This requirement
		poses an unfair restriction on hospitals seeking Level II
		trauma center designation. Furthermore, the ACS states that
		"A Level II trauma center provides comprehensive trauma
		care in two distinct environments that have been recognized
		in the ongoing verification program sponsored by the ACS-
		COT (American College of Surgeons Committee on
		Trauma). The first environment is a population-dense area
		in which a Level II trauma center may supplement the
		clinical activity and expertise of a Level I institution. In this
		scenario, the Level I and II trauma centers should work
		together to optimize resources expended to care for all
		injured patients in their area. This implies a cooperative
		environment between institutions that allows patients to
		flow between hospitals, depending on resources and clinical
		expertise and matched to patient need." The requirement for
		hospitals seeking initial designation as a Level II trauma
		center, as currently stated in 10A NCAC 13P .0904, to
		admit at least 1,200 patients yearly or 240 with an ISS
		greater than or equal to 15 is contradictory to the above
		stated purpose of a Level II trauma center. The purpose of
		the Level II center is to "supplement the clinical activity
		and expertise of a Level I" center. The admission
		requirements, as currently written, are unwarranted and
		impede the ability to create a tiered trauma system that
		ensures a cooperative environment amongst trauma centers.
		Furthermore, this requirement deters the establishment of
		such a system by fostering a competitive environment and
		negatively impacts hospitals abilities to increase the level of
		trauma care provided to the citizens of this state. I request

						this rule be changed to apply only to Level I trauma centers as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section .0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P by adapting ACS recommendations and guidelines for trauma centers and would improve the ability to establish a comprehensive network of trauma centers in the state. Ultimately, these changes will help ensure we continue to provide optimal trauma care for the citizens of North Carolina. Thank you for your consideration.
INITIAL DESIGNATION PROCESS	10A NCAC 13P .0904	6/1/2015 Phil	Angelo	Novant Health Presbyterian Medical Center	pjangelo@novanthealth.org	I respectfully request that the above rule be reviewed and amended to remove the requirement that hospitals seeking a Level II Trauma designation meet a minimum admission requirement. 10A NCAC 13P .0904(b) describes the initial designation process for hospitals applying for Level I and Level II trauma designation and defines certain limiting criteria. Subsection (b)(3) states 'Evidence the Trauma Center will admit at least 1,200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I or II Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by

	1			
				jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet
				this same 240-patient minimum.' The admission
				requirement for Level I trauma Centers is based on the
				American College of Surgeons (ACS) recommendations for
				trauma centers as outlined in their Resources for Optimal
				Care of the Injured Patient 2014. This guideline states 'A
				Level I trauma center must admit at least 1,200 trauma
				patients yearly or have 240 admissions with an Injury
				Severity Score of more than 15. This is the minimum
				volume that is believed to be adequate to support the
				education and research requirements for a Level I trauma
				center.' Only Level I Trauma Centers have this requirement
				under the ACS. As is noted in the above statement, the
				1,200 trauma patient admission criteria is related to a Level
				I institution's trauma research and education. The ACS
				defines the differences between a Level I and Level II
				trauma center as the following: 'Level I trauma centers are
				distinguished from Level II centers in that they must do the
				following: - Meet the admission volume requirements
				Maintain a surgically directed critical care service.
				Participate in the training of residents and be a leader in
				education and outreach activities Conduct trauma
				research.' As is clearly stated in the above statements, only
				a Level I trauma center has minimum admission
				requirements. Level II trauma centers do not have a
				minimum admission requirement per the ACS as they do
				not have any research or education requirements. Enforcing
				a minimum admission requirement on hospitals seeking
				Level II trauma center designation that is based off of the
				education and research requirements that only Level I
				trauma centers have is inapropriate. This requirement poses
				an unfair restriction on hospitals seeking Level II trauma
				center designation. Furthermore, the ACS states that 'A
				Level II trauma center provides comprehensive trauma care
				in two distinct environments that have been recognized in
				the ongoing verification program sponsored by the ACS-
				COT (American College of Surgeons Committee on
				Trauma). The first environment is a population-dense area
				in which a Level II trauma center may supplement the
ı	1		l l	supplement the

clinical activity and expertise of a Level I natistitution. In this scenario, the Level I and II trauma centres should work together to optimize resources expended to care for all injured patients in their area. This implies a conperative environment between hospitals, depending on resources and clinical expertise and matched to patient need. The requirement for hospitals seeking initial designation as a Level II trauma centrer, as currently stated in 10A NCAC 13P 0904, to admit at least 1,200 patients yeardy or 24 but han ISS greater than or equal to 15 is contradictory to the above stated purpose of a Level I trauma centre. The admission requirements, as currently written, are unwarranted and impede the ability to create a liveral trauma centrer. The admission requirements, as currently written, are unwarranted and impede the ability to create a liveral trauma center. The admission as cooperative environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement deters the establishment of soat a system by Iostetring a competitive environment attempts the stabilishment of soat a system by Iostetring a competitive environment and negatively impacts hospitals abilities to increase the level of trauma centers as is the changed to apply only to Level I trauma centers as is the authorial standard as standed with American College (My)(3) is as followers: (3) Level I trauma centers as is the national standard as standed with American College (My)(3) is as followers: (3) Level I Trauma Center will ulmin ut least 1200 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This critica shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center will ulmin ut least 200 greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This critica shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center will ulmin ut least 200 greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This critica shall be net withou		T	 	
together to optimize resources expended to care for all injured patients in their area. This implies a cooperative environment between institutions that allows patients to flow between hospitals, depending on resources and clinical expertise and matched to patient need. The requirement for hospitals seeking imital designation as a Level II trauma center, as currently stated in 10A NCAC 13P .0904, to admit at least 1.200 patients yearly or 240 with an ISS greater than or equal to 15 is contradictory to the above stated purpose of a Level II trauma center. The purpose of the Level II center is to supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level Center. The admittance of the Level II center is to supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level Center. The admittance is as currently written, are unwarranted and impacts the ability to create a tirend trauma system that ensures a cooperative environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement deters the establishment of such a system by fostering a competitive environment and negatively impacts hospitals abilities to increase the level of trauma care provided to the citizens of this state. I request this rule be changed to apply only to Level I trauma centers as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommendel change to section .0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level II Trauma Centers shall provide; (i) Evidence the Level II Trauma Centers shall provide; (i) Evidence the Level II trauma Centers and the provides and language and a language and a language and the shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated be within the content of the statement of the popularity of the contents ability to meet the same 240 patient minimum, (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to the adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level II Trauma.				
injured patients in their area. This implies a cooperative environment between institutions that allows patients to flow between hospitals, depending on resources and elinical experties and matched to patient need. The requirement for hospitals seeking initial designation as a Level II trauma center, as currently stated in 10 A CAC 139 0904, to admit at least 1,200 patients yearly or 240 with an ISS greater than or equal to 15 is contradictory to the above stated purpose of a Level II trauma center. The purpose of the Level II center is to 'supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level II center. The admission requirements as currently written, are unovaranted and impede the ability to oreate a tiered trauma system that ensures a cooperative environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement detects the establishment of such a system by fostering a competitive environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement detects the establishment of such a system by fostering a competitive environment and negatively impacts hospitals shifties to increase the level of trauma care provided to the clitzens of this state. I request this rule be changed to apply only to Level I trauma centers as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section 0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level IT rauma Centers shall provide: (1) Evidence the Level IT rauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level IT came and Center shall in the without compromising the education and research requirements of a Level IT rauma Centers ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level IT rauma Center. This change would more closely slight this rule with				,
convironment between institutions that allows patients to flow between hospitals, depending on resources and clinical expertise and matched to patient need. The requirement for hospitals seeking initial designation as a Level II trauma center, as currently stated in 10A NCAC 13B 0904, to admit at least 1.200 patients yearly or 240 with an ISS greater than or equal to 15 is contradictory to the above stated purpose of a Level II trauma center. The purpose of the Level II center is to supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level I center. The purpose of the Level II center. The purpose of the Level II center, are unwarranted and impede the ability to create a tiered trauma system that ensures a cooperative environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement deters the establishment of such a system by fostering a competitive environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement deters the citablishment of such a system by fostering a competitive environment and negatively impacts hospitals abilities to increase the level of trauma care provided to the clitzens of this state. I request this rule be changed to apply only to Level I trauma centers as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section 0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients with an Injury Severify Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of earor or set effectiveness of any other designated Level ITrauma Center sharing all or purt of its eachment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same earner hequirements of a Level ITrauma Center sharing all or purt of its eachment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same earner hequirements of a Level ITrauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the curr				
flow between hospitals, depending on resources and clinical expertise and matched to patient need. The requirement for hospitals seeking initial designation as a Level II trauma center, as currently stated in IAO NCAC 13P ,0004, to admit at least 1,200 patients yearly or 240 with an ISS greater than or equal to 15 is contradictory to the above stated purpose of a Level II trauma center. The purpose of the Level II center is to Supplement the chinical activity and expertise of a Level I center is 10 supplement the chinical activity and expertise of a Level I center. The admission requirements, as currently written, are unwarranted and impede the ability to create a tiered trauma system that ensures a cooperative environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement deters the establishment of such a system by fostering a competitive environment and negatively impacts hospitals abilities to increase the level of trauma care provided to the citizens of this state. I request this rule be changed to apply only to Level I trauma centers as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section 1994(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Centers as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section 1994(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This citizenth shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center training all or part of its acachment area or by jopardizing the casing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum, (17) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the				
expertise and matched to patient need. The requirement for hospitals seeking initial designation as a Level II trauma center, as currently stated in 10A NCAC 13P .0904, to admit at lexal 1,200 patients yearly or 240 with an ISS greater than or equal to 15 is contradictory to the above stated purpose of a Level II trauma center. The purpose of the Level II trauma center is to supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level I remain asystem that ensures a cooperative environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement deters the establishment of such a system by fostering a competitive environment and negatively impacts to supply a supply and the competitive environment and regardively impacts to supply a supply and the competitive environment and regardively impacts to supply a supply and the competitive environment and regardively impacts to supply a supply and the competitive environment and regardively impacts to supply a supply and the competitive environment and research required to supply only to Level I trauma centers as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section .0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Centers will admit at least 1200 trauma patients) yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center's animal minimum, (17) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center's albility to meet this same 240-patient minimum, (17) This is the mi				environment between institutions that allows patients to
hospitals seeking initial designation as a Level II trauma center, as currently stated in 10A NCAC 13P .0904, to admit at least 1, 200 patients yearly or 240 with an 1SS greater than or equal to 15 is contradictory to the above stated purpose of a Level II trauma center. The purpose of the Level II center is to Supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level II center. The admission requirements, as currently written, are unwarranted and impede the ability to create a tiered trauma system that ensures a cooperative environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement deters the establishment of such as system by fostering a competitive environment and negatively impacts hospitals abilities to increase the level of trauma care provided to the citizens of this state. I request this rule be changed to apply only to Level I trauma centers us is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section .0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level II Trauma Centers shall provide: (1) Evidence the Level II Trauma Centers shall provide: (1) Evidence the Level II Trauma Centers will admit at least 1200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (158) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Centers and the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (i) This is the minimum rolume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I				flow between hospitals, depending on resources and clinical
center, as currently stated in 10A NCAC 13P .0904, to admit at least 1,200 patients yearly or 240 with an ISS greater than or equal to 15 is contradictory to the above stated purpose of a Level II trauma center. The purpose of the Level II center is to supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level I center is to supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level I center. The admission requirements, as currently written, are unwarranted and impede the ability to create a tiered trauma system that ensures a cooperative environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement deters the establishment of such a system by fostering a competitive environment and negatively impacts hospitals abilities to increase the level of trauma care provided to the citizens of this state. I request this rule be changed to apply only to Level I trauma center as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section, 0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients yeardy or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				expertise and matched to patient need.' The requirement for
admit at least 1,200 patients yearly or 240 with an ISS greater than or equal to 16 is contradictory to the above stated purpose of a Level II trauma center. The purpose of the Level II center is to supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level II center is to supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level II center is to supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level II center. The purpose of the Level II center is to supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level II center. The purpose of the Level II center active the ability to create a tirered trauma system that ensures a cooperative environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement deters the establishment of such a system by fostering a competitive environment and negatively impacts hospitals abilities to increase the level of trauma care provided to the citizens of this state. I request this rule be changed to apply only to Level II trauma centers as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section .0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level II Trauma Centers shall provide: (1) Evidence the Level II Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level II Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level II Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10 AN CACA 13P 0901,				hospitals seeking initial designation as a Level II trauma
greater than or equal to 15 is contradictory to the above stated purpose of a Level II rauma center. The purpose of the Level II center is to 'supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level II' center. The admission requirements, as currently written, are unwarranted and impede the ability to create a tiered trauma system that ensures a corporative environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement deters the establishment of such a system by fostering a competitive environment annogst trauma centers. Furthermore the provided to the citizens of this state. I request this rule be changed to apply only to Level I trauma center as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section, 6094(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center search requirements of a Level II Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level II Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the				center, as currently stated in 10A NCAC 13P .0904, to
greater than or equal to 15 is contradictory to the above stated purpose of a Level II rauma center. The purpose of the Level II center is to 'supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level II' center. The admission requirements, as currently written, are unwarranted and impede the ability to create a tiered trauma system that ensures a corporative environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement deters the establishment of such a system by fostering a competitive environment annogst trauma centers. Furthermore the provided to the citizens of this state. I request this rule be changed to apply only to Level I trauma center as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section, 6094(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center search requirements of a Level II Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level II Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the				admit at least 1,200 patients yearly or 240 with an ISS
the Level II center is to 'supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level I' center. The admission requirements, as currently written, are unwarranted and impede the ability to create a tiered trauma system that ensures a cooperative environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement deters the establishment of such a system by fostering a competitive environment and negatively impacts hospitals abilities to increase the level of trauma care provided to the citizens of this state. I request this rule be changed to apply only to Level I trauma centers as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section .0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center shall provide to the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				
the Level II center is to 'supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level I' center. The admission requirements, as currently written, are unwarranted and impede the ability to create a tiered trauma system that ensures a cooperative environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement deters the establishment of such a system by fostering a competitive environment and negatively impacts hospitals abilities to increase the level of trauma care provided to the citizens of this state. I request this rule be changed to apply only to Level I trauma centers as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section .0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center shall provide to the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				
expertise of a Level I center. The admission requirements, as currently written, are unwarranted and impede the ability to create a tiered trauma system that ensures a cooperative environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement deters the establishment of such a system by fostering a competitive environment and negatively impacts hospitals abilities to increase the level of trauma care provided to the citizens of this state. I request this rule be changed to apply only to Level I trauma centers as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section, 0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (18S) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center shall ground are on by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This is hange would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10.A NCAC 13P 0901.				
as currently written, are unwarranted and impede the ability to create a tiered trauma system that ensures a cooperative environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement deters the establishment of such a system by fostering a competitive environment and negatively impacts hospitals abilities to increase the level of trauma care provided to the citizens of this state. I request this rule be changed to apply only to Level I trauma centers as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section .0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC L3P 0901.				
to create a tiered trauma system that ensures a cooperative environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement deters the establishment of such a system by fostering a competitive environment and negatively impacts hospitals abilities to increase the level of trauma care provided to the citizens of this state. I request this rule be changed to apply only to Level I trauma centers as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section .0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				
environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement deters the establishment of such a system by fostering a competitive environment and negatively impacts hospitals abilities to increase the level of trauma care provided to the citizens of this state. I request this rule be changed to apply only to Level I trauma centers as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section 0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901.				to create a tiered trauma system that ensures a cooperative
fostering a competitive environment and negatively impacts hospitals abilities to increase the level of trauma care provided to the citizens of this state. I request this rule be changed to apply only to Level I trauma centers as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section .0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum wolume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this
hospitals abilities to increase the level of trauma care provided to the citizens of this state. I request this rule be changed to apply only to Level I trauma centers as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section. 0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the equality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				requirement deters the establishment of such a system by
provided to the citizens of this state. I request this rule be changed to apply only to Level I trauma centers as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section .0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				fostering a competitive environment and negatively impacts
changed to apply only to Level I trauma centers as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section .0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (1SS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				hospitals abilities to increase the level of trauma care
national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section .0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment are or by jeonard center sharing all or part of its catchment are or by jeonard the stating Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				provided to the citizens of this state. I request this rule be
Surgeons. My recommended change to section .0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				changed to apply only to Level I trauma centers as is the
is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				national standard as stated by the American College of
Evidence the Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yarjly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				Surgeons. My recommended change to section .0904(b)(3)
1200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i)
will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				Evidence the Level I Trauma Center will admit at least
Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				1200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service
yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an
quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15
Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the
area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated
to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment
minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				
education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the
Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				
the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901,				
0902 & 0903 by adopting ACS recommendations and				
				0902 & 0903 by adopting ACS recommendations and

						guidelines for trauma centers and would improve the ability to establish a comprehensive network of trauma centers in the state. Ultimately, these changes will help ensure we continue to provide optimal trauma care for the citizens of North Carolina. Thank you for your consideration.
INITIAL DESIGNATION PROCESS	10A NCAC 13P .0904	6/2/2015	William	Walker, MD, FACS, FASCRS	Walker52@mindspring.com	I respectfully request that the above rule be reviewed and amended to remove the requirement that hospitals seeking a Level II Trauma designation meet a minimum admission requirement. This will bring the rule into consistency with the American College of Surgeons recommendations which are applied elsewhere in the rules. 10A NCAC 13P .0904(b) describes the initial designation process for hospitals applying for Level I and Level II trauma designation and defines certain limiting criteria. Subsection (b)(3) states 'Evidence the Trauma Center will admit at least 1,200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. These criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I or II Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum.' The admission requirement for Level I trauma Centers is based on the American College of Surgeons (ACS) recommendations for trauma centers as outlined in their Resources for Optimal Care of the Injured Patient 2014. This guideline states 'A Level I trauma center must admit at least 1,200 trauma patients yearly or have 240 admissions with an Injury Severity Score of more than 15. This is the minimum volume that is believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements for a Level I trauma center.' Only Level I Trauma Centers have this requirement under the ACS. As is noted in the above statement, the 1,200 trauma patient admission criteria is related to a Level I institution's trauma research and education. The ACS defines the differences between a

			Level I and Level II trauma center as the following: 'Level I
			trauma centers are distinguished from Level II centers in
			that they must do the following: - Meet the admission
			volume requirements Maintain a surgically directed
			critical care service Participate in the training of residents
			and be a leader in education and outreach activities
			Conduct trauma research.' As is clearly stated in the above
			statements, only a Level I trauma center has minimum
			admission requirements. According to the ACS, Level II
			trauma centers do not have a minimum admission
			requirements since they have no research or education
			requirements. Since the ACS is the source of most trauma
			protocols and programs in the US and since the ACS is
			used throughout the rules as a reference, requiring a
			minimum admission requirement on hospitals seeking
			Level II trauma center designation is inconsistent with the
			ACS recommendations. This requirement poses an
			inconsistent and unsupported restriction on hospitals
			seeking Level II trauma center designation. Furthermore,
			the ACS states that 'A Level II trauma center provides
			comprehensive trauma care in two distinct environments
			that have been recognized in the ongoing verification
			program sponsored by the ACS-COT (American College of
			Surgeons Committee on Trauma). The first environment is
			a population-dense area in which a Level II trauma center
			may supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a
			Level I institution. In this scenario, the Level I and II
			trauma centers should work together to optimize resources
			expended to care for all injured patients in their area. This
			implies a cooperative environment between institutions that
			allows patients to flow between hospitals, depending on
			resources and clinical expertise and matched to patient
			need.' The requirement for hospitals seeking initial
			designation as a Level II trauma center, as currently stated
			in 10A NCAC 13P .0904, to admit at least 1,200 patients
			yearly or 240 with an ISS greater than or equal to 15 is
			contradictory to the above stated purpose of a Level II
			trauma center. The purpose of the Level II center is to
			'supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level I'

			center. The admission requirements, as currently written,
			are unwarranted and impede the ability to create a tiered
			trauma system that ensures a cooperative environment
			amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement
			deters the establishment of such a system by fostering a
			competitive environment and negatively impacts hospitals
			abilities to increase the level of trauma care provided to the
			citizens of this state. Please amend the rule to use volume
			requirements only for Level I trauma centers consistent
			with the national standard as stated by the American
			College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section
			.0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Centers shall
			provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Center will admit
			at least 1200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma
			service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients
			with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to
			15 yearly. These criteria shall be met without
			compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of
			any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or
			part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing
			Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient
			minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be
			adequate to support the education and research
			requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change
			would more closely align this rule with the current
			proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901, 0902 & 0903
			by adopting ACS recommendations and guidelines for
			trauma centers and would improve the ability to establish a
			comprehensive network of trauma centers in the state.
			Ultimately, these changes will help ensure we continue to
			provide optimal trauma care for the citizens of North
			Carolina. Thank you for your consideration. Will Walker,
			MD, FACS, FASCRS Medical Director, Surgical Services
			Novant Health Greater Charlotte Market Office: 704-384-
			5169 Cell: 704-533-0466 wwalker@novanthealth.org

Periodic Rules Review Public Comments and Agency Response Submission to RRC

Rule Subchapter: 10A NCAC 13P

1) Rule Citation: 10A NCAC 13P .0101

Rule Title: DEFINITIONS

a) Commenter: Erin Glendening, DHSR

Comment:

This is a test comment to verify that the system is working.

Agency Response:

This comment has no merit. It is a test of the comment reporting system.

2) Rule Citation: 10A NCAC 13P .0904

Rule Title: INITIAL DESIGNATION PROCESS

a) Commenter: Phil Angelo, Novant Health Presbyterian Medical Center

Comment:

I respectfully request that the above rule be reviewed and amended to remove the requirement that hospitals seeking a Level II Trauma designation meet a minimum admission requirement. 10A NCAC 13P .0904(b) describes the initial designation process for hospitals applying for Level I and Level II trauma designation and defines certain limiting criteria. Subsection (b)(3) states "Evidence the Trauma Center will admit at least 1,200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I or II Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum." The admission requirement for Level I trauma Centers is based on the American College of Surgeons (ACS) recommendations for trauma centers as outlined in their Resources for Optimal Care of the Injured Patient 2014. This guideline states "A Level I trauma center must admit at least 1,200 trauma patients yearly or have 240 admissions with an Injury Severity Score of more than 15. This is the minimum volume that is believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements for a Level I trauma center." Only Level I Trauma Centers have this requirement under the ACS. As is noted in the above statement, the 1,200 trauma patient admission criteria is related to a Level Linstitution's trauma research and education. The ACS defines the

differences between a Level I and Level II trauma center as the following: "Level I trauma centers are distinguished from Level II centers in that they must do the following: • Meet the admission volume requirements. • Maintain a surgically directed critical care service. • Participate in the training of residents and be a leader in education and outreach activities. • Conduct trauma research." As is clearly stated in the above statements, only a Level I trauma center has minimum admission requirements. Level II trauma centers do not have a minimum admission requirement proscribed by the ACS as they do not have any research or education requirements. Enforcing a minimum admission requirement on hospitals seeking Level II trauma center designation that is based off of the education and research requirements that only Level I trauma centers have is innapropriate. This requirement poses an unfair restriction on hospitals seeking Level II trauma center designation. Furthermore, the ACS states that "A Level II trauma center provides comprehensive trauma care in two distinct environments that have been recognized in the ongoing verification program sponsored by the ACS-COT (American College of Surgeons Committee on Trauma). The first environment is a population-dense area in which a Level II trauma center may supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level I institution. In this scenario, the Level I and II trauma centers should work together to optimize resources expended to care for all injured patients in their area. This implies a cooperative environment between institutions that allows patients to flow between hospitals, depending on resources and clinical expertise and matched to patient need." The requirement for hospitals seeking initial designation as a Level II trauma center, as currently stated in 10A NCAC 13P .0904, to admit at least 1,200 patients yearly or 240 with an ISS greater than or equal to 15 is contradictory to the above stated purpose of a Level II trauma center. The purpose of the Level II center is to "supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level I" center. The admission requirements, as currently written, are unwarranted and impede the ability to create a tiered trauma system that ensures a cooperative environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement deters the establishment of such a system by fostering a competitive environment and negatively impacts hospitals abilities to increase the level of trauma care provided to the citizens of this state. I request this rule be changed to apply only to Level I trauma centers as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section .0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P by adapting ACS recommendations and guidelines for trauma centers and would improve the ability to establish a comprehensive network of trauma centers in the state. Ultimately, these changes will help ensure we continue to provide optimal trauma care for the citizens of North Carolina. Thank you for your consideration.

Agency Response:

The agency has determined that the contents of the 10A NCAC 13P .0904 are necessary to ensure that quality trauma care is provided at our state's designated Level I and II trauma centers. Since the type of patients and care expectations are equivalent at Level I and II centers, it is felt that Level II centers would be unable to maintain the same level of expertise and quality of care if a lesser number of injured patients were treated at the Level II facility.

The proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P .0901, .0902 and .0903 rules that reference ACS criteria as the care requirements are intended to reflect direct patient care standards that are expected of our states trauma centers. The State will always maintain the independent process of designating trauma centers and therefore will maintain requirements for initial and renewal designations that is felt to best serve the environment that is unique to NC. A one size fits all national process may not ensure that the highest quality of care is provided for our citizens.

b) Commenter: Phil Angelo, Novant Health Presbyterian Medical Center

Comment:

I respectfully request that the above rule be reviewed and amended to remove the requirement that hospitals seeking a Level II Trauma designation meet a minimum admission requirement. 10A NCAC 13P .0904(b) describes the initial designation process for hospitals applying for Level I and Level II trauma designation and defines certain limiting criteria. Subsection (b)(3) states 'Evidence the Trauma Center will admit at least 1,200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I or II Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum.' The admission requirement for Level I trauma Centers is based on the American College of Surgeons (ACS) recommendations for trauma centers as outlined in their Resources for Optimal Care of the Injured Patient 2014. This guideline states 'A Level I trauma center must admit at least 1,200 trauma patients yearly or have 240 admissions with an Injury Severity Score of more than 15. This is the minimum volume that is believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements for a Level I trauma center.' Only Level I Trauma Centers have this requirement under the ACS. As is noted in the above statement, the 1,200 trauma patient admission criteria is related to a Level I institution's trauma research and education. The ACS defines the differences between a Level I and Level II trauma center as the following: 'Level I trauma centers are distinguished from Level II centers in that they must do the following: - Meet the admission volume requirements. - Maintain a surgically directed critical care service. -Participate in the training of residents and be a leader in education and outreach activities. - Conduct trauma research.' As is clearly stated in the above statements, only a Level I trauma center has minimum admission requirements. Level II trauma centers do not have a minimum admission requirement per the ACS as they do not have any research or

education requirements. Enforcing a minimum admission requirement on hospitals seeking Level II trauma center designation that is based off of the education and research requirements that only Level I trauma centers have is inappropriate. This requirement poses an unfair restriction on hospitals seeking Level II trauma center designation. Furthermore, the ACS states that 'A Level II trauma center provides comprehensive trauma care in two distinct environments that have been recognized in the ongoing verification program sponsored by the ACS-COT (American College of Surgeons Committee on Trauma). The first environment is a population-dense area in which a Level II trauma center may supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level I institution. In this scenario, the Level I and II trauma centers should work together to optimize resources expended to care for all injured patients in their area. This implies a cooperative environment between institutions that allows patients to flow between hospitals, depending on resources and clinical expertise and matched to patient need.' The requirement for hospitals seeking initial designation as a Level II trauma center, as currently stated in 10A NCAC 13P .0904, to admit at least 1,200 patients yearly or 240 with an ISS greater than or equal to 15 is contradictory to the above stated purpose of a Level II trauma center. The purpose of the Level II center is to 'supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level I' center. The admission requirements, as currently written, are unwarranted and impede the ability to create a tiered trauma system that ensures a cooperative environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement deters the establishment of such a system by fostering a competitive environment and negatively impacts hospitals abilities to increase the level of trauma care provided to the citizens of this state. I request this rule be changed to apply only to Level I trauma centers as is the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section .0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. This criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901, 0902 & 0903 by adopting ACS recommendations and guidelines for trauma centers and would improve the ability to establish a comprehensive network of trauma centers in the state. Ultimately, these changes will help ensure we continue to provide optimal trauma care for the citizens of North Carolina. Thank you for your consideration.

Agency Response:

The agency has determined that the contents of the 10A NCAC 13P .0904 are necessary to ensure that quality trauma care is provided at our state's designated Level I and II trauma centers. Since the type of patients and care expectations are equivalent at Level I and II centers, it is felt that Level II centers would be unable to maintain the same level of expertise and quality of care if a lesser number of injured patients were treated at the Level II facility.

The proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P .0901, .0902 and .0903 rules that reference ACS criteria as the care requirements are intended to reflect direct patient care standards that are expected of our states trauma centers. The State will always maintain the independent process of designating trauma centers and therefore will maintain requirements for initial and renewal designations that is felt to best serve the environment that is unique to NC. A one size fits all national process may not ensure that the highest quality of care is provided for our citizens.

c) Commenter: William Walker, MD, FACS, FASCRS

Comment:

I respectfully request that the above rule be reviewed and amended to remove the requirement that hospitals seeking a Level II Trauma designation meet a minimum admission requirement. This will bring the rule into consistency with the American College of Surgeons recommendations which are applied elsewhere in the rules. 10A NCAC 13P .0904(b) describes the initial designation process for hospitals applying for Level I and Level II trauma designation and defines certain limiting criteria. Subsection (b)(3) states 'Evidence the Trauma Center will admit at least 1,200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. These criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I or II Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum.' The admission requirement for Level I trauma Centers is based on the American College of Surgeons (ACS) recommendations for trauma centers as outlined in their Resources for Optimal Care of the Injured Patient 2014. This guideline states 'A Level I trauma center must admit at least 1,200 trauma patients yearly or have 240 admissions with an Injury Severity Score of more than 15. This is the minimum volume that is believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements for a Level I trauma center.' Only Level I Trauma Centers have this requirement under the ACS. As is noted in the above statement, the 1,200 trauma patient admission criteria is related to a Level I institution's trauma research and education. The ACS defines the differences between a Level I and Level II trauma center as the following: 'Level I trauma centers are distinguished from Level II centers in that they must do the following: - Meet the admission volume requirements. - Maintain a surgically directed critical care service. -Participate in the training of residents and be a leader in education and outreach activities. - Conduct trauma research.' As is clearly stated in the above statements, only a Level I trauma center has minimum admission requirements. According to the ACS, Level II trauma centers do not have a minimum admission requirements since they have no research or education requirements. Since the ACS is the source of most trauma protocols and programs in the US and since the ACS is used throughout the rules as a reference, requiring a minimum admission requirement on hospitals seeking Level II trauma center designation is inconsistent with the ACS recommendations. This requirement poses an inconsistent and unsupported restriction on hospitals seeking Level II trauma center

designation. Furthermore, the ACS states that 'A Level II trauma center provides comprehensive trauma care in two distinct environments that have been recognized in the ongoing verification program sponsored by the ACS-COT (American College of Surgeons Committee on Trauma). The first environment is a population-dense area in which a Level II trauma center may supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level I institution. In this scenario, the Level I and II trauma centers should work together to optimize resources expended to care for all injured patients in their area. This implies a cooperative environment between institutions that allows patients to flow between hospitals, depending on resources and clinical expertise and matched to patient need.' The requirement for hospitals seeking initial designation as a Level II trauma center, as currently stated in 10A NCAC 13P .0904, to admit at least 1,200 patients yearly or 240 with an ISS greater than or equal to 15 is contradictory to the above stated purpose of a Level II trauma center. The purpose of the Level II center is to 'supplement the clinical activity and expertise of a Level I' center. The admission requirements, as currently written, are unwarranted and impede the ability to create a tiered trauma system that ensures a cooperative environment amongst trauma centers. Furthermore, this requirement deters the establishment of such a system by fostering a competitive environment and negatively impacts hospitals abilities to increase the level of trauma care provided to the citizens of this state. Please amend the rule to use volume requirements only for Level I trauma centers consistent with the national standard as stated by the American College of Surgeons. My recommended change to section .0904(b)(3) is as follows: (3) Level I Trauma Centers shall provide: (i) Evidence the Level I Trauma Center will admit at least 1200 trauma patients yearly or show that its trauma service will be taking care of at least 240 trauma patients with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) greater than or equal to 15 yearly. These criteria shall be met without compromising the quality of care or cost effectiveness of any other designated Level I Trauma Center sharing all or part of its catchment area or by jeopardizing the existing Trauma Center's ability to meet this same 240-patient minimum. (ii) This is the minimum volume believed to be adequate to support the education and research requirements of a Level I Trauma Center. This change would more closely align this rule with the current proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P 0901, 0902 & 0903 by adopting ACS recommendations and guidelines for trauma centers and would improve the ability to establish a comprehensive network of trauma centers in the state. Ultimately, these changes will help ensure we continue to provide optimal trauma care for the citizens of North Carolina. Thank you for your consideration. Will Walker, MD, FACS, FASCRS Medical Director, Surgical Services Novant Health Greater Charlotte Market Office: 704-384-5169 Cell: 704-533-0466 wwalker@novanthealth.org

Agency Response:

The agency has determined that the contents of the 10A NCAC 13P .0904 are necessary to ensure that quality trauma care is provided at our state's designated Level I and II trauma centers. Since the type of patients and care expectations are equivalent at Level I and II centers, it is felt that Level II centers would be unable to maintain the same level of expertise and quality of care if a lesser number of injured patients were treated at the Level II facility.

The proposed changes to 10A NCAC 13P .0901, .0902 and .0903 rules that reference ACS criteria as the care requirements are intended to reflect direct patient care standards that are expected of our states trauma centers. The State will always maintain the independent process of designating trauma centers and therefore will maintain requirements for initial and renewal designations that is felt to best serve the environment that is unique to NC. A one size fits all national process may not ensure that the highest quality of care is provided for our citizens.