| | March 13, 2015 - June 1 | • | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--|---|---|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Date Submitted to | APO - Filled in by RRC s | taff | | | | | | |
| Rule Section | Rule Citation | Rule Name | Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule | Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a] | Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)] | Federal Regulation Citation | Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)] | Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)] |
| SECTION .0100 - DEFINITIONS AND REFERENCES | 15A NCAC 02D .0101 | DEFINITIONS | Amended Eff. January 1, 2015 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0103 | COPIES OF REFERENCED FEDERAL REGULATIONS | Amended Eff. December 1, 2005 | Necessary without substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary without substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0104 | INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE | Amended Eff. July 1, 1998 | Necessary without substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary without substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0105 | MAILING LIST | Amended Eff. April 1, 2003 | Necessary without substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary without substantive public interest |
| SECTION .0200 - AIR POLLUTION SOURCES | 15A NCAC 02D .0201 | CLASSIFICATION OF AIR POLLUTION SOURCES | Amended Eff. July 1, 1984 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0202 | REGISTRATION OF AIR POLLUTION SOURCES | Amended Eff. July 1, 1998 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| SECTION .0300 - AIR POLLUTION EMERGENCIES | 15A NCAC 02D .0301 | PURPOSE | Eff. February 1, 1976 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0302 | EPISODE CRITERIA | Amended Eff. July 1, 1998 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0303 | EMISSION REDUCTION PLANS | Amended Eff. July 1, 1984 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0304 | PREPLANNED ABATEMENT PROGRAM | Amended Eff. July 1, 1988 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0305 | EMISSION REDUCTION PLAN: ALERT LEVEL | Amended Eff. July 1, 1984 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0306 | EMISSION REDUCTION PLAN: WARNING LEVEL | Amended Eff. July 1, 1984 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0307 | EMISSION REDUCTION PLAN: EMERGENCY LEVEL | Amended Eff. July 1, 1984 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |

| Date Submitted to | APO - Filled in by RRC | staff | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|---|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--|---|
| Rule Section | Rule Citation | Rule Name | Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule | Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a] | Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)] | Federal Regulation Citation | Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)] | Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)] |
| SECTION .0400 - AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS | 15A NCAC 02D .0401 | PURPOSE | Amended Eff. December 1, 1992 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0402 | SULFUR OXIDES | Amended Eff. September 1, 2011 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | Yes | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0403 | TOTAL SUSPENDED PARTICULATES | Amended Eff. July 1, 1988 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0404 | CARBON MONOXIDE | Amended Eff. October 1, 1989 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0405 | OZONE | Amended Eff. January 1, 2010 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | Yes | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0407 | NITROGEN DIOXIDE | Amended Eff. September 1, 2011 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0408 | LEAD | Amended Eff. January 1, 2010 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0409 | PM10 PARTICULATE MATTER | Amended Eff. January 1, 2010 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0410 | PM2.5 PARTICULATE MATTER | Amended Eff. September 1, 2015 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| SECTION .0500 - EMISSION CONTROL STANDARDS | 15A NCAC 02D .0501 | COMPLIANCE WITH EMISSION CONTROL STANDARDS | Amended Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0502 | PURPOSE | Amended Eff. June 1, 1981 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0503 | PARTICULATES FROM FUEL BURNING INDIRECT HEAT EXCHANGERS | Amended Eff. April 1, 1999 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0504 | PARTICULATES FROM WOOD BURNING INDIRECT HEAT EXCHANGERS | Amended Eff. August 1, 2002 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0506 | PARTICULATES FROM HOT MIX ASPHALT PLANTS | Amended Eff. August 1, 2004 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |

| Date Submitted to | APO - Filled in by RRC s | taff | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--------------------------|--|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--|---|
| Rule Section | Rule Citation | Rule Name | Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule | Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a] | Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)] | Federal Regulation Citation | Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)] | Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)] |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0507 | PARTICULATES FROM CHEMICAL FERTILIZER MANUFACTURING PLANTS | Amended Eff. April 1, 2003 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0508 | PARTICULATES FROM PULP AND PAPER MILLS | Amended Eff. July 1, 1998 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0509 | PARTICULATES FROM MICA OR FELDSPAR PROCESSING PLANTS | Amended Eff. April 1, 2003 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0510 | PARTICULATES FROM SAND GRAVEL, OR CRUSHED STONE OPERATIONS | , Amended Eff. July 1, 1998 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0511 | PARTICULATES FROM LIGHTWEIGHT AGGREGATE PROCESSES | Amended Eff. July 1, 1998 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0512 | PARTICULATES FROM WOOD PRODUCTS FINISHING PLANTS | Amended Eff. January 1, 1985 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0513 | PARTICULATES FROM PORTLAND CEMENT PLANTS | Amended Eff. July 1, 1998 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0514 | PARTICULATES FROM FERROUS JOBBING FOUNDRIES | Amended Eff. July 1, 1998 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D.0515 | PARTICULATES FROM MISCELLANEOUS INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES | Amended Eff. April 1, 2003 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0516 | SULFUR DIOXIDE EMISSIONS FROM COMBUSTION SOURCES | Amended Eff. July 1, 2007 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0517 | EMISSIONS FROM PLANTS PRODUCING SULFURIC ACID | Amended Eff. January 1, 1985 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0519 | CONTROL OF NITROGEN DIOXIDE AND NITROGEN OXIDES EMISSIONS | Amended Eff. July 1, 2007 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0521 | CONTROL OF VISIBLE EMISSIONS | Amended Eff. January 1, 2009 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0524 | NEW SOURCE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS | Amended Eff. July 1, 2007 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(b) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |

| Date Submitted to | APO - Filled in by RRC s | staff | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--|---|
| Rule Section | Rule Citation | Rule Name | Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule | Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a] | Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)] | Federal Regulation Citation | Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)] | Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)] |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0527 | EMISSIONS FROM SPODUMENE ORE ROASTING | Amended Eff. January 1, 1985 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0528 | TOTAL REDUCED SULFUR FROM KRAFT PULP MILLS | Amended Eff. July 1, 1988 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0529 | FLUORIDE EMISSIONS FROM PRIMARY ALUMINUM REDUCTION PLANTS | Amended Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0530 | PREVENTION OF SIGNIFICANT DETERIORATION | Amended Eff. September 1, 2013 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0531 | SOURCES IN NONATTAINMENT AREAS | Amended Eff. September 1, 2013 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0532 | SOURCES CONTRIBUTING TO AN AMBIENT VIOLATION | Amended Eff. July 1, 1994 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0533 | STACK HEIGHT | Amended Eff. July 1, 1994 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0534 | FLUORIDE EMISSIONS FROM PHOSPHATE FERTILIZER INDUSTRY | Eff. November 1, 1982 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0535 | EXCESS EMISSIONS REPORTING AND MALFUNCTIONS | Amended Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0536 | PARTICULATE EMISSIONS FROM ELECTRIC UTILITY BOILERS | Amended Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | Yes | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0537 | CONTROL OF MERCURY EMISSIONS | Amended Eff. July 1, 1996 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0538 | CONTROL OF ETHYLENE OXIDE EMISSIONS | Amended Eff. June 1, 2004 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0539 | ODOR CONTROL OF FEED INGREDIENT MANUFACTURING PLANTS | Amended Eff. April 1, 2001 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0540 | PARTICULATES FROM FUGITIVE DUST EMISSION SOURCES | Amended Eff. July 10, 2010 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0541 | CONTROL OF EMISSIONS FROM ABRASIVE BLASTING | Eff. July 1, 2000 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |

Agency - Environmental Management Commission
Comment Period - March 13, 2015 - June 19, 2015

| Date Submitted to | Date Submitted to APO - Filled in by RRC staff | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Rule Section | Rule Citation | Rule Name | Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule | Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a] | Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)] | Federal Regulation Citation | Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)] | Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)] | | | |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0542 | CONTROL OF PARTICULATE EMISSIONS FROM COTTON GINNING OPERATIONS | Amended Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest | | | |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0543 | BEST AVAILABLE RETROFIT TECHNOLOGY | Amended Eff. May 1, 2007 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA 169A, 40 CFR Part 51 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest | | | |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0544 | PREVENTION OF SIGNIFICANT DETERIORATION REQUIREMENTS FOR GREENHOUSE GASES | Amended Eff. September 1, 2015 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | 40 CFR 51.166 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest | | | |
| SECTION .0600 - MONITORING: RECORDKEEPING: REPORTING | 15A NCAC 02D .0601 | PURPOSE AND SCOPE | Amended Eff. April 1, 1999 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest | | | |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0602 | DEFINITIONS | Amended Eff. April 1, 1999 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest | | | |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0604 | EXCEPTIONS TO MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS | Amended Eff. April 1, 1999 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest | | | |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0605 | GENERAL RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS | Amended Eff. January 1, 2007 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest | | | |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0606 | SOURCES COVERED BY APPENDIX P OF 40 CFR PART 51 | Amended Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest | | | |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0607 | LARGE WOOD AND WOOD- FOSSIL FUEL COMBINATION UNITS | Amended Eff. July 1, 1999 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest | | | |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0608 | OTHER LARGE COAL OR RESIDUAL OIL BURNERS | Amended Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest | | | |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0610 | FEDERAL MONITORING REQUIREMENTS | Amended Eff. April 1, 1999 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest | | | |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0611 | MONITORING EMISSIONS FROM OTHER SOURCES | Eff. April 1, 1999 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest | | | |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0612 | ALTERNATIVE MONITORING AND REPORTING PROCEDURES | Eff. April 1, 1999 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest | | | |

| Date Submitted to | APO - Filled in by RRC | staff | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|---|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--|---|
| Rule Section | Rule Citation | Rule Name | Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule | Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a] | Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)] | Federal Regulation Citation | Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)] | Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)] |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0613 | QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM | Eff. April 1, 1999 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0614 | COMPLIANCE ASSURANCE MONITORING | Amended Eff. January 1, 2009 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0615 | DELEGATION | Eff. April 1, 1999 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| SECTION .0900 - VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS | 15A NCAC 02D .0901 | DEFINITIONS | Amended Eff. January 1, 2009 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0902 | APPLICABILITY | Amended Eff. May 1, 2013 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0903 | RECORDKEEPING: REPORTING: MONITORING | Amended Eff. May 1, 2013 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0906 | CIRCUMVENTION | Amended Eff. January 1, 1985 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0909 | COMPLIANCE SCHEDULES FOR SOURCES IN OZONE NONATTAINMENT AND MAINTENANCE AREAS | Amended Eff. May 1, 2013 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0912 | GENERAL PROVISIONS ON TEST METHODS AND PROCEDURES | Amended Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0918 | CAN COATING | Amended Eff. July 1, 1996 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0919 | COIL COATING | Amended Eff. July 1, 1996 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0922 | METAL FURNITURE COATINGS | Amended Eff. September 1, 2010 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0923 | SURFACE COATING OF LARGE APPLIANCE PARTS | Amended Eff. September 1, 2010 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0924 | MAGNET WIRE COATING | Amended Eff. July 1, 1996 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |

| Date Submitted to | APO - Filled in by RRC | staff | | | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------------|--|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Rule Section | Rule Citation | Rule Name | Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule | Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a] | Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)] | Federal Regulation Citation | Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)] | Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)] |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0925 | PETROLEUM LIQUID STORAGE IN FIXED ROOF TANKS | Amended Eff. March 1, 1991 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0926 | BULK GASOLINE PLANTS | Amended Eff. July 1, 1996 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0927 | BULK GASOLINE TERMINALS | Amended Eff. January 1, 2007 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0928 | GASOLINE SERVICE STATIONS STAGE I | Amended Eff. July 1, 1996 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0930 | SOLVENT METAL CLEANING | Amended Eff. March 1, 1991 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0931 | CUTBACK ASPHALT | Amended Eff. December 1, 1989 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0932 | GASOLINE TRUCK TANKS AND VAPOR COLLECTION SYSTEMS | Amended Eff. August 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0933 | PETROLEUM LIQUID STORAGE IN EXTERNAL FLOATING ROOF TANKS | Amended Eff. June 1, 2004 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0935 | FACTORY SURFACE COATING OF FLAT WOOD PANELING | Amended Eff. September 1, 2010 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0937 | MANUFACTURE OF PNEUMATIC RUBBER TIRES | Amended Eff. July 1, 1996 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0943 | SYNTHETIC ORGANIC CHEMICAL AND POLYMER MANUFACTURING | Amended Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0944 | MANUFACTURE OF POLYETHYLENE: POLYPROPYLENE AND POLYSTYRENE | Eff. May 1, 1985 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0945 | | Amended Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0947 | MANUFACTURE OF SYNTHESIZED PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS | Eff. July 1, 1994 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |

| Date Submitted to | APO - Filled in by RRC s | taff | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Rule Section | Rule Citation | Rule Name | Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule | Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a] | Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)] | Federal Regulation Citation | Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)] | Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)] |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0948 | VOC EMISSIONS FROM TRANSFER OPERATIONS | Amended Eff. July 1, 2000 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0949 | STORAGE OF MISCELLANEOUS VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS | Amended Eff. July 1, 2000 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0951 | RACT FOR SOURCES OF VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS | Amended Eff. May 1, 2013 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0952 | PETITION FOR ALTERNATIVE CONTROLS FOR RACT | Amended Eff. September 1, 2010 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0955 | THREAD BONDING MANUFACTURING | Eff. May 1, 1995 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0956 | GLASS CHRISTMAS ORNAMENT MANUFACTURING | Eff. May 1, 1995 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0957 | COMMERCIAL BAKERIES | Eff. May 1, 1995 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 2D .0958 | WORK PRACTICES FOR SOURCES OF VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS | Eff. July 1, 2000 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0959 | PETITION FOR SUPERIOR ALTERNATIVE CONTROLS | Eff. April 1, 2003 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0960 | CERTIFICATION OF LEAK TIGHTNESS TESTER | Amended Eff. July 1, 2007 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0961 | OFFSET LITHOGRAPHIC PRINTING AND LETTERPRESS PRINTING | Amended Eff. May 1, 2013 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0962 | INDUSTRIAL CLEANING SOLVENTS | Amended Eff. May 1, 2013 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0963 | FIBERGLASS BOAT MANUFACTURING MATERIALS | Eff. September 1, 2010 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0964 | MISCELLANEOUS INDUSTRIAL ADHESIVES | Eff. September 1, 2010 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |

| Date Submitted to | APO - Filled in by RRC | staff | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|---|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--|---|
| Rule Section | Rule Citation | Rule Name | Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule | Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a] | Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)] | Federal Regulation Citation | Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)] | Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)] |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0965 | FLEXIBLE PACKAGE PRINTING | Eff. September 1, 2010 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0966 | PAPER, FILM AND FOIL COATINGS | Eff. September 1, 2010 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0967 | MISCELLANEOUS METAL AND PLASTIC PARTS COATINGS | Eff. September 1, 2010 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .0968 | AUTOMOBILE AND LIGHT DUTY TRUCK ASSEMBLY COATINGS | Eff. September 1, 2010 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110, 182 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| SECTION .1000 - MOTOR VEHICLE EMISSION CONTROL STANDARD | 15A NCAC 02D .1001 | PURPOSE | Amended Eff. August 1, 2002 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1002 | APPLICABILITY | Amended Eff. January 1, 2014 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1003 | DEFINITIONS | Amended Eff. February 1, 2014 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1005 | ON-BOARD DIAGNOSTIC STANDARDS | Amended Eff. January 1, 2014 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1006 | SALE AND SERVICE OF ANALYZERS | Amended Eff. January 1, 2014. | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1008 | HEAVY DUTY DIESEL ENGINE REQUIREMENTS | Amended Eff. July 18, 2002 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1010 | HEAVY-DUTY VEHICLE IDLING RESTRICTIONS | Eff. July 10, 2010 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| SECTION .1100 - CONTROL OF TOXIC AIR POLLUTANTS | 15A NCAC 02D .1101 | PURPOSE | Eff. May 1, 1990 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1102 | APPLICABILITY | Amended Eff. July 1, 1998 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1103 | DEFINITION | Amended Eff. April 1, 2001 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1104 | TOXIC AIR POLLUTANT GUIDELINES | Amended Eff. July 7, 2014 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | Yes | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1105 | FACILITY REPORTING, RECORDKEEPING | Amended Eff. April 1, 1999 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |

| Date Submitted to | APO - Filled in by RRC s | taff | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Rule Section | Rule Citation | Rule Name | Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule | Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a] | Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)] | Federal Regulation Citation | Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)] | Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)] |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1106 | DETERMINATION OF AMBIENT AIR CONCENTRATION | Amended Eff. July 1, 1998 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1107 | MULTIPLE FACILITIES | Amended Eff. July 1, 1998 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1108 | MULTIPLE POLLUTANTS | Eff. May 1, 1990 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1109 | 112(J) CASE-BY-CASE MAXIMUM ACHIEVABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY | Amended Eff. February 1, 2004 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 112 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1110 | NATIONAL EMISSION STANDARDS FOR HAZARDOUS AIR POLLUTANTS | Amended Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 112 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1111 | MAXIMUM ACHIEVABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY | Amended Eff. January 1, 2007 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 112 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1112 | 112(G) CASE BY CASE MAXIMUM ACHIEVABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY | Eff. July 1, 1998 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 112 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| SECTION .1200 - CONTROL OF EMISSIONS FROM INCINERATORS | 15A NCAC 02D .1201 | PURPOSE AND SCOPE | Amended Eff. July 1, 2007 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1202 | DEFINITIONS | Amended Eff. July 1, 2007 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1203 | HAZARDOUS WASTE INCINERATORS | Amended Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 112 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1204 | SEWAGE SLUDGE AND SLUDGE INCINERATORS | Amended Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1205 | LARGE MUNICIPAL WASTE COMBUSTORS | Amended Eff. July 1, 2010 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1206 | HOSPITAL, MEDICAL, AND INFECTIOUS WASTE INCINERATORS | Amended Eff. January 1, 2011 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1207 | CONICAL INCINERATORS | Amended Eff. July 1, 2000 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1208 | OTHER INCINERATORS | Amended Eff. August 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |

| Date Submitted to | APO - Filled in by RRC | staff | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Rule Section | Rule Citation | Rule Name | Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule | Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a] | Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)] | Federal Regulation Citation | Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)] | Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)] |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1210 | COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL SOLID WASTE INCINERATION UNITS | Amended Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1211 | OTHER SOLID WASTE INCINERATION UNITS | Eff. August 1, 2007 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1212 | SMALL MUNICIPAL WASTE COMBUSTORS | Eff. July 1, 2010 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| SECTION .1300 - OXYGENATED GASOLINE STANDARD | 15A NCAC 02D .1301 | PURPOSE | Amended Eff. September 1, 1996 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1302 | APPLICABILITY | Amended Eff. September 1, 1996 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1303 | DEFINITIONS | Eff. September 1, 1992 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1304 | OXYGEN CONTENT STANDARD | Amended Eff. September 1, 1996 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1305 | MEASUREMENT AND ENFORCEMENT | Amended Eff. July 1, 1998 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| SECTION .1400 – NITROGEN OXIDES | 15A NCAC 02D .1401 | DEFINITIONS | Amended Eff. July 18, 2002 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1402 | APPLICABILITY | Amended Eff. January 1, 2010 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1403 | COMPLIANCE SCHEDULES | Amended Eff. July 1, 2007 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1404 | RECORDKEEPING: REPORTING: MONITORING: | Amended Eff. September 29, 2009 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1405 | CIRCUMVENTION | Eff. April 1, 1995 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1407 | BOILERS AND INDIRECT- FIRED PROCESS HEATERS | Temporary Amendment expired September 29, 2009 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |

| Date Submitted to | APO - Filled in by RRC | staff | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|---|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Rule Section | Rule Citation | Rule Name | Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule | Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a] | Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)] | Federal Regulation Citation | Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)] | Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)] |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1408 | STATIONARY COMBUSTION TURBINES | Temporary Amendment expired September 29, 2009 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1409 | STATIONARY INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINES | Temporary Amendment expired September 29, 2009 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCACC 02D .1410 | EMISSIONS AVERAGING | Amended Eff. September 29, 2009 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1411 | SEASONAL FUEL SWITCHING | Temporary Amendment expired September 29, 2009 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1412 | PETITION FOR ALTERNATIVE LIMITATIONS | Amended Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1413 | SOURCES NOT OTHERWISE LISTED IN THIS SECTION | Amended Eff. July 18, 2002 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1414 | TUNE-UP REQUIREMENTS | Amended Eff. July 18, 2002 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1415 | TEST METHODS AND PROCEDURES | Amended Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1418 | NEW ELECTRIC GENERATING UNITS, LARGE BOILERS, AND LARGE I/C ENGINES | Amended Eff. September 29, 2009 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1423 | LARGE INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINES | Eff. July 18, 2002 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| SECTION .1600 - GENERAL CONFORMITY | 15A NCAC 02D .1601 | PURPOSE, SCOPE AND APPLICABILITY | Amended Eff. April 1, 1999 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1602 | DEFINITIONS | Eff. April 1, 1995 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1603 | GENERAL CONFORMITY DETERMINATION | Amended Eff. July 1, 1998 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| SECTION .1700 - MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE LANDFILLS | 15A NCAC 02D .1701 | DEFINITIONS | Eff. July 1, 1998 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1702 | APPLICABILITY | Eff. July 1, 1998 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |

| Date Submitted to | APO - Filled in by RRC s | taff | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Rule Section | Rule Citation | Rule Name | Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule | Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a] | Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)] | Federal Regulation Citation | Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)] | Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)] |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1703 | EMISSION STANDARDS | Amended Eff. July 1, 2000 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1704 | TEST METHODS AND PROCEDURES | Eff. July 1, 1998 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1705 | OPERATIONAL STANDARDS | Eff. July 1, 1998 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1706 | COMPLIANCE PROVISIONS | Eff. July 1, 1998 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1707 | MONITORING PROVISIONS | Eff. July 1, 1998 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1708 | REPORTING REQUIREMENT | S Amended Eff. July 1, 2000 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1709 | RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS | Amended Eff. July 1, 2000 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1710 | COMPLIANCE SCHEDULES | Eff. July 1, 1998 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 111(d) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| SECTION .1800 - CONTROL OF ODORS | 15A NCAC 02D .1801 | DEFINITIONS | Eff. July 1, 2000 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1802 | CONTROL OF ODORS FROM ANIMAL OPERATIONS USING LIQUID ANIMAL WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS | I Eff. July 1, 2000 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | Yes | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1803 | BEST MANAGEMENT PLANS FOR ANIMAL OPERATIONS | S Eff. July 1, 2000 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1804 | REPORTING REQUIREMENT FOR ANIMAL OPERATIONS | S Eff. July 1, 2000 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1806 | CONTROL AND PROHIBITION OF ODOROUS EMISSIONS | Eff. April 1, 2001 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1807 | DETERMINATION OF MAXIMUM FEASIBLE CONTROLS FOR ODOROUS EMISSIONS | Eff. April 1, 2001 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1808 | EVALUATION OF NEW OR MODIFIED SWINE FARMS | Eff. January 1, 2009 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |

| Date Submitted to | APO - Filled in by RRC | staff | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|---|---|---|---|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Rule Section | Rule Citation | Rule Name | Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule | Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a] | Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B-21.3A(d1)] | Federal Regulation Citation | Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)] | Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)] |
| SECTION .1900 - OPEN BURNING | 15A NCAC 02D .1901 | OPEN BURNING: PURPOSE: SCOPE | Amended Eff. January 1, 2015 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1902 | DEFINITIONS | Amended Eff. January 1, 2015 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1903 | OPEN BURNING WITHOUT AN AIR QUALITY PERMIT | Eff. March 19, 2015 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1904 | AIR CURTAIN BURNERS | Amended Eff. July 3, 2012 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1905 | REGIONAL OFFICE LOCATIONS | Amended Eff. December 1, 2005 | Necessary without substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary without substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1906 | DELEGATION TO COUNTY GOVERNMENTS | Amended Eff. December 1, 2005 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .1907 | MULTIPLE VIOLATIONS ARISING FROM A SINGLE EPISODE | Eff. July 1, 2007 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| SECTION .2000 - TRANSPORTATION CONFORMITY | 15A NCAC 02D .2001 | PURPOSE, SCOPE AND APPLICABILITY | Amended Eff. December 1, 2005 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 176(c)(4)(C) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2002 | DEFINITIONS | Eff. April 1, 1999 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 176(c)(4)(C) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2003 | TRANSPORTATION CONFORMITY DETERMINATION | Eff. April 1, 1999 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 176(c)(4)(C) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2004 | DETERMINING TRANSPORTATION-RELATED EMISSIONS | Eff. April 1, 1999 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 176(c)(4)(C) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2005 | MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT | Eff. April 1, 1999 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 176(c)(4)(C) | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| SECTION .2100 – RISK MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | 15A NCAC 02D .2101 | APPLICABILITY | Eff. July 1, 2000 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 112(r), 40 CFR Part 68 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2102 | DEFINITIONS | Eff. July 1, 2000 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 112(r), 40 CFR Part 68 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2103 | REQUIREMENTS | Eff. July 1, 2000 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 112(r), 40 CFR Part 68 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |

| Date Submitted to | APO - Filled in by RRC | staff | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--|------------------------------------|--|---|
| Rule Section | Rule Citation | Rule Name | Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule | Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a] | Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)] | Federal Regulation Citation | Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)] | Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)] |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2104 | IMPLEMENTATION | Eff. July 1, 2000 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 112(r), 40 CFR Part 68 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| SECTION .2200 – SPECIAL ORDERS | 15A NCAC 02D .2201 | PURPOSE | Eff. April 1, 2004 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2202 | DEFINITIONS | Eff. April 1, 2004 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2203 | PUBLIC NOTICE | Eff. April 1, 2004 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2204 | FINAL ACTION ON CONSENT ORDERS | Eff. April 1, 2004 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2205 | NOTIFICATION OF RIGHT TO CONTEST SPECIAL ORDERS ISSUED WITHOUT CONSENT | Eff. April 1, 2004 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| SECTION .2300 – BANKING EMISSION REDUCTION CREDITS | 15A NCAC 02D .2301 | PURPOSE | Eff. December 1, 2005 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2302 | DEFINITIONS | Eff. December 1, 2005 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2303 | APPLICABILITY AND ELIGIBILITY | Amended Eff. July 1, 2007 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2304 | QUALIFICATION OF EMISSION REDUCTION CREDITS | Eff. December 1, 2005 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2305 | CREATING AND BANKING EMISSION REDUCTION CREDIT | Eff. December 1, 2005 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2306 | DURATION OF EMISSION REDUCTION CREDITS | Eff. December 1, 2005 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2307 | USE OF EMISSION REDUCTION CREDITS | Eff. December 1, 2005 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2308 | CERTIFICATES AND REGISTRY | Eff. December 1, 2005 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2309 | TRANSFERRING EMISSION REDUCTION CREDITS | Eff. December 1, 2005 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2310 | REVOCATION AND CHANGES OF EMISSION REDUCTION CREDITS | Eff. December 1, 2005 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2311 | MONITORING | Eff. December 1, 2005 | Necessary with substantive public interest | No | | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| SECTION .2400 – CLEAN AIR INTERSTATE RULES | 15A NCAC 02D .2401 | PURPOSE AND APPLICABILITY | Amended Eff. May 1, 2008 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |

| Date Submitted to | o APO - Filled in by RRC s | taff | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|---|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--|---|
| Rule Section | Rule Citation | Rule Name | Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule | Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a] | Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)] | Federal Regulation Citation | Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)] | Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)] |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2402 | DEFINITIONS | Amended Eff. May 1, 2008 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2403 | NITROGEN OXIDE EMISSIONS | Amended Eff. May 1, 2008 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2404 | SULFUR DIOXIDE | Amended Eff. May 1, 2008 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2405 | NITROGEN OXIDE EMISSIONS DURING OZONE SEASON | Amended Eff. May 1, 2008 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2406 | PERMITTING | Eff. July 1, 2006 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2407 | MONITORING, REPORTING, AND RECORDKEEPING | Amended Eff. May 1, 2008 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2408 | TRADING PROGRAM AND BANKING | Eff. July 1, 2006 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2409 | DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE | Amended Eff. May 1, 2008 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2410 | COMPUTATION OF TIME | Eff. July 1, 2006 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2411 | OPT-IN PROVISIONS | Eff. July 1, 2006 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2412 | NEW UNIT GROWTH | Amended Eff. May 1, 2008 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2413 | PERIODIC REVIEW AND REALLOCATIONS | Eff. July 1, 2006 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| SECTION .2500 – MERCURY RULES FOR ELECTRIC GENERATORS | 15A NCAC 02D .2501 | PURPOSE AND APPLICABILITY | Eff. January 1, 2007 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| 021121111111111111111111111111111111111 | 15A NCAC 02D .2502 | DEFINITIONS | Eff. January 1, 2007 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2503 | MERCURY EMISSION | Eff. January 1, 2007 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2504 | PERMITTING | Eff. January 1, 2007 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2505 | MONITORING, REPORTING, AND RECORDKEEPING | Eff. January 1, 2007 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2506 | DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE | Eff. January 1, 2007 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2507 | COMPUTATION OF TIME | Eff. January 1, 2007 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2508 | NEW SOURCE GROWTH | Eff. January 1, 2007 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2509 | PERIODIC REVIEW AND REALLOCATIONS | Eff. January 1, 2007 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2510 | TRADING PROGRAM AND BANKING | Eff. January 1, 2007 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2511 | MERCURY EMISSION LIMITS | Eff. January 1, 2007 | Unnecessary | No | | Yes | Unnecessary |
| SECTION .2600 - SOURCE TESTING | 15A NCAC 02D .2601 | PURPOSE AND SCOPE | Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2602 | GENERAL PROVISIONS ON TEST METHODS AND PROCEDURES | Eff. July 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |

| Date Submitted to | APO - Filled in by RRC s | taff | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--|---|
| Rule Section | Rule Citation | Rule Name | Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule | Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a] | Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)] | Federal Regulation Citation | Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)] | Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)] |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2603 | TESTING PROTOCOL | Eff. July 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2604 | NUMBER OF TEST POINTS | Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2605 | VELOCITY AND VOLUME FLOW RATE | Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2606 | MOLECULAR WEIGHT | Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2607 | DETERMINATION OF MOISTURE CONTENT | Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2608 | NUMBER OF RUNS AND COMPLIANCE DETERMINATION | Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2609 | PARTICULATE TESTING METHODS | Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2610 | OPACITY | Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2611 | SULFUR DIOXIDE TESTING METHODS | Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2612 | NITROGEN OXIDE TESTING METHODS | Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2613 | VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND TESTING METHODS | Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2614 | DETERMINATION OF VOC EMISSION CONTROL SYSTEM EFFICIENCY | Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2615 | DETERMINATION OF LEAK TIGHTNESS AND VAPOR LEAKS | Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2616 | FLUORIDES | Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |

Agency - Environmental Management Commission
Comment Period - March 13, 2015 - June 19, 2015

Date Submitted to APO - Filled in by RRC staff

| Date Submitted to | Al O - I lilea ili by itite | Stair | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|--|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Rule Section | Rule Citation | Rule Name | Date and Last Agency Action on the Rule | Agency Determination [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)a] | Required to Implement or Conform to Federal Regulation [150B- 21.3A(d1)] | Federal Regulation Citation | Public Comment Received [150B- 21.3A(c)(1)] | Agency Determination Following Public Comment [150B-21.3A(c)(1)] |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2617 | TOTAL REDUCED SULFUR | Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2618 | MERCURY | Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2619 | ARSENIC, BERYLLIUM, CADMIUM, HEXAVALENT CHROMIUM | Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2620 | DIOXINS AND FURANS | Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |
| | 15A NCAC 02D .2621 | DETERMINATION OF FUEL HEAT CONTENT USING F- FACTOR | Eff. June 1, 2008 | Necessary with substantive public interest | Yes If yes, include the citation to the federal law | CAA Section 110 | No | Necessary with substantive public interest |

15A NCAC 02D Air Pollution Control Requirements

15A NCAC 02D .0402 SULFUR OXIDES

Commenter Name: Terry Lansdell

Company/Organization: Clean Air Carolina

Email: terry@cleanaircarolina.org

Zip: 28217

Do I agree with the Agency's determination? No

I would determine this rule's classification as: Necessary without substantive public interest

Do I want to submit a written comment on this rule? Yes

My comment type on this rule is: Another type of comment

Do I want to enter a comment, or submit a file? Submit a file

Comment Received in letter:

Clean Air Carolina submits these comments, with thanks and acknowledgments of Southern Environmental Law Center's language and source material, in response to the initial determination by the North Carolina Department of Environmental and Natural Resources (DENR) that numerous rules in Subchapter 2D of Title 15A of the North Carolina Administrative Code are "unnecessary." We also disagree with the agency's underlying conclusion that these rules are "obsolete, redundant, or otherwise not needed," and object to these rules as not protective enough to protect public health.

In particular, the comments below explain that (1)North Carolina's rules protecting North Carolinians from mercury emissions (15A N.C. Admin. Code 2D .2501 to .2511) are necessary, and should be strengthened rather than eliminated; (2) rules implementing the federal Clean Air Interstate Rule (15A NCAC 2D .2401 to .2413) are necessary, and should be updated to include the more protective Cross-State Air Pollution Rule; and (3) rules codifying federal conformity requirements (15A NCAC 2D .1601, .1602, & .1603) must be retained.

The Rules Implementing the Clean Air Interstate Rule (15A NCAC 2D .2401 to .2413) are necessary and should be updated to include more stringent federal requirements.

DENR also proposes to designate protections against nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide, ozone, and fine particle pollution as "unnecessary," without putting in place the more stringent federal requirements that are now in effect. Indicators and monitoring activities across the state, indicate levels of ozone, nitrogen oxides (Nitrogen oxide is a precursor to ozone, which causes asthma, emphysema, bronchitis, and other breathing problems.), sulfur dioxides (exposure affects healthy adults as well as those who work or exercise outdoors and have greater effects on at-risk populations such as children, the elderly, and asthmatics.) and particulate matter emissions continually affect public health. Asthma diagnosis's and other health impacts continue to strain our workforce, educational and health care systems, DENR should revisit these rules and categorize them as necessary instead.

Agency Response:

15A NCAC 02D .0405 OZONE

Commenter Name: Terry Lansdell

Company/Organization: Clean Air Carolina

Email: terry@cleanaircarolina.org

Zip: 28217

Do I agree with the Agency's determination? No

I would determine this rule's classification as: Necessary without substantive public interest

Do I want to submit a written comment on this rule? Yes

My comment type on this rule is: An objection to the rule

Do I want to enter a comment, or submit a file? Submit a file

Comment Received in letter:

Clean Air Carolina submits these comments, with thanks and acknowledgments of Southern Environmental Law Center's language and source material, in response to the initial determination by the North Carolina Department of Environmental and Natural Resources (DENR) that numerous rules in Subchapter 2D of Title 15A of the North Carolina Administrative Code are "unnecessary." We also disagree with the agency's underlying conclusion that these rules are "obsolete, redundant, or otherwise not needed," and object to these rules as not protective enough to protect public health.

In particular, the comments below explain that (1)North Carolina's rules protecting North Carolinians from mercury emissions (15A N.C. Admin. Code 2D .2501 to .2511) are necessary, and should be strengthened rather than eliminated; (2) rules implementing the federal Clean Air Interstate Rule (15A NCAC 2D .2401 to .2413) are necessary, and should be updated to include the more protective Cross-State Air Pollution Rule; and (3) rules codifying federal conformity requirements (15A NCAC 2D .1601, .1602, & .1603) must be retained.

The Rules Implementing the Clean Air Interstate Rule (15A NCAC 2D .2401 to .2413) are necessary and should be updated to include more stringent federal requirements.

DENR also proposes to designate protections against nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide, ozone, and fine particle pollution as "unnecessary," without putting in place the more stringent federal requirements that are now in effect. Indicators and monitoring activities across the state, indicate levels of ozone, nitrogen oxides (Nitrogen oxide is a precursor to ozone, which causes asthma, emphysema, bronchitis, and other breathing problems.), sulfur dioxides (exposure affects healthy adults as well as those who work or exercise outdoors and have greater effects on at-risk populations such as children, the elderly, and asthmatics.) and particulate matter emissions continually affect public health. Asthma diagnosis's and other health impacts continue to strain our workforce, educational and health care systems, DENR should revisit these rules and categorize them as necessary instead.

Agency Response:

15A NCAC 02D .0536 PARTICULATE EMISSIONS FROM ELECTRIC UTILITY BOILERS

Commenter Name: Mark McIntire Company/Organization: Duke Energy Email: mark.mcintire@duke-energy.com

Zip: 27601

Do I agree with the Agency's determination? No

I would determine this rule's classification as: Unnecessary

Do I want to submit a written comment on this rule? Yes

My comment type on this rule is: An objection to the rule

Do I want to enter a comment, or submit a file? Enter a comment

My Comment Text: Since the implementation of Clean Smokestacks, all of Duke Energy Progress and Duke Energy Carolinas coal fired boilers include advanced air pollution control equipment (SCRs/SNCRs, ESP, scrubbers). In addition, these units are equiped with PM CEMS for monitoring compliance with particulate standards. As such, it is our belief that 2D .0536 as it pertains to AAO is unnecessary, obsolete and imposes additional burden on operations. Duke Energy therefore requests that the NC DENR consider reclassifying this rule as unnecessary.

Thank you.NaNNaN

Agency Response:

15A NCAC 02D .1104 TOXIC AIR POLLUTANT GUIDELINES

Commenter Name: Joe Sgroi

Company/Organization: Snyder's-Lance

Email: jsgroi@snyderslance.com

Zip: 28273

Do I agree with the Agency's determination? No

I would determine this rule's classification as: Unnecessary

Do I want to submit a written comment on this rule? Yes

My comment type on this rule is: An objection to the rule

Do I want to enter a comment, or submit a file? Submit a file

Comment Received in letter:

Snyder's- Lance respectfully objects to the TAP Rule's ammonia emission limit of 2.7 mg/m³ as a 1-hour acute standard. The objection is based, in part, on the following:

- 1) Regulation of ammonia emissions is not necessary. Ammonia is not among the federal Environmental Protection Agency's 187 "toxic air pollutants" regulated under Section 112(a) of the Clean Air Act. In addition, the majority of states do not regulate ammonia as a toxic air pollutant, including all of North Carolina's neighboring states.
- 2) Even if North Carolina continues to regulate ammonia, the current emissions limit of 2.7 mg/m3 is far too low. By comparison, the OSHA Permissible Exposure Limit for Ammonia inside the workplace of a general industry is 35 mg/m3, more than ten times the North Carolina ammonia emission limit. Snyder's-Lance is a significant employer in Mecklenburg County and in North Carolina. The ammonia emissions limit has an impact on the company's ability to expand baking operations and increase production of food products that are in demand. This also could impact our ability to increase staffing at the facility.

The ammonia emissions limit in 15A NCAC 02D.1104 is not necessary and is substantively erroneous. This rule is a matter of significant public interest and substantive public interest. DENR should determine that this rule is unnecessary or, alternatively, a rule having substantive public interest.

Agency Response:

15A NCAC 02D .1600 GENERAL CONFORMITY

Commenter Name: USEPA, Region 4 Company/Organization: USEPA, Region 4

Email: R4-ARMS@epa.gov

Zip: 30303

Do I agree with the Agency's determination? Yes

I would determine this rule's classification as: undefined

Do I want to submit a written comment on this rule? Yes

My comment type on this rule is: Another type of comment

Do I want to enter a comment, or submit a file? Enter a comment

My Comment Text: Please note that any changes to the general conformity regulations at the state level, once state effective, will also need to be submitted to EPA for approval into the federally-approved SIP in the form of a final SIP revision.

This comment is applicable for General Conformity Rules 15A NCAC 02D .1601 - .1603.

Commenter Name: Terry Lansdell

Company/Organization: Clean Air Carolina

Comment received in letter:

Clean Air Carolina submits these comments, with thanks and acknowledgments of Southern Environmental Law Center's language and source material, in response to the initial determination by the North Carolina Department of Environmental and Natural Resources (DENR) that numerous rules in Subchapter 2D of Title 15A of the North Carolina Administrative Code are "unnecessary." We also disagree with the agency's underlying conclusion that these rules are "obsolete, redundant, or otherwise not needed," and object to these rules as not protective enough to protect public health.

In particular, the comments below explain that (1)North Carolina's rules protecting North Carolinians from mercury emissions (15A N.C. Admin. Code 2D .2501 to .2511) are necessary, and should be strengthened rather than eliminated; (2) rules implementing the federal Clean Air Interstate Rule (15A NCAC 2D .2401 to .2413) are necessary, and should be updated to include the more protective Cross-State Air Pollution Rule; and (3) rules codifying federal conformity requirements (15A NCAC 2D .1601, .1602, & .1603) must be retained.

North Carolina's rules to ensure that federal actions conform to air quality maintenance plans (15A NCAC 2D .1601, .1602, & .1603) should be retained.

DENR should retain rules that are currently in place to guarantee that federal actions do not conflict with plans to attain or maintain air quality standards in areas with a history of or ongoing air pollution problems. These rules prohibit federal governmental entities from permitting, providing financial assistance for, or otherwise supporting any activity that contravenes these plans. Not only are these requirements still important to protect against increases in dangerous air pollutants, they are also still required by federal law, even though the location of the requirements in the federal code has changed.

Commenter Name: Myra Blake

Company/Organization: Southern Environmental Law Center

Comment received in letter:

III. North Carolina's rules to ensure that federal actions conform to air quality maintenance plans (15A N.C. Admin. Code 02D .1601, .1602, and .1603) should be retained.

DENR should retain rules that are currently in place to guarantee that federal actions do not conflict with plans to attain or maintain air quality standards in areas with a history of or ongoing air pollution problems. These rules prohibit federal governmental entities from permitting, providing financial assistance for, or otherwise supporting any activity that contravenes these plans.³² Not only are these requirements still important to prevent increases in dangerous air pollutants, they are also still required by federal law, even though the location of the requirements in the federal code has changed. ³³

Agency Response:

The agency's selected determination is "unnecessary." These rules are no longer necessary since on August 10, 2005, the establishment of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) transportation act was signed into law and removed the requirement for states to maintain general conformity regulations. Among other things, it amended the Clean Air Act (CAA) to eliminate the requirement for states to adopt and submit general conformity state implementation plans (SIPs). On April 5, 2010 (75 FR 17254), EPA updated the general conformity SIP regulations to be consistent with the transportation act by eliminating the federal regulatory requirement for states to adopt and submit general conformity SIPs. See 40 CFR 51.851.

15A NCAC 02D .1802 CONTROL OF ODORS FROM ANIMAL OPERATIONS USING LIQUID ANIMAL WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

Commenter Name: David Brigman

Company/Organization: WNC Regional Air Quality Agency

Email: david.brigman@buncombecounty.org

Zip: 28806

Do I agree with the Agency's determination? No

I would determine this rule's classification as: Unnecessary

Do I want to submit a written comment on this rule? Yes

My comment type on this rule is: Another type of comment

Do I want to enter a comment, or submit a file? Enter a comment

My Comment Text: Comment on 2D .1802 CONTROL OF ODORS FROM ANIMAL OPERATIONS USING LIQUID ANIMAL WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS. Our agency is required to apply this rule to animal operations that use liquid animal waste management systems. We have required a local farmer to submit a best management plan to reduce odors from his farm. There is very little that can be done to control the odors from the feedlot and the lagoon that is economically and technologically feasible. As the area becomes more populated, this is more of an issue, and we receive a large number of complaints from neighbors. Taking staff time and resources to ensure the BMP is being followed is a burden. We think that small operations, such as this, with approximately 300 cattle, should be exempt from this rule, even though they do have a liquid animal waste management system and are required to have a water quality permit. Addressing the odors through Division of Water Quality, the permitting authority, would be more appropriate, since that agency is more familiar with the operation and is already required to inspect and regulate this operation.

Agency Response:

15A NCAC 02D .2400 Clean Air Interstate Rules

Commenter Name: USEPA, Region 4 Company/Organization: USEPA, Region 4

Email: R4-ARMS@epa.gov

Zip: 30303

Do I agree with the Agency's determination? No

I would determine this rule's classification as: Necessary with substantive public interest

Do I want to submit a written comment on this rule? Yes

My comment type on this rule is: Another type of comment

Do I want to enter a comment, or submit a file? Enter a comment

My Comment Text: There is ongoing CSAPR litigation involving multiple issues challenging EPA's legal authority and technical analysis. Repeal of CAIR regulations at this time is not recommended until such time as the remaining challenges to the CSAPR in the D.C. Circuit are resolved. Please note that any changes to the CAIR regulations at the state level, once state effective, will also need to be submitted to EPA for approval into the federally-approved SIP in the form of a final SIP revision.

This comment is applicable for Clean Air Interstate Rules 15A NCAC 02D .2401 - 2413.

Commenter Name: Myra Blake

Company/Organization: Southern Environmental Law Center

Comment received in letter:

The Southern Environmental Law Center submits these comments in response to the initial determination by the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR) that numerous rules in Subchapter 02D of Title 15A of the North Carolina Administrative Code are "unnecessary." We disagree with the agency's underlying conclusion that these rules are "obsolete, redundant, or otherwise not needed," and object to these rules as not protecti.ve enough.

In particular , the comments below explain that (1) North Carolina's rules protecting North Carolinians from mercury emissions (15A N.C. Admin. Code 02D .2501 to .2511) are necessary, and should be strengthened rather than eliminated; (2) rules implementing the federal Clean Air Interstate Rule (15A N.C. Admin. Code 02D .2401 to .2413) are necessary, and should be updated to include the more protective Cross-State Air Pollution Rule; and (3) rules codifying federal conformity requirements (15A N.C. Admin. Code 02D .1601, .1602, and .1603) must be retained.

- II. The rules implementing the Clean Air Interstate Rule (15A N.C. Admin. Code 02D .2401 to .2413) are necessary and should be updated to include more stringent federal requirements. DENR also proposes to designate protections against nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide, ozone, and fine particle pollution as "unnecessary," without putting in place the more stringent federal requirements that are now in effect. DENR should revisit these rules and categorize them as necessary instead.
- A. The pollutants covered by these rules cause widespread health problems.
- B. Federal and state regulations were put in place to combat these dangers.

In 2005, EPA issued the Clean Air Interstate Rule ("CAIR") to curb unhealthy levels of fine particles and ozone by reducing sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide emissions. In 2008, the D.C. Circuit vacated CAIR, but ordered EPA to continue implementing CAIR until it re- promulgated a lawful replacement. In 2011, EPA promulgated a replacement program known and the Cross State Air Pollution Rule ("CSAPR"), and in 2014 the Supreme Court upheld EPA's reliance .on costs in CSAPR and overturned the D.C. Circuit's decision on this issue.²⁹

On January 1, 2015, CSAPR went into effect and replaced CAIR. The D.C. Circuit is currently considering other issues related to CSAPR on remand, and a decision on these issues is pending.

C. DENR should update its rules to include CSAPR requirements, rather than simply striking the CAIR requirements.

In order to avoid any implementation gap, DENR should promptly issue rules that implement the CSAPR requirements, rather than eliminating the rules designed to implement CAIR without any replacement. As explained above, the Environmental Management Commission has a duty to adopt rules implementing CSAPR "as rapidly as possible." North Carolina's rules need to be revised to reflect all CSAPR requirements. The North Carolina rules implementing CAIR should remain in place until the current federal CSAPR litigation is resolved and the State issues rules implementing CSAPR.

In addition, the North Carolina rules were adopted to conform to the federal CAIR regulations, and therefore cannot automatically expire. Under North Carolina's rules review process, even rules that are classified as "unnecessary" "shall not expire" if they were "adopted to conform to or implement federal law." Therefore, regardless of the classification assigned to these rules, they cannot expire under the rules review process.

Agency Response:

The agency's selected determination is "unnecessary." The Clean Air Interstate Rules were struck down and the Cross State Air Pollution Rules are currently being implemented. The Division does not anticipate that resolution of pending CSAPR related litigation would result in the CAIR rules being reinstated.

15A NCAC 02D .2403 NITROGEN OXIDE EMISSIONS

Commenter Name: Terry Lansdell

Company/Organization: Clean Air Carolina

Email: terry@cleanaircarolina.org

Zip: 28217

Do I agree with the Agency's determination? No

I would determine this rule's classification as: Necessary with substantive public interest

Do I want to submit a written comment on this rule? Yes

My comment type on this rule is: Another type of comment

Do I want to enter a comment, or submit a file? Submit a file

Commenter Name: Terry Lansdell

Company/Organization: Clean Air Carolina

Comment received in letter:

Clean Air Carolina submits these comments, with thanks and acknowledgments of Southern Environmental Law Center's language and source material, in response to the initial determination by the North Carolina Department of Environmental and Natural Resources (DENR) that numerous rules in Subchapter 2D of Title 15A of the North Carolina Administrative Code are "unnecessary." We also disagree with the agency's underlying conclusion that these rules are "obsolete, redundant, or otherwise not needed," and object to these rules as not protective enough to protect public health.

In particular, the comments below explain that (1)North Carolina's rules protecting North Carolinians from mercury emissions (15A N.C. Admin. Code 2D .2501 to .2511) are necessary, and should be strengthened rather than eliminated; (2) rules implementing the federal Clean Air Interstate Rule (15A NCAC 2D .2401 to .2413) are necessary, and should be updated to include the more protective Cross-State Air Pollution Rule; and (3) rules codifying federal conformity requirements (15A NCAC 2D .1601, .1602, & .1603) must be retained.

The Rules Implementing the Clean Air Interstate Rule (15A NCAC 2D .2401 to .2413) are necessary and should be updated to include more stringent federal requirements.

DENR also proposes to designate protections against nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide, ozone, and fine particle pollution as "unnecessary," without putting in place the more stringent federal requirements that are now in effect. Indicators and monitoring activities across the state, indicate levels of ozone, nitrogen oxides (Nitrogen oxide is a precursor to ozone, which causes asthma, emphysema, bronchitis, and other breathing problems.), sulfur dioxides (exposure affects healthy adults as well as those who work or exercise outdoors and have greater effects on at-risk populations such as children, the elderly, and asthmatics.) and particulate matter emissions continually affect public health. Asthma diagnosis's and other health impacts continue to strain our workforce, educational and health care systems, DENR should revisit these rules and categorize them as necessary instead.

Agency Response:

The agency's selected determination is "unnecessary." The Clean Air Interstate Rules were struck down and the replacement Cross State Air Pollution Rules are currently being implemented. The Division does not anticipate that resolution of pending CSAPR related litigation would result in the CAIR rules being reinstated.

15A NCAC 02D .2404 SULFUR DIOXIDE

Commenter Name: Terry Lansdell

Company/Organization: Clean Air Carolina

Email: terry@cleanaircarolina.org

Zip: 28217

Do I agree with the Agency's determination? No

I would determine this rule's classification as: Necessary with substantive public interest

Do I want to submit a written comment on this rule? Yes

My comment type on this rule is: Another type of comment

Do I want to enter a comment, or submit a file? Submit a file

Commenter Name: Terry Lansdell

Company/Organization: Clean Air Carolina

Comment received in letter:

Clean Air Carolina submits these comments, with thanks and acknowledgments of Southern Environmental Law Center's language and source material, in response to the initial determination by the North Carolina Department of Environmental and Natural Resources (DENR) that numerous rules in Subchapter 2D of Title 15A of the North Carolina Administrative Code are "unnecessary." We also disagree with the agency's underlying conclusion that these rules are "obsolete, redundant, or otherwise not needed," and object to these rules as not protective enough to protect public health.

In particular, the comments below explain that (1)North Carolina's rules protecting North Carolinians from mercury emissions (15A N.C. Admin. Code 2D .2501 to .2511) are necessary, and should be strengthened rather than eliminated; (2) rules implementing the federal Clean Air Interstate Rule (15A NCAC 2D .2401 to .2413) are necessary, and should be updated to include the more protective Cross-State Air Pollution Rule; and (3) rules codifying federal conformity requirements (15A NCAC 2D .1601, .1602, & .1603) must be retained.

The Rules Implementing the Clean Air Interstate Rule (15A NCAC 2D .2401 to .2413) are necessary and should be updated to include more stringent federal requirements.

DENR also proposes to designate protections against nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide, ozone, and fine particle pollution as "unnecessary," without putting in place the more stringent federal requirements that are now in effect. Indicators and monitoring activities across the state, indicate levels of ozone, nitrogen oxides (Nitrogen oxide is a precursor to ozone, which causes asthma, emphysema, bronchitis, and other breathing problems.), sulfur dioxides (exposure affects healthy adults as well as those who work or exercise outdoors and have greater effects on at-risk populations such as children, the elderly, and asthmatics.) and particulate matter emissions continually affect public health. Asthma diagnosis's and other health impacts continue to strain our workforce, educational and health care systems, DENR should revisit these rules and categorize them as necessary instead.

Agency Response:

The agency's selected determination is "unnecessary." The Clean Air Interstate Rules were struck down and the Cross State Air Pollution Rules are currently being implemented. The Division does not anticipate that resolution of pending CSAPR related litigation would result in the CAIR rules being reinstated.

15A NCAC 02D .2500 Mercury Rules for Electric Generators

Commenter Name: Terry Lansdell

Company/Organization: Clean Air Carolina

Comment Received in letter:

Clean Air Carolina submits these comments, with thanks and acknowledgments of Southern Environmental Law Center's language and source material, in response to the initial determination by the North Carolina Department of Environmental and Natural Resources (DENR) that numerous rules in Subchapter 2D of Title 15A of the North Carolina Administrative Code are "unnecessary." We also disagree with the agency's underlying conclusion that these rules are "obsolete, redundant, or otherwise not needed," and object to these rules as not protective enough to protect public health.

In particular, the comments below explain that (1)North Carolina's rules protecting North Carolinians from mercury emissions (15A N.C. Admin. Code 2D .2501 to .2511) are necessary, and should be strengthened rather than eliminated; (2) rules implementing the federal Clean Air Interstate Rule (15A NCAC 2D .2401 to .2413) are necessary, and should be updated to include the more protective Cross-State Air Pollution Rule; and (3) rules codifying federal conformity requirements (15A NCAC 2D .1601, .1602, & .1603) must be retained.

The Rules protecting North Carolinians from mercury emissions (15A N.C. Admin. Code 2D .2501 to .2511) are necessary, and should be strengthened rather than eliminated. Mercury emissions from power plants pose grave health threats and state rules must reflect increasingly stringent federal rules. DENR needs to strengthen, rather than eliminate, North Carolina's mercury protections.

DENR proposes to classify as "unnecessary" rules put in place to protect people from harmful mercury emissions from coal-fired power plants, 15A N.C. Admin. Code 2D .2501 to .2511 (the "North Carolina Mercury Rules"). Until all coal plants are decommissioned or repurposed, these rules are critical to protect the health of North Carolinians from mercury emissions.

Commenter Name: Myra Blake

Company/Organization: Southern Environmental Law Center

Comment received in letter:

The Southern Environmental Law Center submits these comments in response to the initial determination by the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR) that numerous rules in Subchapter 02D of Title 15A of the North Carolina Administrative Code are "unnecessary." We disagree with the agency's underlying conclusion that these rules are "obsolete, redundant, or otherwise not needed," and object to these rules as not protecti.ve enough.

In particular , the comments below explain that (1) North Carolina's rules protecting North Carolinians from mercury emissions (15A N.C. Admin. Code 02D .2501 to .2511) are necessary, and should be strengthened rather than eliminated; (2) rules implementing the federal Clean Air Interstate Rule (15A N.C. Admin. Code 02D .2401 to .2413) are necessary, and should be updated to include the more protective Cross-State Air Pollution Rule; and (3) rules codifying federal conformity requirements (15A N.C. Admin. Code 02D .1601, .1602, and .1603) must be retained.

We therefore encourage the agency to reconsider its decision to label these rules "unnecessary," and, in recognition of the importance of these rules, classify them as "necessary with substantive public interest."³

I. The Rules protecting North Carolinians from mercury emissions (15A N.C. Admin. Code 02D .2501 to .2511) are necessary, and should be strengthened rather than eliminated.

DENR proposes to classify as "unnecessary" rules put in place to protect people from harmful mercury emissions from coal-fired power plants, I SA N.C. Admin. Code 2D .2501 to .2511 (the "North Carolina Mercury Rules"). As explained below, this classification is incorrect and a disservice to the people of North Carolina. The North Carolina Mercury Rules are necessary and important, given the dangers posed by mercury and by DENR's ongoing delay in adopting more stringent mercury protections required to implement the federal Mercury and Air Toxics Standards.

A. Mercury emissions from power plants pose grave health threats.

Mercury is a neurotoxin that can cause lowered intelligence and learning disabilities in unborn children, breast-fed infants, and young children.⁴ Adults exposed to even low amounts of mercury may also be at higher risk for heart disease and heart attacks, altered sensation, impaired hearing and vision, and motor disturbances linked directly to exposure from eating contaminated fish.⁵

Coal-fired power plants are the leading source of mercury pollution, ⁶ which is emitted into the air and deposited in water bodies where it is consumed by fish before it works its way up the food chain. All river basins in North Carolina are currently listed as impaired due to mercury contamination. ⁷ As a result, a statewide fish consumption advisory is in place, which warns people to limit consumption of or to avoid eating fish caught in North Carolina waters. ⁸

B. To protect against these threats, a series of increasingly stringent federal and state rules has been adopted.

In 2005, EPA issued the Clean Air Mercury Rule ("CAMR"), which created a cap-and-trade system to reduce nation-wide mercury emissions from power plants.

In 2006, the North Carolina Environmental Management Commission established the North Carolina Mercury Rules, which contain requirements that are more protective than CAMR's. In addition to implementing the cap-and-trade system of CAMR, the North Carolina Mercury Rules require a unit-by-unit analysis of the "maximum mercury reductions that are technically and economically feasible at each unit," with a 2017 deadline for implementing controls to achieve those reductions. ¹⁰

In 2008, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit vacated CAMR (along with EPA's prior decision to remove power plants from the list of sources of hazardous air pollutants). ¹¹

In 2012, EPA established federal Mercury and Air Toxics Standards ("MATS"), which protect against emissions of toxic air pollutants from coal and oil-fired power plants. ¹² The new standards are expected to cut 90% of the mercury emitted by uncontrolled coal-fired power plants. ¹³ In North Carolina, implementation of MATS will prevent up to 480 premature deaths, while creating up to \$3.9 billion in health benefits in 2016 alone. The MATS rules became effective on April 16, 2012, with a compliance deadline for existing sources of April 16, 2015, and the possibility of a one-year extension under certain circumstances.

On April 15, 2014, the U.S Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit Court upheld the EPA EGU MATS rule against legal challenges. The U.S. Supreme Court granted certiorari on the limited issue of whether the Environmental Protection Agency properly refused to consider costs when determining that it was appropriate to regulate hazardous air pollution from power plants. ¹⁴

A decision from the Court is pending.

C. DENR needs to strengthen, rather than eliminate, North Carolina's mercury protections. Right now, DENR should be strengthening the mercury rules to conform to the MATS requirements, rather than repealing the existing mercury protections. Under North Carolina law, the Environmental Management Commission has a duty to adopt rules implementing MATS "as rapidly as possible." ¹⁵ More than three years after the MATS rules took effect, North Carolina has yet to incorporate these requirements into its regulations. North Carolina's rules need to be strengthened immediately to include all MATS components, includin emission and operating limits and testing, monitoring, recording, and reporting requirements. ⁶ With the new MATS rules already in effect and yet to be adopted in North Carolina, it is particularly troubling that DENR would choose to eliminate (rather than revise and improve) current state protections against mercury. The North Carolina Mercury Rules should remain in place until the current federal MATS litigation is resolved and the State promulgates rules implementing MATS.

Importantly, any provisions of the North Carolina Mercury Rules that provide protections that are additional to MATS should be retained. For example, the Rules provide that "[t]he Commission shall require additional reductions in mercury emissions when needed to reduce mercury concentrations to levels that do not cause or contribute to mercury-related health problems." ¹⁷ With all of North Carolina's waters still impaired due to mercury contamination, and with a state-wide caution in effect against eating fish caught in any of the state's waters, this duty to eliminate emissions that cause health problems is not "obsolete, redundant, or otherwise not needed," and must be retained. In addition, while the initial deadline for MATS compliance has passed, some facilities have obtained a one-year extension until April 16, 2016, to come into compliance. ¹⁸ These facilities must continue to comply with the emission limits in North Carolina Mercury Rules in the interim.

Finally, the North Carolina Mercury Rules were adopted to conform to federal regulations (CAMR), and therefore cannot automatically expire. Under North Carolina's rules review process, even rules that are classified as "unnecessary" "shall not expire" if they were "adopted to conform to or implement federal law." The North Carolina Mercury Rules were adopted to implement the CAMR requirements and any additional requirements deemed necessary by the Environmental Management Commission. Therefore, regardless of the classification assigned to these rules, they will remain in place.

Agency Response:

The agency's selected determination is "unnecessary." The Clean Air Mercury Rules upon which the state rules in 15A NCAC 02D .2500 were based were vacated by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit February 8, 2008. Those federal rules were later replaced by the Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) in 40 CFR 63 Subpart UUUU which the state automatically adopts via 15A NCAC 02D .1111 and implements.

As described in the Division's 2012 Mercury Report to the EMC, North Carolina electric generating units' emissions have decreased 89% from 2002 through 2013. Atmospheric deposition modeling estimated that by 2016 mercury from all sources in North Carolina contribute 3.6% of the mercury deposited in North Carolina.

The commenter referenced that the rules require a unit-by-unit analysis of the "maximum mercury reductions that are technically and economically feasible at each unit," with a 2017 deadline for implementing controls to achieve those reductions. The Division agrees that those requirements of .2511 (b) through (d) haven't all been addressed. The compliance plans required by the rule were submitted and the agency is completing its review to take to the Air Quality Committee in September and the Environmental

Management Commission in November. All of the units subject to the requirements have either installed mercury controls suitable to meet the Mercury and Air Toxics Standards or shut down as of December 2013.

General Comments on 15A NCAC Chapter 02D

Comment received in email:

Commenter Name: Allen Hardison

Company/Organization: Joyce Engineering, Inc./North Carolina Chapter of the National Waste and Recycling Association

I hope you are doing well. I was pleased to meet you at the EMC committee meetings a few weeks back. As I indicated at the time and in emails, I am working with Joyce Engineering, Inc. on a project for the North Carolina chapter of the National Waste and Recycling Association to monitor and provide assistance in the Periodic Review of Existing Rules.

The legislative committee of the chapter, that also deals with administrative rules and other regulatory issues, has reviewed the categories of the 15 NCAC 02D and 15 NCAC 02Q rules as published on the Office of Administrative Hearings' website. We concur with the categorizations of the rules as presented.

We look forward to a continued engagement with the Division as the rules move forward to the re-adoption stage.

Agency Response:

The agency has no selected determination for general comments. Comments will be considered during Commission discussion related to the rule readoption process.

Commenter Name: Members of Medical Advocates for Healthy Air Company/Organization: Members of Medical Advocates for Healthy Air

Comment received in letter:

As medical and health professionals who work and live in North Carolina, we are writing to express our strong opposition to the initial determination by the North Carolina Department of Environmental and Natural Resources (DENR) that numerous rules in Subchapter 2D of Title 15A of the North Carolina Administrative Code are "unnecessary, obsolete, redundant, or otherwise not needed."In fact, the 27 rules targeted by the agency for elimination would ultimately deprive both the public and DENR's Division of Air Quality of useful regulatory guidance regarding the control of air pollution in North Carolina. These protective rules are critical and should not only be deemed "necessary with substantive public interest" but updated and strengthened to adequately protect public health under stringent federal requirements.

We urge DENR to reconsider its initial determination to the contrary, and, in recognition of the importance of these rules, classify them as "necessary with public interest." Thank you for your consideration.

Agency Response:

The agency has no selected determination for general comments. Comments will be considered during Commission discussion related to the rule readoption process.

May 20, 2015

DENR Rule Comments 1601 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699



Re: Initial Determination of the Necessity of Rules in 15A NCAC 2D

Dear Sir or Madam:

Clean Air Carolina submits these comments, with thanks and acknowledgments of Southern Environmental Law Center's language and source material, in response to the initial determination by the North Carolina Department of Environmental and Natural Resources (DENR) that numerous rules in Subchapter 2D of Title 15A of the North Carolina Administrative Code are "unnecessary." We also disagree with the agency's underlying conclusion that these rules are "obsolete, redundant, or otherwise not needed," and object to these rules as not protective enough to protect public health.¹

In particular, the comments below explain that (1)North Carolina's rules protecting North Carolinians from mercury emissions (15A N.C. Admin. Code 2D .2501 to .2511) are necessary, and should be strengthened rather than eliminated; (2) rules implementing the federal Clean Air Interstate Rule (15A NCAC 2D .2401 to .2413) are necessary, and should be updated to include the more protective Cross-State Air Pollution Rule; and (3) rules codifying federal conformity requirements (15A NCAC 2D .1601, .1602, & .1603) must be retained.

Our comments are motivated by the fact that rules designed to protect public health are deemed "unnecessary" will expire unless they were "adopted to conform to or implement federal law." Elimination of the 27 rules in Subchapter 2D targeted by the agency for automatic expiration would deprive both the public and DENR's Division of Air Quality (DAQ) of useful regulatory guidance regarding the control of air pollution in North Carolina. We submit these comments in the hope that DENR will reconsider its decision to label these rules "unnecessary."

We encourage DENR to reconsider its initial determination to the contrary, and, in recognition of the importance of these rules, classify them as "necessary with substantive public interest."

The Rules protecting North Carolinians from mercury emissions (15A N.C. Admin. Code 2D .2501 to .2511) are necessary, and should be strengthened rather than eliminated. Mercury emissions from power plants pose grave health threats and state rules must reflect increasingly stringent federal rules. DENR needs to strengthen, rather than eliminate, North Carolina's mercury protections.

DENR proposes to classify as "unnecessary" rules put in place to protect people from harmful mercury emissions from coal-fired power plants, 15A N.C. Admin. Code 2D .2501 to .2511 (the "North Carolina Mercury Rules"). Until all coal plants are decommissioned or repurposed, these rules are critical to protect the health of North Carolinians from mercury emissions.

¹ N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.3A(a)(6) (defining "unnecessary").

² See N.C. Gen, Stat. § 150B-21.3A. With the exception of rules in Subchapter 12J, the rules addressed herein were adopted exclusively to implement state law.

³ See N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.3A(a)(4) (defining "necessary without substantive public interest").

The Rules Implementing the Clean Air Interstate Rule (15A NCAC 2D .2401 to .2413) are necessary and should be updated to include more stringent federal requirements.

DENR also proposes to designate protections against nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide, ozone, and fine particle pollution as "unnecessary," without putting in place the more stringent federal requirements that are now in effect. Indicators and monitoring activities across the state, indicate levels of ozone, nitrogen oxides (Nitrogen oxide is a precursor to ozone, which causes asthma, emphysema, bronchitis, and other breathing problems.⁴), sulfur dioxides (exposure affects healthy adults as well as those who work or exercise outdoors and have greater effects on at-risk populations such as children, the elderly, and asthmatics.⁵) and particulate matter emissions continually affect public health. Asthma diagnosis's and other health impacts continue to strain our workforce, educational and health care systems, DENR should revisit these rules and categorize them as necessary instead.

North Carolina's rules to ensure that federal actions conform to air quality maintenance plans (15A NCAC 2D .1601, .1602, & .1603) should be retained.

DENR should retain rules that are currently in place to guarantee that federal actions do not conflict with plans to attain or maintain air quality standards in areas with a history of or ongoing air pollution problems. These rules prohibit federal governmental entities from permitting, providing financial assistance for, or otherwise supporting any activity that contravenes these plans.⁶ Not only are these requirements still important to protect against increases in dangerous air pollutants, they are also still required by federal law, even though the location of the requirements in the federal code has changed.⁷

Conclusion

In the name of public health protections from exposure to pollutants, Title 15A of the North Carolina Administrative Code is important in guiding air quality programs of the Division of Air Quality. Clean Air Carolina disagrees with the agency's underlying conclusion that these rules are "obsolete, redundant, or otherwise not needed," and object to these rules as not protective enough to protect public health. Beactivating or classifying these rules as obsolete or redundant is not acceptable to protect public health. With over 200,000 cases of Asthma in North Carolina, it is clear that the existing rules presently do not do enough to protect the public health of North Carolina's residents and most vulnerable populations and we encourage DENR to reconsider its imitial determination to the contrary, and, in recognition of the importance of these rules, classify them as "necessary."

Terry Lansdell Program Director Clean Air Carolina 421 Minuet Lane Charlotte, NC 28217 980-213-6446

⁴ http://www.epa.gov/groundlevelozone/health.html

⁵ EPA, Sulfur Dioxide - Health, available at http://www.epa.gov/airquality/sulfurdioxide/health.html; 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,525

⁵ 15A NCAC 2D .1601(a).

⁷ While the NC rule references provisions of the federal code which have been repealed (40 C.F.R. 51.852 to 860), the substance of these federal rules was reproduced in the EPA's General Conformity Regulations (40 C.F.R. 93.150 et seq)).

[§] N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.3A(a)(6) (defining "unnecessary").

⁹ See N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.3A(a)(4) (defining "necessary without substantive public interest").



Comment Regarding Rule: 15A NCAC 02D.1104 Toxic Air Pollutant Guidelines

Snyder's-Lance is a food manufacturing facility located at 8600 South Blvd., Charlotte, NC. As part of the food manufacturing process, Snyder's-Lance uses Ammonium Bicarbonate (ABC) as a leavening agent in the baking process. As a result, Snyder's-Lance emits ammonia into the atmosphere. These emissions are regulated through Mecklenburg County Air Quality Permit 13-038-682, pursuant to Rule 15A NCAC 02D.1104 (Toxic Air Pollutant Guidelines) (the "TAP Rule").

Snyder's-Lance respectfully objects to the TAP Rule's ammonia emission limit of 2.7 mg/m³ as a 1-hour acute standard. The objection is based, in part, on the following:

- 1) Regulation of ammonia emissions is not necessary. Ammonia is not among the federal Environmental Protection Agency's 187 "toxic air pollutants" regulated under Section 112(a) of the Clean Air Act. In addition, the majority of states do not regulate ammonia as a toxic air pollutant, including all of North Carolina's neighboring states.
- 2) Even if North Carolina continues to regulate ammonia, the current emissions limit of 2.7 mg/m³ is far too low. By comparison, the OSHA Permissible Exposure Limit for Ammonia inside the workplace of a general industry is 35 mg/m³, more than ten times the North Carolina ammonia emission limit.

Snyder's-Lance is a significant employer in Mecklenburg County and in North Carolina.

The ammonia emissions limit has an impact on the company's ability to expand baking operations and increase production of food products that are in demand. This also could impact our ability to increase staffing at the facility.



The ammonia emissions limit in 15A NCAC 02D.1104 is not necessary and is substantively erroneous. This rule is a matter of significant public interest and substantive public interest. DENR should determine that this rule is unnecessary or, alternatively, a rule having substantive public interest.

From: <u>Ward, Nacosta</u>
To: <u>Burleson, Joelle</u>

Cc: <u>Benjamin, Lynorae; Davis, Scott; Bradley, Twunjala; Farngalo, Zuri; Masemore, Sushma; Lakeman, Sean</u>

Subject: Comment Responses posted on the NCDENR website RE: Periodic Review and Expiration of Rules Report - Air

Quality Rules in 15A NCAC 02D

Date: Friday, June 19, 2015 2:53:55 PM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

Good Day Joelle,

USEPA, Region 4 submitted comments on the NC DENR website regarding the Periodic Review and Expiration of Rules Report. I have summarized our comments below and noted what sections of the existing North Carolina federally approved SIP these comments are applicable to (Rules 15A NCAC 02D .1601 - .1603 and .2401 - .2413). Let us know if you have any questions. Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments.

General Conformity

Please note that any changes to the general conformity regulations at the state level, once state effective, will also need to be submitted to EPA for approval into the federally-approved SIP in the form of a final SIP revision.

This comment is applicable for General Conformity Rules 15A NCAC 02D .1601 - .1603.

Clean Air Interstate Rules

There is ongoing CSAPR litigation involving multiple issues challenging EPA's legal authority and technical analysis. Repeal of CAIR regulations at this time is not recommended until such time as the remaining challenges to the CSAPR in the D.C. Circuit are resolved. Please note that any changes to the CAIR regulations at the state level, once state effective, will also need to be submitted to EPA for approval into the federally-approved SIP in the form of a final SIP revision.

This comment is applicable for Clean Air Interstate Rules 15A NCAC 02D .2401 - .2413.

Have a wonderful day!

Nacosta C. Ward, Environmental Scientist

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4 | Air, Pesticides & Toxics Management Division Air Planning and Implementation Branch/Air Regulatory Management Section 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, GA 30303-8960

email: ward.nacosta@epa.gov | voice: (404) 562-9140 | facsimile: (404) 562-9019



Healthier Families, Cleaner Communities, A Stronger America 45 Years of Achievements and Milestones http://www2.epa.gov/earthday

From: Burleson, Joelle
To: Everett, Jennifer

Subject: FW: Comments on 02D and 02Q rules categorization

Date: Thursday, June 18, 2015 10:42:17 AM

fyi

Joelle Burleson, EIT, CPM, Supervisor Planning Section, Rules Development Branch

NC DENR, Division of Air Quality 1641 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-1641 Phone/Fax: 919-707-8720

www.ncair.org

joelle.burleson@ncdenr.gov

Email correspondence to and from this address is subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties unless the content is exempt by statue or other regulation.

From: Allen Hardison [mailto:allenhardison1@gmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, June 18, 2015 10:41 AM

To: Burleson, Joelle

Cc: 'Leonard E. "Butch" Joyce'

Subject: Comments on 02D and 02Q rules categorization

Ms. Burleson:

I hope you are doing well. I was pleased to meet you at the EMC committee meetings a few weeks back. As I indicated at the time and in emails, I am working with Joyce Engineering , Inc. on a project for the North Carolina chapter of the National Waste and Recycling Association to monitor and provide assistance in the Periodic Review of Existing Rules.

The legislative committee of the chapter, that also deals with administrative rules and other regulatory issues, has reviewed the categories of the 15 NCAC 02D and 15 NCAC 02Q rules as published on the Office of Administrative Hearings' website. We concur with the categorizations of the rules as presented.

We look forward to a continued engagement with the Division as the rules move forward to the re-adoption stage.

Regards,

Allen Hardison Regulatory Consultant Joyce Engineering, Inc.



DENR Rule Comments 1601 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699

June 18, 2015

RE: 15A NCAC 02D - AIR POLLUTION CONTROL REQUIREMENTS; 15A NCAC 02Q - AIR QUALITY PERMITS PROCEDURES

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen of the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources:

As medical and health professionals who work and live in North Carolina, we are writing to express our strong opposition to the initial determination by the North Carolina Department of Environmental and Natural Resources (DENR) that numerous rules in Subchapter 2D of Title 15A of the North Carolina Administrative Code are "unnecessary, obsolete, redundant, or otherwise not needed." In fact, the 27 rules targeted by the agency for elimination would ultimately deprive both the public and DENR's Division of Air Quality of useful regulatory guidance regarding the control of air pollution in North Carolina. These protective rules are critical and should not only be deemed "necessary with substantive public interest" but updated and strengthened to adequately protect public health under stringent federal requirements.

Members of Medical Advocates for Healthy Air are especially concerned about the health of North Carolina's children. State data currently indicates there are nearly 200,000 cases of pediatric asthma, a disease known to be exacerbated by poor air quality. Effects of air pollution have already taken a significant toll on children, older adults, people who are active outdoors, and people suffering from lung and heart disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and diabetes. As one the fastest growing states in the country, the number of people experiencing these health effects is predicted to increase dramatically if protective policies are not kept in place and strengthened as necessary.

We urge DENR to reconsider its initial determination to the contrary, and, in recognition of the importance of these rules, classify them as "necessary with public interest." Thank you for your consideration.

PO Box 5311 • Charlotte NC 28299 • www.medicaladvocatesforhealthyair.org • 704.307.9528



Sincerely, Members of Medical Advocates for Healthy Air

Laura Wenzel, MSW Manager, Medical Advocates for Healthy Air Clean Air Carolina Chapel Hill, NC

Aaron Levy, MD Carolinas Medical Center Charlotte, NC

Jean Sykes, PhD, RD, LDN, CEDRD Moses Cone Family Practice Center Greensboro, NC

James Kenny, MD Retired Greenville, NC

Janis Hammett, BSPH, PharmD Wake Forest University Baptist Medical Center Greensboro, NC

Lisa Johnson, RRT, RCP, BAS, AE-C Vidant Medical Center Greenville, NC

Daniel Neuspiel, MD, MPH Levine Children's Hospital Charlotte, NC

SOUTHERN ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER

Telephone 919-967-1450

601 WEST ROSEMARY STREET, SUITE 220 CHAPEL HILL, NC 27516-2356 Facsimile 919-929-9421

June 19, 2015

Via First-Class Mail

DENR Rule Comments 1601 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-1601

Re: Initial Determination of the Necessity of Rules in 15A N.C. Admin. Code 02D

Dear Sir or Madam:

The Southern Environmental Law Center submits these comments in response to the initial determination by the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR) that numerous rules in Subchapter 02D of Title 15A of the North Carolina Administrative Code are "unnecessary." We disagree with the agency's underlying conclusion that these rules are "obsolete, redundant, or otherwise not needed," and object to these rules as not protective enough.¹

In particular, the comments below explain that (1) North Carolina's rules protecting North Carolinians from mercury emissions (15A N.C. Admin. Code 02D .2501 to .2511) are necessary, and should be strengthened rather than eliminated; (2) rules implementing the federal Clean Air Interstate Rule (15A N.C. Admin. Code 02D .2401 to .2413) are necessary, and should be updated to include the more protective Cross-State Air Pollution Rule; and (3) rules codifying federal conformity requirements (15A N.C. Admin. Code 02D .1601, .1602, and .1603) must be retained.

Our comments are motivated by the fact that rules deemed "unnecessary" will expire unless they were "adopted to conform to or implement federal law." Elimination of the 27 rules in Subchapter 02D targeted by the agency for automatic expiration would deprive both the public and DENR's Division of Air Quality (DAQ) of useful regulatory guidance and authority to control air pollution in North Carolina.

Given the structure of the rules review process outlined in N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.3A, we emphasize at the outset that our objection to the rules at issue here is premised on our concern that they are not stringent enough and do not conform to federal requirements. The rules review process provides the opportunity for the agency to revise its "initial determination" in response to supportive public comment, even if that revision is not automatic. Accordingly, we submit these comments in the hope that DENR will reconsider its decision to label these rules "unnecessary."

¹ N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.3A(a)(6) (defining "unnecessary").

² See N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.3A. With the exception of rules in Subchapter 12J, the rules addressed herein were adopted exclusively to implement state law.

Contrary to the agency's initial determination, we believe that these rules are necessary to implement state or federal law. Many of the rules on the agency's chopping block reflect decades of agency expertise and are critical to achieving the legislature's vision of environmental protection. We therefore encourage the agency to reconsider its decision to label these rules "unnecessary," and, in recognition of the importance of these rules, classify them as "necessary with substantive public interest."

I. The Rules protecting North Carolinians from mercury emissions (15A N.C. Admin. Code 02D .2501 to .2511) are necessary, and should be strengthened rather than eliminated.

DENR proposes to classify as "unnecessary" rules put in place to protect people from harmful mercury emissions from coal-fired power plants, 15A N.C. Admin. Code 2D .2501 to .2511 (the "North Carolina Mercury Rules"). As explained below, this classification is incorrect and a disservice to the people of North Carolina. The North Carolina Mercury Rules are necessary and important, given the dangers posed by mercury and by DENR's ongoing delay in adopting more stringent mercury protections required to implement the federal Mercury and Air Toxics Standards.

A. Mercury emissions from power plants pose grave health threats.

Mercury is a neurotoxin that can cause lowered intelligence and learning disabilities in unborn children, breast-fed infants, and young children.⁴ Adults exposed to even low amounts of mercury may also be at higher risk for heart disease and heart attacks, altered sensation, impaired hearing and vision, and motor disturbances linked directly to exposure from cating contaminated fish.⁵

Coal-fired power plants are the leading source of mercury pollution,⁶ which is emitted into the air and deposited in water bodies where it is consumed by fish before it works its way up the food chain. All river basins in North Carolina are currently listed as impaired due to mercury

³ See N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.3A(a)(4) (defining "necessary without substantive public interest").

⁴ National Research Council, Toxicological Effects of Methylmercury at 4 (2000); Grandjean et al., Cognitive Deficit in 7-Year-Old Children with Prenatal Exposure to Methylmercury, Neurotoxicology and Teratology, 1997 at 417-428); Steuerwald et al., Maternal Seafood Diet, Methylmercury Exposure, and Neonatal Neurologic Function, Journal of Pediatrics, May 2000, at 599-605).

⁵ EPA, Regulatory Impact Analysis of the Clean Air Mercury Rule: Final Report, Appendix C (March 2005), OAR-2002-0056-6201; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Mercury Study Report to Congress, EPA-452/R-97-005, Vol. I, 3-24 (Dec. 1997); U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Study of Hazardous Air Pollutant Emissions from Electric Utility Steam Generating Units-Final Report to Congress, Vol. 1 at 7-18 (Feb. 1998).

⁶ National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants from Coal- and Oil-Fired Electric Utility Steam Generating Units, 77 Fed. Reg. 9304, 9304 (Feb. 16, 2012); see also EPA, Mercury: Basic Information (Dec. 2014) ("Coal-burning power plants are the largest human-caused source of mercury emissions to the air in the United States, accounting for over 50 percent of all domestic human-caused mercury emissions."), available at http://www.epa.gov/mercury/about.htm.

contamination.⁷ As a result, a state-wide fish consumption advisory is in place, which warns people to limit consumption of or to avoid eating fish caught in North Carolina waters.⁸

B. To protect against these threats, a series of increasingly stringent federal and state rules has been adopted.

In 2005, EPA issued the Clean Air Mercury Rule ("CAMR"), which created a cap-and-trade system to reduce nation-wide mercury emissions from power plants.

In 2006, the North Carolina Environmental Management Commission established the North Carolina Mercury Rules, which contain requirements that are more protective than CAMR's. In addition to implementing the cap-and-trade system of CAMR, the North Carolina Mercury Rules require a unit-by-unit analysis of the "maximum mercury reductions that are technically and economically feasible at each unit," with a 2017 deadline for implementing controls to achieve those reductions. ¹⁰

In 2008, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit vacated CAMR (along with EPA's prior decision to remove power plants from the list of sources of hazardous air pollutants).¹¹

In 2012, EPA established federal Mercury and Air Toxics Standards ("MATS"), which protect against emissions of toxic air pollutants from coal and oil-fired power plants. ¹² The new standards are expected to cut 90% of the mercury emitted by uncontrolled coal-fired power plants. ¹³ In North Carolina, implementation of MATS will prevent up to 480 premature deaths, while creating up to \$3.9 billion in health benefits in 2016 alone. The MATS rules became effective on April 16, 2012, with a compliance deadline for existing sources of April 16, 2015, and the possibility of a one-year extension under certain circumstances.

Ou April 15, 2014, the U.S Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit Court upheld the EPA EGU MATS rule against legal challenges. The U.S. Supreme Court granted certiorari on the limited issue of whether the Environmental Protection Agency properly refused to consider costs

⁷ North Carolina Mercury Total Maximum Daily Load ("TMDL") 4 (Sept. 13, 2012), available at http://portal.ncdenr.org/c/document_library/get_file?uuid=aecb3619-c246-4b49-bfd8-fd5541775110&groupId=38364.

⁸ North Carolina Mercury Total Maximum Daily Load ("TMDL") 8 (Sept. 13, 2012), available at http://portal.ncdenr.org/c/document_library/get_file?uuid=aecb3619-c246-4b49-bfd8-fd5541775110&groupId=38364.

⁹ DENR, Commission Adopts Rules for Curbing Mercury Emissions (Nov. 9, 2006), available at http://daq.state.nc.us/news/pr/2006/hg_rule_11092006.shtml.

¹⁰ 15A N.C. Admin. Code 02D .2511 (2015).

¹¹ New Jersey v. E.P.A., 517 F.3d 574 (D.C. Cir. 2008).

¹² National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants from Coal- and Oil-Fired Electric Utility Steam Generating Units, 77 Fed. Reg. 9304, 9304 (Fcb. 16, 2012).

¹³ EPA, Fact Sheet: Mercury and Air Toxics Standards for Power Plants 3 (Dec. 2012) (observing that the final standards will prevent "90 percent of the mercury in coal burned at power plants from being emitted into the air"), available at http://www.epa.gov/mats/pdfs/20111221MATSsummaryfs.pdf.

when determining that it was appropriate to regulate hazardous air pollution from power plants.¹⁴ A decision from the Court is pending.

C. DENR needs to strengthen, rather than eliminate, North Carolina's mercury protections.

Right now, DENR should be strengthening the mercury rules to conform to the MATS requirements, rather than repealing the existing mercury protections. Under North Carolina law, the Environmental Management Commission has a duty to adopt rules implementing MATS "as rapidly as possible." More than three years after the MATS rules took effect, North Carolina has yet to incorporate these requirements into its regulations. North Carolina's rules need to be strengthened immediately to include all MATS components, including emission and operating limits and testing, monitoring, recording, and reporting requirements. With the new MATS rules already in effect and yet to be adopted in North Carolina, it is particularly troubling that DENR would choose to eliminate (rather than revise and improve) current state protections against mercury. The North Carolina Mercury Rules should remain in place until the current federal MATS litigation is resolved and the State promulgates rules implementing MATS.

Importantly, any provisions of the North Carolina Mercury Rules that provide protections that are additional to MATS should be retained. For example, the Rules provide that "[t]he Commission *shall require* additional reductions in mercury emissions when needed to reduce mercury concentrations to levels that do not cause or contribute to mercury-related health problems." With all of North Carolina's waters still impaired due to mercury contamination, and with a state-wide caution in effect against eating fish caught in any of the state's waters, this duty to eliminate emissions that cause health problems is not "obsolete, redundant, or otherwise not needed," and must be retained. In addition, while the initial deadline for MATS compliance has passed, some facilities have obtained a one-year extension until April 16, 2016, to come into compliance. These facilities must continue to comply with the emission limits in North Carolina Mercury Rules in the interim.

Finally, the North Carolina Mercury Rules were adopted to conform to federal regulations (CAMR), and therefore cannot automatically expire. Under North Carolina's rules review process, even rules that are classified as "unnecessary" "shall not expire" if they were "adopted to conform to or implement federal law." The North Carolina Mercury Rules were adopted to implement the CAMR requirements and any additional requirements deemed

¹⁴ Michigan v. EPA, 748 F.3d 1222 (D.C. Cir. 2014), cert. granted, 83 U.S.L.W. 3089 (U.S. Nov. 25, 2014) (No. 14-46).

¹⁵ N.C. Gen. Stat. § 143-215.107(a), (a)(10) (The Commission must "adopt standards and plans necessary to implement requirements of the federal Clean Air Act and implementing regulations adopted by the United States Environmental Protection Agency").

¹⁶ See 40 C.F.R. Part 63, Subpart UUUUU, §§ 63.9980 to .10042, tbls. 1-9, and app. A-B.

¹⁷ 15A N.C. Admin. Code 02D .2501(e).

Nat'l Ass'n of Clean Air Agencies, Survey on MATS Compliance Extension Requests (Mar. 17, 2015), available at http://www.4cleanair.org/sites/default/files/Documents/MATS extension requests table March 2015.pdf.
 See N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.3A.

necessary by the Environmental Management Commission.²⁰ Therefore, regardless of the classification assigned to these rules, they will remain in place.

II. The rules implementing the Clean Air Interstate Rule (15A N.C. Admin. Code 02D .2401 to .2413) are necessary and should be updated to include more stringent federal requirements.

DENR also proposes to designate protections against nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide, ozone, and fine particle pollution as "unnecessary," without putting in place the more stringent federal requirements that are now in effect. DENR should revisit these rules and categorize them as necessary instead.

A. The pollutants covered by these rules cause widespread health problems.

Short-term exposure to sulfur dioxide, ranging from five minutes to 24 hours, causes an array of health problems, including premature death, worsening of respiratory diseases such as emphysema and bronchitis, aggravation of asthma, exacerbation of heart disease, chest tightness, and decrements in lung function.²¹ These adverse health effects are more pronounced in people who exercise and play outdoors, especially those with asthma. Studies also show a connection between short-term sulfur dioxide exposure and increased hospitalizations, particularly in at-risk populations such as children, the elderly, and asthmatics.²²

Nitrogen oxide is a precursor to ozone, which causes asthma, emphysema, bronchitis, and other breathing problems.²³ There are currently nearly 200,000 pediatric cases of asthma in North Carolina.²⁴

Fine particles cause a significant number of premature deaths from heart disease and lung disease each year, as well as serious health problems such as heart attacks, asthma attacks, decreased lung function, bronchitis, and other respiratory problems. Studies have shown there is no evidence of a safe level of exposure for PM2.5; therefore, any increase in PM2.5 concentrations is likely to harm human health. Reducing particulate pollution in the ambient air yields enormous public health and welfare benefits. Studies show that in cities such as Raleigh and Charlotte, approximately 15 percent of increased life expectancy in recent decades is

5

DENR, Mercury Emissions and Mercury Controls for Coal-Fired Electrical Utility Boilers, Final Report at V-1 (Sept. 1, 2005).
 EPA, Sulfur Dioxide -Health, available at http://www.epa.gov/airquality/sulfurdioxide/health.html; EPA, EP

²¹ EPA, Sulfur Dioxide -Health, available at http://www.epa.gov/airquality/sulfurdioxide/health.html; EPA, EP A/600/R-08/04 7F, Integrated Science Assessment for Sulfur Oxides-Health Criteria ch. 5 tbls. 5-1, 5-2 (2008); EPA, Primary National Ambient Air Quality Standard for Sulfur Dioxide Final Rule, 75 Fed. Reg. 35,520, 35,525 (June 22, 2010); EPA, Our Nation's Air: Status and Trends Through 2008 at 4 (2010), available at http://www.epa.gov/airtrends/2010/report/fullrepmi.pdf

²² EPA, Sulfur Dioxide - Health, available at http://www.epa.gov/airquality/sulfurdioxide/health.html; 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,525.

²³ http://www.epa.gov/groundlevelozone/health.html.

²⁴ N.C. DHHS, Div. of Pub. Health, N.C. Asthma Program, Asthma Coalition Update, Summer 2010 at 2.

²⁵ EPA, National Ambient Air Quality Standards for PM2.5, 77 Fed. Reg. 38,890, 38,906–07 (proposed June 29, 2012); EPA, National Ambient Air Quality Standards for PM2.5, 78 Fed. Reg. 3086, 3108 (Jan. 15, 2013). ²⁶ 78 Fed. Reg. at 3098.

due to reductions in PM2.5.²⁷ EPA estimated that air quality standards for particulate matter would result in monetized health and welfare benefits ranging between \$20 billion and \$160 billion a year for the 1997 standards; an additional \$9 to \$76 billion a year due to the lower 2006 particulate-matter standards; and an additional \$3.6 to \$9.1 billion due to the updated 2012 PM2.5 standard.²⁸

B. Federal and state regulations were put in place to combat these dangers.

In 2005, EPA issued the Clean Air Interstate Rule ("CAIR") to curb unhealthy levels of fine particles and ozone by reducing sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide emissions. In 2008, the D.C. Circuit vacated CAIR, but ordered EPA to continue implementing CAIR until it repromulgated a lawful replacement. In 2011, EPA promulgated a replacement program known and the Cross State Air Pollution Rule ("CSAPR"), and in 2014 the Supreme Court upheld EPA's reliance on costs in CSAPR and overturned the D.C. Circuit's decision on this issue.²⁹

On January 1, 2015, CSAPR went into effect and replaced CAIR. The D.C. Circuit is currently considering other issues related to CSAPR on remand, and a decision on these issues is pending.

C. DENR should update its rules to include CSAPR requirements, rather than simply striking the CAIR requirements.

In order to avoid any implementation gap, DENR should promptly issue rules that implement the CSAPR requirements, rather than eliminating the rules designed to implement CAIR without any replacement. As explained above, the Environmental Management Commission has a duty to adopt rules implementing CSAPR "as rapidly as possible." North Carolina's rules need to be revised to reflect all CSAPR requirements. The North Carolina rules implementing CAIR should remain in place until the current federal CSAPR litigation is resolved and the State issues rules implementing CSAPR.

In addition, the North Carolina rules were adopted to conform to the federal CAIR regulations, and therefore cannot automatically expire. Under North Carolina's rules review process, even rules that are classified as "unnecessary" "shall not expire" if they were "adopted to conform to or implement federal law." Therefore, regardless of the classification assigned to these rules, they cannot expire under the rules review process.

²⁷ Pope, C.A. III et al., Fine-Particulate Air Pollution and Life Expectancy in the United States at 360(4) New Eng. J. Med. 2009 376, 382–84 (2009).

²⁸ See EPA Fact Sheet Regulatory Impact Analysis of EPA's Final Revisions to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particle Pollution (Particulate Matter) (2006), available at http://www.epa.gov/air/particlepollution/fs20061006.html; 78 Fcd. Reg. at 3089.

²⁹ EPA v. EME Homer City Generation, 134 S.Ct. 1584 (2014).

³⁰ N.C. Gen. Stat. § 143-215.107(a), (a)(10) (The Commission must "adopt standards and plans necessary to implement requirements of the federal Clean Air Act and implementing regulations adopted by the United States Environmental Protection Agency").

³¹ See N.C. Gen. Stat. § 150B-21.3A.

III. North Carolina's rules to ensure that federal actions conform to air quality maintenance plans (15A N.C. Admin. Code 02D .1601, .1602, and .1603) should be retained.

DENR should retain rules that are currently in place to guarantee that federal actions do not conflict with plans to attain or maintain air quality standards in areas with a history of or ongoing air pollution problems. These rules prohibit federal governmental entities from permitting, providing financial assistance for, or otherwise supporting any activity that contravenes these plans.³² Not only are these requirements still important to prevent increases in dangerous air pollutants, they are also still required by federal law, even though the location of the requirements in the federal code has changed.³³

Conclusion

As demonstrated above, Title 15A of the North Carolina Administrative Code is replete with important guidance regarding the administration of various air quality programs under the purview of the Division of Air Quality. Therefore, we urge the agency to reconsider its initial determination that the rules discussed above are "unnecessary," and deem them instead "necessary without substantive public interest." The agency should retain these rules, as they necessary to implement important federal air pollution control requirements, and for DAQ to fulfill its mandate to "administer the air quality program of the State."

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on this important rule review process. Thank you in advance for your thoughtful consideration of our concerns.

Respectfully,

Gudrun Thompson, Senior Attorney

Myra Blake, Staff Attorney

Will Hendrick, Associate Attorney

SOUTHERN ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER

^{32 15}A N.C. Admin. Code 02D .1601(a).

While the North Carolina rule references provisions of the federal code which have been repealed (40 C.F.R. §§ 51.852 to 860), the substance of these federal rules was reproduced in the EPA's General Conformity Regulations (40 C.F.R. §§ 93.150 et seq.)).

³⁴ N.C. Gen. Stat. § 143-215.106.