REVISED RRC STAFF OPINION

Please Note: This communication is either 1) only the recommendation of an RRC staff attorney as to action that the attorney believes the Commission should take on the cited rule at its next meeting, or 2) an opinion of that attorney as to some matter concerning that rule. The agency and members of the public are invited to submit their own comments and recommendations (according to RRC rules) to the Commission.

AGENCY: Environmental Management Commission

RULE CITATION: 15A NCAC 02B .0295

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

X Note staff's comment

X Object, based on:

Lack of statutory authority

Unclear or ambiguous

Unnecessary

X Failure to comply with the APA

Extend the period of review

COMMENT:

Background:

The Commission reviewed this Rule in June 2013. The Rule was objected to at that meeting, and the Commission found the Rule was ambiguous in then Paragraphs (c), (g), (j), and (k). The EMC submitted a revised rule, and it was approved by the Commission in July 2013. (The RRC approved version of the Rule is attached in the Consolidated Buffer Mitigation Rule Stakeholder Report as "Attachment C"; it is attached in this document.) The proposed permanent Rule begins on Page 54 of this tab.

Pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.3(b2), the Rule received 10 letters of objection, requesting legislative review and a delayed effective date. The legislature passed Session Law 2014-95 (attached). That Session Law disapproved the Rule as approved by the Commission and directed the EMC to adopt a temporary rule "substantively identical" to the recommended rule text in the Consolidated Buffer Mitigation Rule Stakeholders Report by October 1, 2014 (also attached). The EMC adopted a rule that was a verbatim recitation of the report.

The Commission approved this Rule as a temporary rule at its October 2014 meeting. The agency made changes to the temporary rule in the permanent rulemaking process. Staff does not believe these changes were substantive, but rather served to clarify the language. Staff further notes that some deletions or additions of text simply reflect movement of Paragraphs within the Rule. (For example, Paragraph (h) was struck on Page 5 of the Rule, but it was not deleted; instead, the text was moved without any change to Paragraph (m) on Page 8 of the Rule.) Therefore, staff does not

believe that changes to the Rule deviate from the substantive requirements of Session Law 2014-95.

Recommended Objection:

Revisions in indented font

Staff believes that some of the changes made to the language after publication in the NC Register create a substantial change pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.2(g).

G.S. 150B-21.2(g) states, in relevant part:

(g) Adoption. - An agency shall not adopt a rule that differs substantially from the text of a proposed rule published in the North Carolina Register unless the agency publishes the text of the proposed different rule in the North Carolina Register and accepts comments on the proposed different rule for the time set in subsection (f) of this section.

An adopted rule differs substantially from a proposed rule if it does one or more of the following:

- (1) Affects the interests of persons who, based on the proposed text of the rule published in the North Carolina Register, could not reasonably have determined that the rule would affect their interests.
- (2) Addresses a subject matter or an issue that is not addressed in the proposed text of the rule.
- (3) Produces an effect that could not reasonably have been expected based on the proposed text of the rule.

In Subparagraph (I)(6) (Page 7 of the Rule), as published, the EMC stated that if a mitigation project's monitoring was completed and released by the Division, the project was eligible for use as a buffer mitigation site and would be for a period of ten years from the effective date of the Rule. After publication, the language was changed to state that projects whose monitoring was completed within the last ten years of the effective date of the rule may be eligible to use the site as a buffer mitigation site. The agency changed both the timelines and the certainty of "are" to "may." Staff believes this constitutes a substantial change as defined by G.S. 150B-21.2(g), as it produces an effect that could not have been reasonably expected based upon the proposed text of the Rule.

Staff raised two concerns in this Subparagraph. The first was changing "are" to "may." In discussions with EMC staff, staff was informed that the agency proposes to change "may" to "shall." As the Commission reviews the rule as submitted, staff is still recommending objection to the Rule as submitted, but will recommend approving the rewritten rule with "shall."

The second concern staff addressed in this Subparagraph was changing the deadline from ten years in the future to the last ten years for retroactive credit. Agency staff stated that the changed language was inadvertent wording error in the 2013

adoption of the Rule and that it is well understood within the regulated community that the intent of the rule was to refer to projects completed in the last ten years, not those that would be built in the next ten years. EMC staff shared comments received from the 2013 comment period that indicate that this understanding of the regulated public is consistent with the interpretation of the agency.

The agency also added language to state that all mitigation proposals submitted are required to include additional information, including maps, documentation of pre-existing conditions and activities conducted at buffer mitigation sites. The additional requirements themselves might not be substantial, as they mostly refer to documentation of requirements in other parts of the Rule; however, as they relate to documentation to show that a project "may" be eligible, staff believes the changes are substantial.

Staff only believes the changes are substantial as they relate to the language that projects "may" be eligible.

In the Table in Subparagraph (n)(1) (Page 8 of the Rule), the agency further changed language after publication to state that buffer restoration sites or enhancement sites may be proposed for buffer widths of 101-200 feet that will only receive 33% credit, rather than the published 50%. Staff believes this created an effect that could not have been expected based on the proposed text of the Rule.

In conversation with EMC staff, the EMC staff explained that while the percentage of credit for a buffer width was indeed reduced from 50% to 33%, the change will result in additional credit for the regulated public. This is because the change also removed language that limited the area of the buffer mitigation site in this range to no more than 10% of the total area. Therefore, while the percentage is smaller, the amount of credit that can be granted is larger. The calculation submitted by the agency is as follows:

Credit afforded to the regulated public has been increased based on the changes proposed:

Example:

The published version:

100 feet of stream with buffer restoration on both sides of the stream Area of buffer from 0 to 100 ft = $100 \times 200 = 20,000 \text{ ft}^2$

Area of buffer from 101-200 feet can be no more than 10% of total area (22,200 ft^2) at 50% credit = 1,100 ft^2 credit.

Total credits are 21,100 ft².

This calculation is burdensome and confusing.

Proposed change:

100 feet of stream with buffer restoration on both sides of stream Area of buffer from 0 to 100 ft = $100 \times 200 = 20,000 \text{ ft}^2$ Area of buffer from 101-200 feet at 33% credit = $6,600 \text{ ft}^2$.

Total credits are 26,600 ft².

Therefore, staff does not believe this change is "substantial," as it does not produce an effect that could not have been reasonably expected based upon the proposed text of the Rule.

In Subparagraphs (o)(3) and (o)(4) (Page 14 of the Rule), the agency added language after publication to state that credits would be capped at 25% of the total area. This cap was not in the initial text and it appears to produce an effect that could not have been reasonably expected based on the proposed text of the Rule.

EMC staff referred to language that was published in Paragraph (h) of the Rule (located on Page 5), which stated:

Riparian buffer restoration or enhancement is required with an area at least equal to the footprint of the buffer impact, and the remaining mitigation resulting from the application of the zonal mitigation ratios in Paragraph (e) and locational mitigation ratios in Paragraph (f) may be met through other mitigation options.

Agency staff stated that this language, in a Paragraph entitled, "Mitigation Options for Applicants" was intended to convey that applicants could not rely entirely upon preservation for credits. The language was proposed for deletion after publication and the caps in (o)(4) and (o)(5) were inserted to make the intent clearer. Further, rather than placing the burden of accounting upon applicants (as it would be in Paragraph (h)), the change now requires mitigation providers to provide the accounting for the preservation credits. EMC staff also stated that this change was made in response to public comments received from mitigation providers, who will have the same burden based upon the new language and reduce the burden upon applicants.

Therefore, staff does not believe this change is "substantial," as it does not produce an effect that could not have been reasonably expected based upon the proposed text of the Rule.

Therefore, staff recommends objecting to the rules based upon failure to comply with the APA, based upon changes made to the rule language after publication that create a substantial change as set forth in G.S. 150B-21.2(g).

Therefore, staff recommends objecting to the rule based upon failure to comply with the APA, based upon a change made to Subparagraph (l)(6) language after publication that create a substantial change as set forth in G.S. 150B-21.2(g). Specifically, staff recommends finding changing "are" to "may" is substantial.

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2013

SESSION LAW 2014-95 SENATE BILL 883

AN ACT TO DISAPPROVE THE MITIGATION PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS FOR PROTECTION AND MAINTENANCE OF RIPARIAN BUFFERS RULE ADOPTED BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT COMMISSION, DIRECT THE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT COMMISSION TO ADOPT A NEW MITIGATION PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS FOR PROTECTION AND MAINTENANCE OF RIPARIAN BUFFERS RULE, AND AMEND WASTEWATER DISPOSAL SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS.

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

SECTION 1. Pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.3(b1), 15A NCAC 02B .0295 (Mitigation Program Requirements for Protection and Maintenance of Riparian Buffers), as adopted by the Environmental Management Commission on May 9, 2013, and approved by the Rules Review Commission on July 18, 2013, is disapproved.

SECTION 2. No later than October 1, 2014, the Environmental Management Commission shall adopt a Mitigation Program Requirements for Protection and Maintenance of Riparian Buffers Rule pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.1. The rule adopted pursuant to this section shall be substantively identical to the recommended rule text contained in the April 10, 2014, Consolidated Buffer Mitigation Rule Stakeholder Report.

SECTION 3. G.S. 143-215.1 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

- "(a7) For high rate infiltration wastewater disposal systems that utilize non-native soils or materials in a basin sidewall to enhance infiltration, the non-native soils or materials in the sidewall shall not be considered part of the disposal area provided that all of the following standards are met:
- (1) <u>In addition to the requirements established by the Commission pursuant to subsection (a4) of G.S. 143-215.1</u>, the treatment system shall include a mechanism to provide filtration of effluent to 0.5 microns or less and all essential treatment units shall be provided in duplicate.
- (2) Particle size analysis in accordance with ASTM guidelines for all native and non-native materials shall be performed. Seventy-five percent (75%) of all non-native soil materials specified shall have a particle size of less than 4.8 millimeters.
- (3) Non-native materials shall comprise no more than fifty percent (50%) of the basin sidewall area.
- (4) Systems meeting the standards set out in subdivisions (1), (2), and (3) of this subsection shall be considered nondischarge systems, and the outfall of any associated groundwater lowering device shall be considered groundwater provided the outfall does not violate water quality standards."

SECTION 4. This act is effective when it becomes law.

In the General Assembly read three times and ratified this the 31st day of July, 2014.

s/ Tom Apodaca

Presiding Officer of the Senate

s/ Tim Moore

Presiding Officer of the House of Representatives

s/ Pat McCrory

Governor

Approved 11:56 a.m. this 1st day of August, 2014



North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources

Pat McCrory Governor

John E. Skvarla, III Secretary

April 10, 2014

MEMORANDUM

To: Thomas A. Reeder, Director

From: Karen Higgins, 401 & Buffer Permitting Unit Supervisor

Subject: Consolidated Buffer Mitigation Rule (15A NCAC 02B .0295) Stakeholder Report

On May 9, 2013 the Environmental Management Commission adopted Rule 15A NCAC 02B .0295. On July 18, 2013, the Rules Review Commission approved Rule 15A NCAC 02B .0295, however more than ten letters of objection were received. The Department (DENR) requested us (DWR) to assemble a stakeholder group to resolve the objections to the rule.

The stakeholder group was assembled with seven members:

- Norton Webster, Environmental Banc & Exchange
- John Hutton, Wildlands Engineering
- Tara Disy Allden, Restoration Systems
- Jeff Furness, PCS Phosphate
- Leilani Paugh, NC Department of Transportation
- Michael Ellison, NC Ecosystem Enhancement Program
- Eric Kulz, NC Division of Water Resources

The group met between October 2013 and March 2014 to work through each paragraph of the rule. The group came to a consensus on all the revisions to the OAH draft rule and those recommendations are attached.

If you have any questions or require further information, please let me know.

Attachments

- A Stakeholder group's recommended rule text for 15A NCAC 02B .0295
- B Stakeholder group's recommended rule text for 15A NCAC 02B .0295 showing all revisions to the OAH draft of the Approved Rule
- C OAH draft of the Approved rule

Norton Webster, John Hutton, Tara Allden, Michael Ellison, Leilani Paugh, Jeff Furness, Eric cc (via email): Kulz, Katie Merritt, Rich Gannon, Cyndi Karoly, Matt Matthews, Amy Chapman

> Division of Water Resources - 401 & Buffer Permitting Unit 1650 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-1650 Location: 512 N. Salisbury St. Raleigh, North Carolina 27604 Phone: 919-807-6300 \ FAX: 919-807-6494 Internet: www.ncwaterquality.org

Attachment A

Stakeholder group's recommended rule text for 15A NCAC 02B .0295

1	15A NCAC 02I	3.0295 MITIGATION PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS FOR PROTECTION AND
2		MAINTENANCE OF RIPARIAN BUFFERS
3	(a) PURPOSE.	The purpose of this Rule is to set forth the mitigation requirements that apply to applicants listed in
4	Subparagraphs ((1) and (2) of this Paragraph and to set forth requirements for buffer mitigation providers. Buffer
5	mitigation is req	uired when one of the following applies:
6	(1)	The applicant has received an authorization certificate for impacts that cannot be avoided or
7		practicably minimized pursuant to 15A NCAC 02B .0233, 15A NCAC 02B .0243, 15A NCAC
8		02B .0250, 15A NCAC 02B .0259, 15A NCAC 02B .0267 or 15A NCAC 02B .0607; or
9	(2)	The applicant has received a variance pursuant to 15A NCAC 02B .0233, 15A NCAC 02B .0243,
10		15A NCAC 02B .0250, 15A NCAC 02B .0259, 15A NCAC 02B .0267 or 15A NCAC 02B .0607
11		and is required to perform mitigation as a condition of a variance approval.
12	(b) DEFINITIO	NS. For the purpose of this Rule, these terms shall be defined as follows:
13	(1)	"Authority" means either the Division or a local government that has been delegated or designated
14		to implement the riparian buffer program.
15	(2)	"Division" means the Division of Water Resources of the North Carolina Department of
16		Environment and Natural Resources.
17	(3)	"Enhancement Site" means a riparian zone site characterized by conditions between that of a
18		restoration site and a preservation site such that the establishment of woody stems (i.e., tree or
19		shrub species) will maximize nutrient removal and other buffer functions.
20	(4)	"Hydrologic Area" means the Watershed Boundary Dataset (WBD), located at
21		http://data.nconemap.com/geoportal/catalog/search/resource/details.page?uuid={16A42F31-
22		6DC7-4EC3-88A9-03E6B7D55653} using the eight-digit Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) prepared
23		by the United States Geological Survey.
24	(5)	"Locational Ratio" means the mitigation ratio applied to the mitigation requirements based on the
25		location of the mitigation site relative to the impact site as set forth in Paragraph (f).
26	(6)	"Monitoring period" means the length of time specified in the approved mitigation plan during
27		which monitoring of vegetation success and other anticipated benefits to the adjacent water as
28		listed in the authorization certification is done.
29	(7)	"Non-wasting endowment" means a fund that generates enough interest to cover the cost of the
30		long term monitoring and maintenance.
31	(8)	"Outer Coastal Plain" means the portion of the state shown as the Middle Atlantic Coastal Plain
32		(63) on Griffith, et al. (2002) "Ecoregions of North and South Carolina." Reston, VA, United
33		States Geological Survey.
34	(9)	"Preservation Site" means riparian zone sites that are characterized by a natural forest consisting
35		of the forest strata and diversity of species appropriate for the Omernik Level III ecoregion.
36	(10)	"Restoration Site" means riparian zone sites that are characterized by an absence of trees and by a
37		lack of dense growth of smaller woody stems (i.e., shrubs or saplings) or sites that are

characterized by scattered individual trees such that the tree canopy is less than 25% of the cover and by a lack of dense growth of smaller woody stems (*i.e.*, shrubs or saplings).

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

- (11) "Riparian buffer mitigation unit" means a unit representing a credit of riparian buffer mitigation that offsets one square foot of riparian buffer impact.
- "Riparian wetland" means a wetland that is found in one or more of the following landscape positions: in a geomorphic floodplain; in a natural topographic crenulation; contiguous with an open water equal to or greater than 20 acres in size; or subject to tidal flow regimes excluding salt/brackish marsh wetlands.
- (13) "Urban" means an area that is designated as an urbanized area under the most recent federal decennial census or within the corporate limits of a municipality.
- "Zonal Ratio" means the mitigation ratio applied to impact amounts in the respective zones of the riparian buffer as set forth in Paragraph (e).
- (c) APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS, MITIGATION SITE REQUIREMENTS AND MITIGATION OPTIONS. Any applicant who seeks approval to impact riparian buffers covered under this Rule who is required by Paragraph (a) shall submit to the Division a written mitigation proposal that calculates the required area of mitigation and describes the area and location of each type of proposed mitigation. The applicant shall not impact buffers until the Division has approved the mitigation plan by issuance of written authorization. For all options except payment of a fee under Paragraphs (j) or (k) of this Rule, the proposal shall include a commitment to provide a perpetual conservation easement or similar legal protection mechanism to ensure perpetual stewardship that protects the mitigation site's nutrient removal and other water quality functions, a commitment to provide a non-wasting endowment or other financial mechanism for perpetual stewardship and protection, and a commitment to provide a completion bond that is payable to the Division sufficient to ensure that land or easement purchase, construction, monitoring and maintenance are completed. For each mitigation site, the Division shall identify functional criteria to measure the anticipated benefits of the mitigation to the adjacent water. The Division shall issue a mitigation determination that specifies the area, type and location of mitigation and the water quality benefits to be provided by the mitigation site. The mitigation determination issued according to this Rule shall be included as an attachment to the authorization certification. The applicant may propose any of the following types of mitigation and shall provide a written demonstration of practicality that takes into account the relative cost and availability of potential options, as well as information addressing all requirements associated with the option proposed:
 - (1) Applicant provided riparian buffer restoration or enhancement pursuant to Paragraph (i) of this Rule;
 - Payment of a compensatory mitigation fee to a mitigation bank if buffer credits are available pursuant to Paragraph (j) of this Rule or payment of a compensatory mitigation fee to the Riparian Buffer Restoration Fund pursuant to Paragraph (k) of this Rule. Payment must conform to the requirements of G.S. 143-214.20;
 - Onation of real property or of an interest in real property pursuant to Paragraph (I) of this Rule; or

4-**10**14 FINAL Page 2

(4) Alternative buffer mitigation options pursuant to Paragraph (m) of this Rule.

2

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

- (d) AREA OF IMPACT. The authority shall determine the area of impact in square feet to each zone of the proposed riparian buffer impact by adding the following:
 - (1) The area of the footprint of the use impacting the riparian buffer;
- The area of the boundary of any clearing and grading activities within the riparian buffer necessary to accommodate the use;
 - (3) The area of any ongoing maintenance corridors within the riparian buffer associated with the use; and
 - (4) The authority shall deduct from this total the area of any wetlands that are subject to and compliant with riparian wetland mitigation requirements under 15A NCAC 02H .0506 and are located within the proposed riparian buffer impact area.
 - (e) AREA OF MITIGATION REQUIRED ON ZONAL MITIGATION RATIOS. The authority shall determine the required area of mitigation for each zone by applying each of the following ratios to the area of impact calculated under Paragraph (d) of this Rule:

Basin/Watershed	Zone 1 Ratio	Zone 2 Ratio
Neuse River Basin (15A NCAC 02B .0233)	3:1	1.5:1
Catawba River Basin (15A NCAC 02B .0243)	2:1	1.5:1
Randleman Lake Watershed (15A NCAC 02B .0250)	3:1	1.5:1
Tar-Pamlico River Basin (15A NCAC 02B .0259)	3:1	1.5:1
Jordan Lake Watershed (15A NCAC 02B .0267)	3:1	1.5:1
Goose Creek Watershed (15A NCAC 02B .0607) 3:1 ^A		

- A The Goose Creek Watershed does not have a Zone 1 and Zone 2. The mitigation ratio in the Goose Creek Watershed is 3:1 for the entire buffer.
- (f) AREA OF MITIGATION REQUIRED ON LOCATIONAL MITIGATION RATIOS. The applicant must use the following locational ratios as applicable based on location of the proposed mitigation site relative to that of the proposed impact site. Locational ratios shall be as follows:

Location	Ratio
Within the 12-digit HUC A	0.75:1
Within the eight-digit HUC B	1:1
In the adjacent eight-digit HUC B, C	2:1

- 20 A Except within the Randleman Lake Watershed. Within the Randleman Lake Watershed the ratio is 1:1.
- 21 B Except as provided in Paragraph (g) of this Rule.
- To use mitigation in the adjacent eight-digit HUC, the applicant shall describe why buffer mitigation within the eight-digit HUC is not practical for the project.
- 24 (g) GEOGRAPHIC RESTRICTIONS ON LOCATION OF MITIGATION. Mitigation shall be performed in the same river basin in which the impact is located with the following additional specifications:

- In the following cases, mitigation shall be performed in the same watershed in which the impact is located:

 (A) Falls Lake Watershed, as defined in Rule 15A NCAC 02B .0275;

 (B) Goose Creek Watershed, as defined in Rule15A NCAC 02B .0601;
 - (C) Randleman Lake Water Supply Watershed, as defined in Rule15A NCAC 02B .0248;
 - (D) Each subwatershed of the Jordan Lake watershed, as defined in Rule 15A NCAC 02B .0262; and
 - (E) Other watersheds as specified in riparian buffer protection rules adopted by the Commission.
 - Buffer mitigation for impacts within watersheds with riparian buffer rules that also have federally listed threatened or endangered aquatic species may be done within other watersheds with the same federally listed threatened or endangered aquatic species as long as the impacts are in the same river basin and same Omernik Level III ecoregion as the mitigation site.
 - (h) RIPARIAN BUFFER MITIGATION UNITS. Mitigation activities shall generate riparian buffer mitigation units as follows:

s before the contract to	Square Feet of	Riparian Buffer
Mitigation Activity	Mitigation Buffer	Mitigation Units Generated
Restoration	1	1
Enhancement	2	1
Preservation on Non-Subject Urban Streams	3	1
Preservation on Subject Urban Streams	3	1
Preservation on Non-Subject Rural Streams	5	1
Preservation on Subject Rural Streams	10	1

- (i) RIPARIAN BUFFER RESTORATION OR ENHANCEMENT. Division staff shall make an on-site determination as to whether a potential mitigation site qualifies as a restoration or enhancement site based on the applicable definition in Paragraph (b) of this Rule. Riparian buffer restoration or enhancement sites shall meet the following requirements:
 - (1) Buffer restoration or enhancement may be proposed as follows:

an Areas	Non-Urban Areas		
Proposed Percentage of Full Credit	Buffer width (ft)	Proposed Percentage of Full Credit	
0 %	Less than 20	0 %	
75 %	20-29	0 %	
100 %	30-100	100 %	
50 % [^]	101-200 A	50 % ^A	
	of Full Credit 0 % 75 % 100 %	Proposed Percentage of Full Credit 0 % Less than 20 75 % 20-29 100 % 30-100	

The area of the mitigation site beyond 100 linear feet from the top of bank shall comprise no more than 10% of the total area of mitigation.

21

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

4-**12**-14 FINAL Page 4

1	(2)	The location of the restoration or enhancement shall comply with the requirements of Paragraphs
2		(e), (f) and (g) of this Rule and in the Catawba watershed, buffer mitigation may be done along the
3		lake shoreline as well as along intermittent and perennial stream channels throughout the
4		watershed.
5	(3)	Diffuse flow of runoff shall be maintained in the riparian buffer. Any existing impervious cover
6		or stormwater conveyances such as ditches, pipes or drain tiles shall be eliminated and the flow
7		converted to diffuse flow. If elimination of existing stormwater conveyances is not feasible, then
8		the applicant or mitigation provider shall provide a delineation of the watershed draining to the
9		stormwater outfall and the percentage of the total drainage treated by the riparian buffer for
10		Division approval; credit may be reduced proportionally.
11	(4)	The applicant or mitigation provider shall submit a restoration or enhancement plan for written
12		approval by the Division. The restoration or enhancement plan shall demonstrate compliance with
13		the requirements of Subparagraphs (1) through (3) of this Paragraph and shall contain the
14		following in addition to elements required in Paragraph (c) of this Rule:
15		(A) A map of the proposed restoration or enhancement site;
16		(B) A vegetation plan that shall include a minimum of four native hardwood tree species or
17		four native hardwood tree and native shrub species, where no one species is greater than
18		50% of established stems, established at a density sufficient to provide 260 stems per acre
19		at the completion of monitoring. Native volunteer species may be included to meet
20		performance standards. The Division may approve alternative vegetation plans upon
21		consideration of factors including site wetness and plant availability to meet the
22		requirements of this Part;
23		(C) A grading plan (if applicable). The site shall be graded in a manner to ensure diffuse
24		flow through the entire riparian buffer;
25		(D) A schedule for implementation, including a fertilization and herbicide plan if applicable;
26		and
27		(E) A monitoring plan, including monitoring of vegetative success and other anticipated
28		benefits to the adjacent water as listed in the Authorization Certification.
29	(5)	Within one year after the Division has approved the restoration or enhancement plan, the applicant
30	257.6	or mitigation provider shall present documentation to the Division that the riparian buffer has been
31		restored or enhanced unless the Division agrees in writing to a longer time period due to the
32		necessity for a longer construction period.
33	(6)	The mitigation area shall be placed under a perpetual conservation easement or similar legal
34	377	protection mechanism to provide for protection of the property's nutrient removal and other water
35		quality functions.
36	(7)	The applicant or mitigation provider shall submit written annual reports for a period of five years
37	757	after the restoration or enhancement showing that the trees or tree and shrub species planted are

1		meeting success criteria and that diffuse flow through the riparian buffer has been maintained.
2		The applicant or mitigation provider shall replace trees or shrubs and restore diffuse flow if
3		needed during that five-year period. Additional years of monitoring may be required if the
4		objectives under Paragraph (i) have not been achieved at the end of the five-year monitoring
5		period.
6	(8)	The mitigation provider shall provide a site specific credit/debit ledger to the Division at regular
7	8 2	intervals once credits are established and until they are exhausted.
8	(9)	A completion bond that is payable to the Division sufficient to ensure that land purchase,
9		construction, monitoring and maintenance are completed. A non-wasting endowment or other
10		financial mechanism for perpetual maintenance and protection must be provided.
11	(j) PURCHAS	E OF BUFFER MITIGATION CREDITS FROM A PRIVATE OR PUBLIC MITIGATION BANK.
12	Applicants who	o choose to satisfy some or all of their mitigation by purchasing mitigation credits from a private or
13	public mitigation	on bank shall meet the following requirements:
14	(1)	The mitigation bank from which credits are purchased is listed on the Division's webpage
15		(http://portal.ncdenr.org/web/wq/swp/ws/401) and shall have available riparian buffer credits;
16	(2)	The mitigation bank from which credits are purchased shall be located as described in Paragraphs
17		(e), (f) and (g) of this Rule; and
18	(3)	After receiving a mitigation acceptance letter from the mitigation provider, proof of payment for
19		the credits shall be provided to the Division prior to any activity that results in the removal or
20		degradation of the protected riparian buffer.
21	(k) PAYMEN	T TO THE RIPARIAN BUFFER RESTORATION FUND. Applicants who choose to satisfy some
22	or all of their	mitigation determination by paying a compensatory mitigation fee to the Riparian Buffer Restoration
23	Fund shall mee	et the requirements of 15A NCAC 02B .0269 (Riparian Buffer Mitigation Fees to the NC Ecosystem
24	Enhancement	Program). Payment made to the NC Ecosystem Enhancement Program (the Program) shall be
25	contingent upo	on acceptance of the payment to the Program. The financial, temporal and technical ability of the
26	Program to sat	isfy the mitigation request shall be considered to determine whether the Program shall accept or deny
27	the request.	
28	(l) DONATIO	N OF PROPERTY. Applicants who choose to satisfy their mitigation determination by donating real
29	property or an	interest in real property to fully or partially offset an approved payment into the Riparian Buffer
30	Restoration Fu	nd pursuant to Paragraph (k) of this Rule shall meet the following requirements:
31	(1)	The value of the property interest shall be determined by an appraisal performed in accordance
32		with Part (1)(4)(D) of this Rule. The donation shall satisfy the mitigation determination if the
33		appraised value of the donated property interest is equal to or greater than the required fee. If the
34		appraised value of the donated property interest is less than the required fee calculated pursuant to
35		15A NCAC 02B .0269, the applicant shall pay the remaining balance due.
36	(2)	The donation of real property interests shall be granted in perpetuity.

1	(3)	Donat	ion of real property interests to satisfy the full or partial payments under Paragraph (k) shall
2		be acc	repted only if such property meets all of the following requirements:
3		(A)	The property shall be suitable for restoration or enhancement to successfully produce
4			viable riparian buffer compensatory mitigation credits in accordance with Paragraph (i)
5			of this Rule or the property shall be suitable for preservation to successfully produce
6			viable riparian buffer compensatory mitigation credits in accordance with Part (m)(2)(C)
7			of this Rule;
8		(B)	The property shall be located in an area where the Program can reasonably utilize the
9			credits, based on historical or projected use, to offset compensatory mitigation
10			requirements;
11		(C)	The estimated cost of restoring or enhancing and maintaining the property shall not
12			exceed the projected mitigation credit value of the property minus land acquisition costs,
13			except where the applicant supplies additional funds acceptable to the Program for
14			restoration or enhancement and maintenance of the buffer;
15		(D)	The property shall not contain any building, structure, object, site, or district that is listed
16			in the National Register of Historic Places established pursuant to Public Law 89-665, 16
17			U.S.C. 470 as amended;
18		(E)	The property shall not contain any hazardous substance or solid waste such that water
19			quality could be adversely impacted, unless the hazardous substance or solid waste can be
20			properly remediated before the interest is transferred;
21		(F)	The property shall not contain structures or materials that present health or safety
22			concerns to the general public. If wells, septic, water or sewer connections exist, they
23			shall be filled, remediated or closed at owner's expense in accordance with state and local
24			health and safety regulations before the interest is transferred. Sewer connections in
25			Zone 2 may be allowed for projects in accordance with Part (m)(2)(E) of this Rule;
26		(G)	The property and adjacent properties shall not have prior, current, or known future land
27			use that would jeopardize the functions of the compensatory mitigation;
28		(H)	The property shall not have any encumbrances or conditions that are inconsistent with the
29			requirements of this rule or purposes of the buffer rules;
30		(I)	Fee simple title to the property or a perpetual conservation easement on the property shall
3 1			be donated to the State of North Carolina, a local government or a qualified holder under
32			N.C. General Statute 121-34 et seq. and 170(h) of the Internal Revenue Code as approved
33			by the Department and the donee; and
34		(J)	The donation shall be accompanied by a non-wasting endowment or other financial
35			mechanism for perpetual maintenance and protection sufficient to ensure perpetual long-
36			term monitoring and maintenance, except that where a local government has donated a
37			perpetual conservation easement and has entered into a binding intergovernmental

1			agreement with the Program to manage and protect the property consistent with the terms
2			of the perpetual conservation easement, such local government shall not be required to
3			provide a non-wasting endowment.
4	(4)	At the	expense of the applicant or donor, the following information shall be submitted to the
5		Progra	m with any proposal for donations or dedications of interest in real property:
6		(A)	Documentation that the property meets the requirements laid out in Subparagraph (I)(3)
7			of this Rule;
8		(B)	US Geological Survey 1:24,000 (7.5 minute) scale topographic map, county tax map,
9			USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service County Soil Survey Map, and county road
10			map showing the location of the property to be donated along with information on
11			existing site conditions, vegetation types, presence of existing structures and easements;
12		(C)	A current property survey performed in accordance with the procedures of the North
13			Carolina Department of Administration, State Property Office as identified by the State
14			Board of Registration for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors in "Standards of
15			Practice for Land Surveying in North Carolina." Copies may be obtained from the North
16			Carolina State Board of Registration for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors,
17			3620 Six Forks Road, Suite 300, Raleigh, North Carolina 27609;
18		(D)	A current appraisal of the value of the property performed in accordance with the
19			procedures of the North Carolina Department of Administration, State Property Office as
20			identified by the Appraisal Board in the "Uniform Standards of Professional North
21			Carolina Appraisal Practice." Copies may be obtained from the Appraisal Foundation,
22			Publications Department, P.O. Box 96734, Washington, D.C. 20090-6734; and
23		(E)	A complete attorney's report on title with a title commitment for policy in the name of the
24			State of North Carolina in the dollar amount of the appraised value.
25	(m) ALTERNA	TIVE B	UFFER MITIGATION OPTIONS. Some or all of a buffer mitigation requirement may be
26	met through any	of the	alternative mitigation options described in this Paragraph. Any proposal for alternative
27	mitigation shall	meet, in	addition to the requirements of Paragraphs (c), (e), (f) and (g) of this Rule, the requirements
28	set out in the Sul	oparagra	ph addressing that option as well as the following requirements:
29	(1)	Any pr	oposal for alternative mitigation shall be provided in writing to the Division and shall meet
30		the foll	owing content and procedural requirements for approval by the Division:
31		(A)	Projects that have been constructed and are within the required monitoring period on the
32			effective date of this Rule are eligible for use as alternative buffer mitigation. Projects
33			that have completed monitoring and have been released by the Division on or before the
34			effective date of this Rule are eligible for use as alternative buffer mitigation for a period
35			of ten years from the effective date of this Rule;

1		(B)	The mitigation area shall be placed under a perpetual conservation easement or similar
2			legal protection mechanism to provide for protection of the property's nutrient removal
3			and other water quality functions; and
4		(C)	A completion bond that is payable to the Division sufficient to ensure that land purchase,
5			construction, monitoring and maintenance are completed. A non-wasting endowment or
6			other financial mechanism for perpetual maintenance and protection must be provided.
7	(2)	ALTER	NATIVE BUFFER MITIGATION – NON-STRUCTURAL, VEGETATIVE OPTIONS
8		(A)	Coastal Headwater Stream Mitigation. Wooded buffers planted along Outer Coastal
9			Plain headwater stream mitigation sites can be approved as riparian buffer mitigation as
10			long as the site meets all applicable requirements of Paragraph (i) of this Rule. In
11			addition, all success criteria including woody species, stem density, diffuse flow and
12			stream success criteria specified by the Division in any required written approval of the
13			site must be met. The area of the buffer shall be measured perpendicular to the length of
14			the valley being restored. The area within the proposed buffer mitigation shall not also
15			be used as wetland mitigation. Monitoring of the site must be for at least five years from
16			the date of planting by providing annual reports for written Division approval.
17		(B)	Buffer Restoration and Enhancement on Non-Subject Streams. Restoration or
18			enhancement of buffers may be conducted on intermittent or perennial streams that are
19			not subject to riparian buffer rules. These streams shall be confirmed as intermittent or
20			perennial streams by Division staff using the Division publication, Methodology for
21			Identification of Intermittent and Perennial Streams and Their Origins (v.4.11, 2010).
22			The proposal shall meet all applicable requirements of Paragraph (i) of this Rule.
23		(C)	Preservation of Buffer on Non-subject streams. Preservation of buffers on intermittent or
24			perennial streams that are not subject to riparian buffer rules may be proposed in order to
25			protect permanently the buffer from cutting, clearing, filling and grading and similar
26			activities that would affect the functioning of the buffer. These streams shall be
27			confirmed as intermittent or perennial streams by Division staff using the Division
28			publication, Methodology for Identification of Intermittent and Perennial Streams and
29			Their Origins (v.4.11, 2010). The preservation site shall meet the requirements of
30			Subparagraph (i)(1), (i)(3), (i)(6) and Parts (I)(3)(D), (E), (F), (H) and (J) of this Rule.
31			Preservation shall be proposed only when restoration or enhancement with an area at
32			least equal to the footprint of the buffer impact has been proposed.
33		(D)	Preservation of Buffers on Subject Streams. Buffer preservation may be proposed in
34			order to permanently protect the buffer from cutting, clearing, filling and grading and
35			similar activities that would affect the functioning of the buffer above and beyond the
36			protection afforded by the existing buffer rules on sites that meet the definition of a
37			preservation site along streams, estuaries or ponds that are subject to buffer rules. The

2 3 4

(G)

preservation site shall meet the requirements of Subparagraph (i)(1), (i)(3), (i)(6) and Part (I)(3)(D), (E), (F), (H) and (J) of this Rule. Preservation shall be proposed only when restoration or enhancement with an area at least equal to the footprint of the buffer impact has been proposed.

- (E) Sewer easement within the buffer. If the proposed mitigation site contains a sewer easement in Zone 1, that portion of the sewer easement within Zone 1 is not suitable for buffer mitigation. If the proposed mitigation site contains a sewer easement in Zone 2, the portion of the sewer easement in Zone 2 may be suitable for buffer mitigation if the applicant or mitigation provider restores or enhances the forested buffer in Zone 1 adjacent to the sewer easement, the sewer easement is at least 30 feet wide, the sewer easement is required to be maintained in a condition which meets the vegetative requirements of the collection system permit, and diffuse flow is provided across the entire buffer width. The proposal shall meet all applicable requirements of Paragraph (i) of this Rule for restoration or enhancement. The proposal shall meet all applicable requirements of Part (m)(2)(C) of this Rule for preservation.
- (F) Enhancement of grazing areas adjacent to streams. Buffer credit at a 2:1 ratio shall be available for an applicant or mitigation provider who proposes permanent exclusion of grazing livestock that otherwise degrade the stream and riparian zone through trampling, grazing or waste deposition by fencing the livestock out of the stream and its adjacent buffer. The applicant or mitigation provider shall provide an enhancement plan to the standards identified in Paragraph (i). The applicant or mitigation provider shall demonstrate that grazing was the predominant land use since the effective date of the applicable buffer rule.
 - Mitigation on ephemeral channels. For purposes of riparian buffer mitigation as described in this Part, an ephemeral channel is defined as a natural channel exhibiting discernible banks within a topographic crenulation (V-shaped contour lines) indicative of natural drainage on the 1:24,000 scale (7.5 minute) quadrangle topographic map prepared by the U.S. Geologic Survey or as seen on digital elevation models with contours developed from the most recent available LiDAR data. Ephemeral channels only flow for a short period of time after precipitation in the immediate area and do not have periods of base flow sustained by groundwater discharge. The applicant or mitigation provider shall provide a delineation of the watershed draining to the ephemeral channel. The entire area proposed for mitigation must be within the contributing drainage area to the ephemeral channel. The ephemeral channel must be directly connected to an intermittent or perennial stream and contiguous with the rest of the mitigation site protected under a perpetual conservation easement. The area of the mitigation site on ephemeral channels shall comprise no more than 25% of the total area of mitigation. The

FINAL

4		
2		
Z,		

1

3

(H)

10

18 19

16

17

20 21

2223

2425

26

2728

29

30 31

32 33

3435

3637

proposal shall meet all applicable requirements of Paragraph (i) of this Rule for restoration or enhancement. The proposal shall meet all applicable requirements of Part (m)(2)(C) of this Rule for preservation.

Restoration and Enhancement on Ditches. For purposes of riparian buffer mitigation as described in this Part, a ditch is defined as a man-made channel other than a modified natural stream that was constructed for drainage purposes. To be used for mitigation, a ditch must meet all of the following criteria: the ditch must be directly connected with and draining towards an intermittent or perennial stream; the ditch must be contiguous with the rest of the mitigation site protected under a perpetual conservation easement; stormwater runoff from overland flow must drain towards the ditch; the ditch must be between 1 and 3 feet in depth; and the entire length of the ditch must have been in place prior to the effective date of the applicable buffer rule. The width of the restored or enhanced area shall not be less than 30 feet and shall not exceed 50 feet for crediting purposes. The applicant or mitigation provider shall provide a delineation of the watershed draining to the ditch. The watershed draining to the ditch shall be at least four times larger than the restored or enhanced area along the ditch. The perpetual conservation easement must include the ditch and the confluence of the ditch with the intermittent or perennial stream, and provide language that prohibits future maintenance of the ditch. The proposal shall meet all applicable requirements of Paragraph (i) of this Rule for restoration or enhancement.

(3) ALTERNATIVE BUFFER STORMWATER TREATMENT OPTIONS.

- (A) For all structural options: Riparian buffer restoration or enhancement is required with an area at least equal to the footprint of the buffer impact, and the remaining mitigation resulting from the multipliers can be met through structural options;
- (B) Structural measures already required by other local, state or federal rule or permit cannot be used as alternative buffer mitigation, except to the extent such measure(s) exceed the requirements of such rule. Stormwater Best Management Practices (BMPs), including bioretention facilities, constructed wetlands, infiltration devices and sand filter are all potentially approvable (BMPs) for alternative buffer mitigation. Other BMPs may be approved only if they meet the nutrient removal levels outlined in Part (3)(C) of this Subparagraph. Existing or planned BMPs for a local, state or federal rule or permit may be retrofitted or expanded to improve their nutrient removal if this level of treatment would not be required by other local, state or federal rules. In this case, the predicted increase in nutrient removal may be counted toward alternative buffer mitigation;
- (C) Minimum treatment levels: Any structural BMP shall provide at least 30% total nitrogen and 35% total phosphorus removal as demonstrated by a scientific and engineering literature review as approved by the Division. The mitigation proposal shall demonstrate

1		that the proposed alternative removes an equal or greater annual mass load of nutrients to
2		surface waters as the buffer impact authorized in the authorization certificate or variance,
3		following the calculation of impact and mitigation areas pursuant to Paragraphs (d), (e)
4		and (f) of this Rule. To estimate the rate of nutrient removal of the impacted buffer, the
5		applicant or mitigation provider shall use a method previously approved by the Division.
6		Alternatively, the applicant or mitigation provider may propose an alternative method of
7		estimating the rate of nutrient removal for consideration and review by the Division;
8	(D)	All proposed structural BMPs shall follow the Division's 2009 Stormwater Best
9		Management Practice Design Manual. If a specific proposed structural BMP is not
10		addressed in this Manual, follow Chapter 20 in this Manual for approval;
14:	(E)	An operation and maintenance plan is required to be approved by the Division for all
12		structural options;
13	(F)	Continuous and perpetual maintenance is required for all structural options and shall
14		follow the Division's 2009 Stormwater Best Management Practice Design Manual;
15	(G)	Upon completion of construction, the designer for the type of BMP installed must certify
16		that the system was inspected during construction and was constructed in substantial
17		conformity with plans and specifications approved by the Division;
18	(H)	Removal and replacement of structural options: If a structural option is proposed to be
19		removed and cannot be replaced on site, then a structural or non-structural measure of
20		equal or better nutrient removal capacity shall be constructed as a replacement with the
21		location as specified by Paragraph (f) and (g) of this Rule;
22	(1)	Renovation or repair of structural options: If a structural option must be renovated or
23		repaired, it shall be renovated to provide equal or better nutrient removal capacity as
24		originally designed;
25	(J)	Structural options as well as their operation and maintenance are the responsibility of the
26		landowner or easement holder unless the Division agrees in writing to operation and
27		maintenance by another responsible party. Structural options shall be located in recorded
28		drainage easements for the purposes of operation and maintenance and shall have
29		recorded access easements to the nearest public right-of-way. These easements shall be
30		granted in favor of the party responsible for operating and maintaining the structure, with
31		a note that operation and maintenance is the responsibility of the landowner, easement
32		holder or other responsible party; and
33	(K)	Bonding and endowment. A completion bond that is payable to the Division sufficient to
34		ensure that land purchase, construction, monitoring and maintenance are completed and a
35		non-wasting endowment or other financial mechanism for perpetual maintenance and
36		protection must be provided.

1	(4)	OTHER ALTERNATIVE BUFFER MITIGATION OPTIONS. Other riparian buffer mitigation
2		options may be considered by the Division on a case-by-case basis after 30-day public notice
3		through the Division's Water Quality Certification Mailing List in accordance with 15A NCAC
4		02H .0503 as long as the options otherwise meet the requirements of this Rule. Division staff
5		shall present recommendations to the Environmental Management Commission for a final
6		decision with respect to any proposal for alternative buffer mitigation options not specified in this
7		Rule.
8	(n) ACCOUN	TING FOR BUFFER CREDIT, NUTRIENT OFFSET CREDIT AND STREAM MITIGATION
9	CREDIT. Buffe	er mitigation credit, nutrient offset credit, wetland mitigation credit and stream mitigation credit shall
0	be accounted fo	r in accordance with the following:
1	(1)	Buffer mitigation that is used for buffer mitigation credit cannot be used for nutrient offset credits;
2	(2)	Buffer mitigation or nutrient offset credit cannot be generated within wetlands that provide
3		wetland mitigation credit required by 15A NCAC 02H .0506; and
4	(3)	Either buffer mitigation or nutrient offset credit may be generated on stream mitigation sites as
5		long as the width of the restored or enhanced riparian buffer meets the requirements of
6		Subparagraph (i)(1).
7		
8	History Note:	Authority 143-214.1; 143-214.5; 143-214.7; 143-214.20; 143-215.3(a)(1); S.L. 1998, c. 221; 143-
9		215.6A; 143-215.6B; 143-215.6C; 143-215.8A; 143-215.8B; 143-282(c); 143B-282(d); S.L. 1999,
20		c. 329, s. 7.1; S.L. 2001, c. 418, s 4.(a); S.L 2003, c. 340, s. 5; S.L. 2005-190; S.L 2006-259; S.L.
21		2009-337; S.L. 2009-486.
22		Eff. Pending Legislative Review.

Attachment B

Stakeholder group's recommended rule text for 15A NCAC 02B .0295 showing all revisions to the OAH draft of the Approved Rule

1	15A NCAC 02B	.0295 MITIGATION PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS FOR PROTECTION AND
2		MAINTENANCE OF RIPARIAN BUFFERS
3	(a) PURPOSE.	The purpose of this Rule is to set forth the mitigation requirements that apply to applicants listed in
4	Subparagraphs (1) and (2) of this Paragraph and to set forth requirements for buffer mitigation providers. Buffer
5	mitigation is requ	aired when one of the following applies:
6	(1)	The applicant has received an authorization certificate for impacts that cannot be avoided or
7		practicably minimized pursuant to 15A NCAC 02B .0233, 15A NCAC 02B .0243, 15A NCAC
8		02B .0250, 15A NCAC 02B .0259, 15A NCAC 02B .0267 or 15A NCAC 02B .0607; or
9	(2)	The applicant has received a variance pursuant to 15A NCAC 02B .0233, 15A NCAC 02B .0243,
10		15A NCAC 02B .0250, 15A NCAC 02B .0259, 15A NCAC 02B .0267 or 15A NCAC 02B .0607
1.1		and is required to perform mitigation as a condition of a variance approval.
12	(b) DEFINITION	NS. For the purpose of this Rule, these terms shall be defined as follows:
13	(1)	"Authority" means either the Division or a local government that has been delegated or designated
14		to implement the riparian buffer program.
15	(2)	"Division" means the Division of Water Quality Resources of the North Carolina Department of
16		Environment and Natural Resources.
17	(3)	"Enhancement Site" means a riparian zone site characterized by conditions between that of a
18		restoration site and a preservation site such that the planting-establishment of woody stems (i.e.,
19		shrubs or saplings)tree or shrub species) will maximize nutrient removal and other buffer
20		functions.
21	(4)	"Hydrologic Area" means the Watershed Boundary Dataset (WBD), located at
22		http://data.nconemap.com/geoportal/catalog/search/resource/details.page?uuid={16A42F31-
23		6DC7-4EC3-88A9-03E6B7D55653} using the eight-digit Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) prepared
24		by the United States Geological Survey.
25	(5)	"Locational Ratio" means the mitigation ratio applied to the mitigation requirements based on the
26		location of the mitigation site relative to the impact site as set forth in Paragraph (e).(f).
27	(6)	"Monitoring period" means the length of time specified in the approved mitigation plan during
28		which monitoring of vegetation success and other anticipated benefits to the adjacent water as
29		listed in the authorization certification is done.
30	(7)	"Non-wasting endowment" means a fund that generates enough interest to cover the cost of the
31		long term monitoring and maintenance.
32	(8)	"Off-site" means an area that is not located on the same parcel of land as the impact-site.
33	(9)	"On-site" means an area located on the same parcel of land as the impact site.
34	(10)(8)	
35		(63) on Griffith, et al. (2002) "Ecoregions of North and South Carolina." Reston, VA, United
36		States Geological Survey.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

- (11) "Physiographic province" means one of the four Level III ecoregions shown on Griffith, et al. (2002) "Ecoregions of North and South Carolina". Reston, VA, United States Geological Survey.
- (12)(9) "Preservation Site" means riparian zone sites that are characterized by a natural forest consisting of the forest strata and diversity of species appropriate for the physiographic province-Omernik
 Level III ecoregion.
- (13)(10) "Restoration Site" means riparian zone sites that are characterized by an absence of trees and by a lack of dense growth of smaller woody stems (i.e., shrubs or saplings) or sites that are characterized by scattered individual trees such that the tree canopy is less than 25% of the cover and by a lack of dense growth of smaller woody stems (i.e., shrubs or saplings).
- "Riparian buffer mitigation unit" means a unit representing a credit of riparian buffer mitigation that offsets one square foot of riparian buffer impact.
- (14)(12) "Riparian wetland" means a wetland that is found in one or more of the following landscape positions: in a geomorphic floodplain; in a natural topographic crenulation; contiguous with an open water equal to or greater than 20 acres in size; or subject to tidal flow regimes excluding salt/brackish marsh wetlands.
- (+5)(13) "Urban" means an area that is designated as an urbanized area under the most recent federal decennial census or within the corporate limits of a municipality.
- (16)(14) "Zonal Ratio" means the mitigation ratio applied to impact amounts in the respective zones of the riparian buffer as set forth in Paragraph (e).
- (c) APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS, MITIGATION SITE REQUIREMENTS AND MITIGATION OPTIONS. Any applicant who seeks approval to impact riparian buffers covered under this Rule who is required by Paragraph (a) shall submit to the Division a written mitigation proposal that calculates the required area of mitigation and describes the area and location of each type of proposed mitigation, mitigation. The applicant shall not impact buffers until the Division has approved the mitigation plan by issuance of written authorization. For all options except payment of a fee under Paragraphs (h)(j) or (i)(k) of this Rule, the proposal shall include a commitment to provide a perpetual conservation easement or similar legal protection mechanism to ensure perpetual stewardship that protects the mitigation site's nutrient removal and other water quality functions, a commitment to provide a nonwasting endowment or other financial mechanism for perpetual stewardship and protection, and a commitment to provide a completion bond that is payable to the Division sufficient to ensure that land or easement purchase, construction, monitoring and maintenance are completed. For each mitigation site, the Division shall identify functional criteria to measure the anticipated benefits of the mitigation to the adjacent water. The Division shall issue a mitigation determination that specifies the area, type and location of mitigation and the water quality benefits to be provided by the mitigation site. The mitigation determination issued according to this Rule shall be included as an attachment to the authorization certification. The applicant may propose any of the following types of mitigation and shall provide a written demonstration of practicality that takes into account the relative cost and availability of potential options, as well as information addressing all requirements associated with the option proposed:

- Applicant provided on-site or off site riparian buffer restoration, restoration or enhancement or preservation-pursuant to Paragraph (g)(i) of this Rule;
 - Payment of a compensatory mitigation fee to a mitigation bank if buffer credits are available pursuant to Paragraph (h)(j) of this Rule or payment of a compensatory mitigation fee to the Riparian Buffer Restoration Fund pursuant to Paragraph (i)(k) of this Rule. Payment must conform to the requirements of G.S. 143-214.20;
 - (3) Donation of real property or of an interest in real property pursuant to Paragraph (j)(1) of this Rule; or
 - (4) Alternative buffer mitigation options pursuant to Paragraph (k)(m) of this Rule.
 - (d) AREA OF IMPACT. The authority shall determine the area of impact in square feet to each zone of the proposed riparian buffer impact by adding the following:
 - (1) The area of the footprint of the use impacting the riparian buffer;

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- (2) The area of the boundary of any clearing and grading activities within the riparian buffer necessary to accommodate the use;
- (3) The area of any ongoing maintenance corridors within the riparian buffer associated with the use; and
- (4) The authority shall deduct from this total the area of any wetlands that are subject to and compliant with riparian wetland mitigation requirements under 15A NCAC 02H .0506 and are located within the proposed riparian buffer impact area.
- (e) AREA OF MITIGATION REQUIRED ON ZONAL MITIGATION RATIOS. The authority shall determine the required area of mitigation for each zone by applying each of the following ratios to the area of impact calculated under Paragraph (d) of this Rule:

Basin/Watershed	Zone 1 Ratio	Zone 2 Ratio
Neuse River Basin (15A NCAC 02B .0233)	<u>3:1</u>	1.5:1
Catawba River Basin (15A NCAC 02B .0243)	<u>2:1</u>	1.5:1
Randleman Lake Watershed (15A NCAC 02B .0250)	<u>3:1</u>	1.5:1
Tar-Pamlico River Basin (15A NCAC 02B .0259)	3:1	1.5:1
Jordan Lake Watershed (15A NCAC 02B .0267)	<u>3:1</u>	1.5:1
Goose Creek Watershed (15A NCAC 02B .0607)	3:	1^

The Goose Creek Watershed does not have a Zone 1 and Zone 2. The mitigation ratio in the Goose Creek Watershed is 3:1 for the entire buffer.

(e)(f) AREA OF MITIGATION BASED REQUIRED ON ZONAL AND LOCATIONAL MITIGATION RATIOS.

- The authority shall determine the required area of mitigation for each zone by applying each of the following ratios
- 27 to the area of impact calculated under Paragraph (d) of this Rule with a 3:1 ratio for Zone 1 and 1.5:1 ratio for Zone
- 28 2, except that the required area of mitigation for impacts proposed within the Goose Creek watershed is 3:1 for the
- 29 entire buffer and the Catawba River watershed is 2:1 for Zone 1 and 1.5:1 for Zone 2, and:

4-10-14 FINAL Page 3 25

1	(1) In addition to the rat	ios listed above in this Paragraph, the ε	applicant or	mitigation provider must use the
2	following locational ratios as	applicable based on location of the pro-	oposed miti	gation site relative to that of the
3	proposed impact site. Mitigation	on options Locational ratios shall be avail	able to appl	icants as follows:
		Location	Ratio	
		Within the 12-digit HUC	0.75:1	
		Within the eight-digit HUC B	1:1	
		In the adjacent eight-digit HUC B, C	2:1	

A Except within the Randleman Lake Watershed. Within the Randleman Lake Watershed the ratio is 1:1.

^B Except as provided in Paragraph (g) of this Rule.

^C To use mitigation in the adjacent eight-digit HUC, the applicant shall describe why buffer mitigation within the eight-digit HUC is not practical for the project.

- (A) On-site mitigation is 0.75:1 except within the Randleman Lake watershed which is 1:1;
- (B) Within the 12-digit-HUC is 0.75:1 except within the Randleman Lake watershed which is 1:1:
- (C) Within the eight-digit HUC is 1:1 except as provided in Paragraph (f) of this Rule;
- (D) In the adjacent eight-digit HUC is 2:1 except as provided in Paragraph (f) of this Rule.

 For use of Part (e)(1)(D) of this Rule, the applicant shall describe why buffer mitigation within the eight digit HUC is not practical for the project; and
- (2) Donation of property shall satisfy all the conditions of Paragraph (j) of this Rule.

 (f)(g) GEOGRAPHIC RESTRICTIONS ON LOCATION OF MITIGATION. Mitigation shall be performed in the same river basin in which the impact is located with the following additional specifications:
 - (1) In the following cases, mitigation shall be performed in the same watershed in which the impact is located:
 - (A) Falls Lake Watershed; Watershed, as defined in Rule 15A NCAC 02B .0275;
 - (B) Goose Creek Watershed; Watershed, as defined in Rule 15A NCAC 02B .0601;
 - (C) Randleman Lake Water Supply Watershed; Watershed, as defined in Rule15A NCAC 02B .0248;
 - (D) Each subwatershed of the Jordan Lake watershed, as defined in Rule 15A NCAC 02B .0262; and
 - (E) Other watersheds as specified in riparian buffer protection rules adopted by the Commission.
 - Buffer mitigation for impacts within watersheds with riparian buffer rules that also have federally listed threatened or endangered aquatic species may be done within other watersheds with the same federally listed threatened or endangered aquatic species as long as the impacts are in the same river basin and same physiographic province@mernik Level III ecoregion as the mitigation site.

(h) RIPARIAN BUFFER MITIGATION UNITS. Mitigation activities shall generate riparian buffer mitigation

2 units as follows:

Mitigation Activity	Square Feet of Mitigation Buffer	Riparian Buffer Mitigation Units Generated
Restoration	1	1
<u>Enhancement</u>	2	1
Preservation on Non-Subject Urban Streams	3	1
Preservation on Subject Urban Streams	3	1
Preservation on Non-Subject Rural Streams	5	1
Preservation on Subject Rural Streams	10	1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

(g)(i) RIPARIAN BUFFER RESTORATION OR ENHANCEMENT. Division staff shall make an on-site determination as to whether a potential mitigation site qualifies as a restoration or enhancement site based on the applicable definition in Paragraph (b) of this Rule. Persons who choose to meet their mitigation requirement through riparian Riparian buffer restoration or enhancement sites shall meet the following requirements:

- (1) The restoration area is equal to the required area of mitigation determined pursuant to Paragraph
 (e) of this Rule.
 - (2) The enhancement area is three times larger than the required area of mitigation determined pursuant to Paragraph (e) of this Rule.
 - (1) Buffer restoration or enhancement may be proposed as follows:

Urb	an Areas
Buffer width (ft)	Proposed Percentage of Full Credit
Less than 20	0 %
20-29	<u>75 %</u>
30-100	100 %
101-200 A	50 % ^

rban Areas
Proposed Percentage of Full Credit
0 %
0 %
100 %
50 % A

The area of the mitigation site beyond 100 linear feet from the top of bank shall comprise no more than 10% of the total area of mitigation.

- The location of the restoration or enhancement shall comply with the requirements of Paragraphs

 (e) and (e), (f) and (g) of this Rule and in the Catawba watershed, buffer mitigation
- (A) For the Catawba River mainstem below Lake James, the width of the riparian buffer shall begin at the top of the bank and extend landward a distance of 50 feet, measured horizontally on a line perpendicular to a vertical line marking the edge of the top of the bank. For the mainstem lakes located on the Catawba River mainstem, the width of the riparian buffer shall begin at the most landward limit of the full pond level and extend landward a distance of 50 feet, measured horizontally on a line perpendicular to a vertical line marking the edge of the full pond level.

4-10-14 FINAL Page 5

Buffer mitigation in the Catawba watershed may be done along the lake shoreline as well as along intermittent and perennial stream channels throughout the watershed; watershed.

- (B) For the Goose Creek Watershed the riparian buffer restoration or enhancement site shall have a minimum width of 50 feet as measured horizontally on a line perpendicular to a vertical line marking the edge of the top of the bank and may include restoration or enhancement of existing riparian areas, restoration or enhancement of streamside areas along first order ephemeral streams that discharge or outlet into intermittent or perennial streams, and preservation of the streamside area along first order ephemeral streams that discharge or outlet into intermittent or perennial streams at a 5:1 ratio as long as there is also an amount of restoration or enhancement equivalent to the amount of permitted impact.
- (4)(3) The mitigation site shall provide diffuse Diffuse flow across the entire of runoff shall be maintained in the riparian buffer. buffer width. Any existing impervious cover or stormwater conveyances such as ditches, pipes or drain tiles shall be eliminated and the flow converted to diffuse flow. If elimination of existing stormwater conveyances is not feasible, then the applicant or mitigation provider shall provide a delineation of the watershed draining to the stormwater outfall and the percentage of the total drainage treated by the riparian buffer for Division approval; credit may be reduced proportionally.
- (5)(4) The applicant or mitigation provider shall submit a restoration or enhancement plan for written approval by the Division. The restoration or enhancement plan shall demonstrate compliance with the requirements of Subparagraphs (1) through (3) of this Paragraph and shall contain the following in addition to elements required in Paragraph (c) of this Rule:
 - (A) A map of the proposed restoration or enhancement site;
 - (B) A vegetation plan that shall include a minimum of five four native hardwood tree species or five four native hardwood tree and native shrub species, where no one species is greater than 50% of planted established stems, planted established at a density sufficient to provide 260 stems per acre at the completion of monitoring. Native volunteer species may be included to meet performance standards. The Division may approve alternative planting vegetation plans upon consideration of factors including site wetness and plant availability to meet the requirements of this Part;
 - (C) A grading plan (if applicable). The site shall be graded in a manner to ensure diffuse flow through the entire riparian buffer;
 - (D) A schedule for implementation implementation, including a fertilization and herbicide plan if applicable; that will include protective measures to ensure that fertilizer and herbicide is not deposited downstream from the site and will be applied per manufacturers guidelines. Herbicides used must be certified by EPA for use in or near aquatics sites and must be applied in accordance with the manufacturers' instructions; and

1		(E) A monitoring plan including monitoring of vegetative success and other anticipa	ted
2		benefits to the adjacent water as listed in the Authorization Certification.	
3	(6) (5)	Within one year after the Division has approved the restoration or enhancement plan, the applic	ant
4		or mitigation provider shall present documentation to the Division that the riparian buffer has be	een
5		restored or enhanced unless the Division agrees in writing to a longer time period due to	the
6		necessity for a longer construction period.	
7	(7) (6)	The mitigation area shall be placed under a perpetual conservation easement or similar le	gal
8		protection mechanism to provide for protection of the property's nutrient removal and other wa	iter
9		quality functions.	
10	(8) (7)	The applicant or mitigation provider shall submit written annual reports for a period of five ye	ars
f1		after the restoration or enhancement showing that the trees or treestree and shrub species plan	ted
12		are meeting success criteria and that diffuse flow through the riparian buffer has been maintain	ed.
13		The applicant or mitigation provider shall replace trees or shrubs and restore diffuse flow	if
14		needed during that five-year period. Additional years of monitoring may be required if	the
15		objectives under Paragraph (g)(i) have not been achieved at the end of the five-year monitor	ing
16		period, andperiod.	
17	(8)	The mitigation provider shall provide a site specific credit/debit ledger to the Division at regu	<u>ılar</u>
18		intervals once credits are established and until they are exhausted.	
19	(9)	A completion bond that is payable to the Division sufficient to ensure that land purcha	ise,
20		construction, monitoring and maintenance are completed. A non-wasting endowment or ot	her
21		financial mechanism for perpetual maintenance and protection must be provided.	
22	(h)(j) PURCH	SE OF BUFFER MITIGATION CREDITS FROM A PRIVATE OR PUBLIC MITIGATION	ON
23	BANK. Applic	nts who choose to satisfy some or all of their mitigation determination by purchasing mitigat	ion
24	credits from a pr	vate or public mitigation bank shall meet the following requirements:	
25	(1)	The mitigation bank from which credits are purchased is listed on the Division's webp	age
26		(http://portal.ncdenr.org/web/wq/swp/ws/401) and shall have available riparian buffer credits;	
27	(2)	The mitigation bank from which credits are purchased shall be located as described in Paragra	phs
28		(e) and(e), (f) and (g) of this Rule; and	
29	(3)	After receiving a mitigation acceptance letter from the mitigation provider, proof of payment	for
30		the credits shall be provided to the Department Division prior to any activity that results in	the
31		removal or degradation of the protected riparian buffer.	
32	(i)(k) PAYMEN	T TO THE RIPARIAN BUFFER RESTORATION FUND. Applicants who choose to satisfy so	me
33	or all of their m	tigation determination by paying a compensatory mitigation fee to the Riparian Buffer Restorat	ion
34		he requirements of 15A NCAC 02B .0269 (Riparian Buffer Mitigation Fees to the NC Ecosyst	
35	Enhancement P	ogram). Payment made to the NC Ecosystem Enhancement Program (the Program) shall	be
36	contingent upon	acceptance of the payment to the Program. The financial, temporal and technical ability of	the

Program to satisfy the mitigation request shall be considered to determine whether the Program shall accept or deny the request.

(i)(1) DONATION OF PROPERTY. Applicants who choose to satisfy their mitigation determination by donating real property or an interest in real property in lieu of payment to fully or partially offset an approved payment into the Riparian Buffer Restoration Fund pursuant to Paragraph (k) of this Rule shall meet the following requirements:

- The donation of real property interests may be used to either partially or fully satisfy the payment of a compensatory mitigation fee to the Riparian Buffer Restoration Fund pursuant to Paragraph (i)(k) of this Rule. The value of the property interest shall be determined by an appraisal performed in accordance with Part (i)(1)(4)(D) of this Rule. The donation shall satisfy the mitigation determination if the appraised value of the donated property interest is equal to or greater than the required fee. If the appraised value of the donated property interest is less than the required fee calculated pursuant to 15A NCAC 02B .0269, the applicant shall pay the remaining balance due.
- (2) The donation of a conservation easement or similar legal protection mechanism that includes a non-wasting endowment or other financial mechanism for perpetual maintenance and protection to satisfy compensatory mitigation requirements shall be accepted only if it is granted in perpetuity-real property interests shall be granted in perpetuity.
- (3) Donation of real property interests to satisfy the <u>full or partial payments under Paragraph (k)</u>
 <u>mitigation determination</u>-shall be accepted only if such property meets all of the following requirements:
 - (A) The property shall contain riparian areas that are in need of restoration or enhancement rather than preservation; be suitable for restoration or enhancement to successfully produce viable riparian buffer compensatory mitigation credits in accordance with Paragraph (i) of this Rule or the property shall be suitable for preservation to successfully produce viable riparian buffer compensatory mitigation credits in accordance with Part (m)(2)(C) of this Rule:
 - (B) For the Neuse and Tar-Pamlico basins, the Catawba River mainstem below Lake James, and the Randleman and Jordan watersheds, the restorable riparian buffer on the property shall begin at the top of the bank and extend landward a distance of 50 feet, measured horizontally on a line perpendicular to a vertical line marking the edge of the top of the bank. For the mainstem lakes located on the Catawba River mainstem, the width of the riparian buffer shall begin at the most landward limit of the full pond level and extend landward a distance of 50 feet, measured horizontally on a line perpendicular to a vertical line marking the edge of the full pond level. A minimum distance of less than 50 feet may be allowed only for projects in accordance with Part (k)(2)(D) of this Rule;
 - (C)(B) The size of the restorable riparian buffer on the property to be donated shall equal or exceed the acreage of riparian buffer required to be mitigated under the mitigation

1		responsibility determined pursuant to Paragraph (e) and (f) of this Rule. If the size of the
2		restorable riparian buffer on the property to be donated is less than the acreage of riparian
3		buffer required to be mitigated under the mitigation responsibility determined pursuant to
4		Paragraph (e),(e) and (f), then the applicant shall satisfy the remaining balance by
5		Subparagraph (c)(1) or (2) or a combination of (c)(1) and (2) of this Rule; property shall
6		be located in an area where the Program can reasonably utilize the credits, based on
7		historical or projected use, to offset compensatory mitigation requirements;
8	(D)	The property shall not have any impervious cover or stormwater conveyances such as
9		ditches, pipes or drain tiles. If impervious cover or stormwater conveyances exist, they
10		shall be eliminated and the flow converted to diffuse flow;
1.1	(E)	The property shall be suitable to be successfully restored, based on existing hydrology,
12	- 80.00	soils, and vegetation;
13	(F)(C)	The estimated cost of restoring or enhancing and maintaining the property shall not
14	· / / /	exceed the value of the propertyprojected mitigation credit value of the property minus
15		site identification and land acquisition costs costs, except whereunless the applicant
16		supplies financial assuranceadditional funds acceptable to the Division Program for
17		restoration or enhancement and maintenance of the buffer;
18	(G) (D)	The property shall not contain any building, structure, object, site, or district that is listed
19		in the National Register of Historic Places established pursuant to Public Law 89-665, 16
20		U.S.C. 470 as amended;
21	(H) (E)	The property shall not contain any hazardous substance or solid waste such that water
22	()	quality could be adversely impacted, unless the hazardous substance or solid waste can be
23		properly remediated before the interest is transferred;
24	(I) (F)	The property shall not contain structures or materials that present health or safety
25	(-/1=1	concerns to the general public. If wells, septic, water or sewer connections exist, they
26		shall be filled, remediated or closed at owner's expense in accordance with state and local
27		health and safety regulations before the interest is transferred. Sewer connections in
28		Zone 2 may be allowed for projects in accordance with Part (k)(m)(2)(E) of this Rule;
29	(J) (<u>G</u>)	The property and adjacent properties shall not have prior, current, or known future land
30	(0)(0)	use that would inhibit jeopardize the function functions of the restoration
31		effort:compensatory mitigation;
32	(K) (H)	The property shall not have any encumbrances or conditions that are inconsistent with the
33	(11)(11)	requirements of this rule or purposes of the buffer rules;
34	(L)(I)	Fee simple title to the property or a perpetual conservation easement in-on the property
	(D)(T)	shall be donated to the State of North Carolina; and Carolina, a local government or a
35		qualified holder under N.C. General Statute 121-34 et seq. and 170(h) of the Internal
36		Revenue Code as approved by the Department and the donee; and
37		revenue code as approved by the Department and the donce, and

4-10-14 FINAL Page 9 31

1	1
2	
3	
4	9
5	
6	1
7	
8	
9	0
10	
11	
12	1
13	
14	d
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	72
31	Ì
32	ij
33	8
34	
35	i,
36	

- (M)(J) Upon completion of the buffer restoration or enhancement, the property or the easement shall be donated to a local land trust or to a local government or other state organization that will hold and enforce the conservation easement and its interests. The donation shall be accompanied by a non-wasting endowment or other financial mechanism for perpetual maintenance and protection sufficient to ensure perpetual long-term monitoring and maintenance, except that where a local government has donated a perpetual conservation easement and has entered into a binding intergovernmental agreement with the Division Program to manage and protect the property consistent with the terms of the perpetual conservation easement, such local government shall not be required to provide a non-wasting endowment.
- (4) At the expense of the applicant or donor, the following information shall be submitted to the Division Program with any proposal for donations or dedications of interest in real property:
 - (A) Documentation that the property meets the requirements laid out in Subparagraph (i)(1)(3) of this Rule;
 - (B) US Geological Survey 1:24,000 (7.5 minute) scale topographic map, county tax map, USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service County Soil Survey Map, and county road map showing the location of the property to be donated along with information on existing site conditions, vegetation types, presence of existing structures and easements;
 - (C) A current property survey performed in accordance with the procedures of the North Carolina Department of Administration, State Property Office as identified by the State Board of Registration for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors in "Standards of Practice for Land Surveying in North Carolina." Copies may be obtained from the North Carolina State Board of Registration for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors, 3620 Six Forks Road, Suite 300, Raleigh, North Carolina 27609;
 - (D) A current appraisal of the value of the property performed in accordance with the procedures of the North Carolina Department of Administration, State Property Office as identified by the Appraisal Board in the "Uniform Standards of Professional North Carolina Appraisal Practice." Copies may be obtained from the Appraisal Foundation, Publications Department, P.O. Box 96734, Washington, D.C. 20090-6734; and
 - (E) A title certificate. A complete attorney's report on title with a title commitment for policy in the name of the State of North Carolina in the dollar amount of the appraised value.

(k)(m) ALTERNATIVE BUFFER MITIGATION OPTIONS. Some or all of a buffer mitigation requirement may be met through any of the alternative mitigation options described in this Paragraph. Any proposal for alternative mitigation shall meet, in addition to the requirements of Paragraphs (c), (e) and(e), (f) and (g) of this Rule, the requirements set out in the Subparagraph addressing that option as well as the following requirements:

(1) Any proposal for alternative mitigation shall be provided in writing to the Division and shall meet the following content and procedural requirements for approval by the Division:

1		(
2		
3		(
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		(
9		
10		
11		(
12		
13		
14	(2)	I
15		(
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		16
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		

(A)	Demonstration of no practical alternative. The application shall describe why traditional
	buffer mitigation options are not practical for the project;

- (B)(A) Projects that have been constructed and are within the required monitoring period on the effective date of this Rule are eligible for use as alternative buffer mitigation. Projects that have completed monitoring and have been released by the Division on or before the effective date of this Rule are eligible for use as alternative buffer mitigation for a period of ten years from the effective date of this Rule;
- (C)(B) The mitigation area shall be placed under a perpetual conservation easement or similar legal protection mechanism to provide for protection of the property's nutrient removal and other water quality functions; and
- (D)(C) A completion bond that is payable to the Division sufficient to ensure that land purchase, construction, monitoring and maintenance are completed. A non-wasting endowment or other financial mechanism for perpetual maintenance and protection must be provided.

(2) ALTERNATIVE BUFFER MITIGATION – NON-STRUCTURAL, VEGETATIVE OPTIONS

- (A) Coastal Headwater Stream Mitigation. Wooded buffers planted along Outer Coastal Plain headwater stream mitigation sites can be approved as riparian buffer mitigation as long as the site meets all applicable requirements of Paragraph (g)(j) of this Rule. In addition, all success criteria including treewoody species, treestem density, diffuse flow and stream success criteria specified by the Division in any required written approval of the site must be met. The area of the buffer shall be measured perpendicular to the length of the valley being restored. The area within the proposed buffer mitigation shall not also be used as wetland mitigation. Monitoring of the site must be for at least five years from the date of planting by providing annual reports for written DWQ Division approval; approval.
- (B) Buffer Mitigation Restoration and Enhancement on Non-Subject Streams. Restoration or enhancement of buffers may be conducted on intermittent or perennial streams that are not subject to riparian buffer rules. These streams shall be confirmed as intermittent or perennial streams by Division staff or staff from a local delegated program using the Division publication, Methodology for Identification of Intermittent and Perennial Streams and Their Origins (v.4.11, 2010). The proposal shall meet all applicable requirements of Paragraph (g)(i) of this Rule.
- Preservation of Buffer on Non-subject streams. Preservation of these stream buffers on intermittent or perennial streams that are not subject to riparian buffer rules may be proposed in order to protect permanently the buffer from cutting, clearing, filling and grading and similar activities that would affect the functioning of the buffer. These streams shall be confirmed as intermittent or perennial streams by Division staff using the Division publication, Methodology for Identification of Intermittent and Perennial

4-10-14 FINAL Page 11 33

Streams and Their Origins (v.4.11, 2010). The preservation site shall protect at least a 50 foot wide forested riparian buffer and shall meet the requirements of Subparagraph (i)(1), (i)(3), (j)(2)(i)(6) and Parts (j)(1)(3)(D), (G), (H), (I), (K)(E), (F), (H) and (M)(J) of this Rule. Preservation shall be proposed only when restoration or enhancement with an area at least equal to the footprint of the buffer impact has been proposed. The preservation area shall be five times larger than the required area of mitigation determined pursuant to Paragraph (e) of this Rule that is not satisfied through restoration or enhancement;

- Preservation of Buffers on Subject Streams. Buffer preservation may be proposed in order to permanently protect permanently the buffer from cutting, clearing, filling and grading and similar activities that would affect the functioning of the buffer above and beyond the protection afforded by the existing buffer rules on sites that meet the definition of a preservation site along streams, estuaries or ponds that are subject to buffer rules. The preservation site shall meet the requirements of Subparagraph (i)(1), (i)(3), (i)(2)(i)(6) and Part (j)(l)(3)(D), (G), (H), (I), (K)(E), (F), (H) and (M)(J) of this Rule. Preservation shall be proposed only when restoration or enhancement with an area at least equal to the footprint of the buffer impact has been proposed. The preservation area shall be ten times larger in non-urban areas and three times larger in urban areas than the required area of mitigation determined pursuant to Paragraph (e) of this Rule that is not satisfied through restoration or enhancement. Reduced buffer mitigation credit can be given per Part (k)(2)(D) of this Rule in urban areas;
- (D) Narrower buffers on urban streams. Buffer restoration or enhancement with widths less than 50 feet may be proposed along urban streams. If buffer widths between 30 and 50 feet are proposed and on site stormwater management is provided to control local sources of nutrients and other pollutants, then full buffer credit shall be awarded for the area of buffer restored or enhanced. A total of 75% of full credit shall be awarded for outfers between 20 and 30 feet wide if on site stormwater management is provided to control local sources of nutrients and other pollutants. If on site stormwater management is not provided, then 50% of full credit shall be provided for buffers between 30 and 50 feet wide and 25% of full credit for buffers between 20 and 30 feet wide. Buffers less than 20 feet wide shall receive no buffer credit regardless of whether on site stormwater management is provided;
- (E) Sewer easement within the buffer. If the proposed mitigation site contains a sewer easement in Zone 1, that portion of the sewer easement within Zone 1 is not suitable for buffer mitigation. If the proposed mitigation site contains a sewer easement in Zone 2, the portion of the sewer easement in Zone 2 may be suitable for buffer mitigation if the applicant or mitigation provider restores or enhances the forested buffer in Zone 1 adjacent to the sewer easement, the sewer easement is at least 30 feet wide, the sewer

12 13

14

15

16

10

11

17 18 19

21 22 23

20

24 25

27 28

26

29 30

31

32 33

34 35

36

37

easement is required to be maintained in a condition which meets the vegetative requirements of the collection system permit, and diffuse flow is provided across the entire buffer width; width. The proposal shall meet all applicable requirements of Paragraph (i) of this Rule for restoration or enhancement. The proposal shall meet all applicable requirements of Part (m)(2)(C) of this Rule for preservation.

- Enhancement of grazing areas adjacent to streams. Buffer credit at a 2:1 ratio shall be (F) available for an applicant or mitigation provider who proposes permanent exclusion of grazing livestock that otherwise degrade the stream and riparian zone through trampling, grazing or waste deposition by fencing the livestock out of the stream and its adjacent buffer. The applicant or mitigation provider shall provide an enhancement plan to the standards identified in Paragraph (g)(i). The applicant or mitigation provider shall demonstrate that grazing was the predominant land use since the effective date of the applicable buffer rule.
- Mitigation on ephemeral channels. For purposes of riparian buffer mitigation as (G) described in this Part, an ephemeral channel is defined as a natural channel exhibiting discernible banks within a topographic crenulation (V-shaped contour lines) indicative of natural drainage on the 1:24,000 scale (7.5 minute) quadrangle topographic map prepared by the U.S. Geologic Survey or as seen on digital elevation models with contours developed from the most recent available LiDAR data. Ephemeral channels only flow for a short period of time after precipitation in the immediate area and do not have periods of base flow sustained by groundwater discharge. The applicant or mitigation provider shall provide a delineation of the watershed draining to the ephemeral channel. The entire area proposed for mitigation must be within the contributing drainage area to the ephemeral channel. The ephemeral channel must be directly connected to an intermittent or perennial stream and contiguous with the rest of the mitigation site protected under a perpetual conservation easement. The area of the mitigation site on ephemeral channels shall comprise no more than 25% of the total area of mitigation. The proposal shall meet all applicable requirements of Paragraph (i) of this Rule for restoration or enhancement. The proposal shall meet all applicable requirements of Part (m)(2)(C) of this Rule for preservation.
- Restoration and Enhancement on Ditches. For purposes of riparian buffer mitigation as (H) described in this Part, a ditch is defined as a man-made channel other than a modified natural stream that was constructed for drainage purposes. To be used for mitigation, a ditch must meet all of the following criteria: the ditch must be directly connected with and draining towards an intermittent or perennial stream; the ditch must be contiguous with the rest of the mitigation site protected under a perpetual conservation easement; stormwater runoff from overland flow must drain towards the ditch; the ditch must be

between 1 and 3 feet in depth; and the entire length of the ditch must have been in place prior to the effective date of the applicable buffer rule. The width of the restored or enhanced area shall not be less than 30 feet and shall not exceed 50 feet for crediting purposes. The applicant or mitigation provider shall provide a delineation of the watershed draining to the ditch. The watershed draining to the ditch shall be at least four times larger than the restored or enhanced area along the ditch. The perpetual conservation easement must include the ditch and the confluence of the ditch with the intermittent or perennial stream, and provide language that prohibits future maintenance of the ditch. The proposal shall meet all applicable requirements of Paragraph (i) of this Rule for restoration or enhancement.

(3) ALTERNATIVE BUFFER STORMWATER TREATMENT OPTIONS.

- (A) For all structural options: Riparian buffer restoration or enhancement is required with an area at least equal to the footprint of the buffer impact, and the remaining mitigation resulting from the multipliers can be met through structural options;
- (B) Structural measures already required by other local, state or federal rule or permit cannot be used as alternative buffer mitigation, except to the extent such measure(s) exceed the requirements of such rule. Stormwater Best Management Practices (BMPs), including bioretention facilities, constructed wetlands, infiltration devices and sand filter are all potentially approvable (BMPs) for alternative buffer mitigation. Other BMPs may be approved only if they meet the nutrient removal levels outlined in Part (3)(C) of this Subparagraph. Existing or planned BMPs for a local, state or federal rule or permit may be retrofitted or expanded to improve their nutrient removal if this level of treatment would not be required by other local, state or federal rules. In this case, the predicted increase in nutrient removal may be counted toward alternative buffer mitigation;
- (C) Minimum treatment levels: Any structural BMP shall provide at least 30% total nitrogen and 35% total phosphorus removal as demonstrated by a scientific and engineering literature review as approved by the Division. The application-mitigation proposal shall demonstrate that the proposed alternative removes an equal or greater annual mass load of nutrients to surface waters as the buffer impact authorized in the authorization certificate or variance, following the calculation of impact and mitigation areas pursuant to Paragraphs (d) and(d), (e) and (f) of this Rule. To estimate the rate of nutrient removal of the impacted buffer, the applicant or mitigation provider shall use a method previously approved by the Division. Alternatively, the applicant or mitigation provider may propose an alternative method of estimating the rate of nutrient removal for consideration and review by the Division;

1		(D)	All proposed structural BMPs shall follow the Division's 2009 Stormwater Best
2			Management Practice Design Manual. If a specific proposed structural BMP is not
3			addressed in this Manual, follow Chapter 20 in this Manual for approval;
4		(E)	An operation and maintenance plan is required to be approved by the Division for all
5			structural options;
6		(F)	Continuous and perpetual maintenance is required for all structural options and shall
7			follow the Division's 2009 Stormwater Best Management Practice Design Manual;
8		(G)	Upon completion of construction, the designer for the type of BMP installed must certify
9			that the system was inspected during construction and was constructed in substantial
10			conformity with plans and specifications approved by the Division; Annual reports shall
11			be sent in writing to the Division of Water Quality concerning operation and maintenance
12			of all structural options approved under this Rule;
13		(H)	Removal and replacement of structural options: If a structural option is proposed to be
14			removed and cannot be replaced on site, then a structural or non-structural measure of
15			equal or better nutrient removal capacity shall be constructed as a replacement with the
16			location as specified by Paragraph (e)(f) and (g) of this Rule;
17		(1)	Renovation or repair of structural options: If a structural option must be renovated or
18			repaired, it shall be renovated to provide equal or better nutrient removal capacity as
19			originally designed;
20		(J)	Structural options as well as their operation and maintenance are the responsibility of the
21			landowner or easement holder unless the Division agrees in writing to operation and
22			maintenance by another responsible party. Structural options shall be located in recorded
23			drainage easements for the purposes of operation and maintenance and shall have
24			recorded access easements to the nearest public right-of-way. These easements shall be
25			granted in favor of the party responsible for operating and maintaining the structure, with
26			a note that operation and maintenance is the responsibility of the landowner, easement
27			holder or other responsible party; and
28		(K)	Bonding and endowment. A completion bond that is payable to the Division sufficient to
29			ensure that land purchase, construction, monitoring and maintenance are completed and a
30			non-wasting endowment or other financial mechanism for perpetual maintenance and
31			protection must be provided.
32	(4)	OTHE	R ALTERNATIVE BUFFER MITIGATION OPTIONS. Other riparian buffer mitigation
33		option	s may be considered by the Division on a case-by-case basis after 30-day public notice
34		throug	h the Division's Water Quality Certification Mailing List in accordance with 15A NCAC
35		02H .0	0503 as long as the options otherwise meet the requirements of this Rule. Division staff
36		shall	present recommendations to the Environmental Management Commission for a final

1		decision with respect to any proposal for alternative buffer mitigation options not specified in this
2		Rule.
3	(H)(n) ACCOU	NTING FOR BUFFER CREDIT, NUTRIENT OFFSET CREDIT AND STREAM MITIGATION
4	CREDIT. Buff	er mitigation credit, nutrient offset credit, wetland mitigation credit and stream mitigation credit shall
5	be accounted fo	r in accordance with the following:
6	(1)	Buffer mitigation that is used for buffer mitigation credit cannot be used for nutrient offset credits;
7	(2)	Buffer mitigation or nutrient offset credit cannot be generated within wetlands that provide
8		wetland mitigation credit required by 15A NCAC 02H .0506; and
9	(3)	Either buffer mitigation or nutrient offset credit may be generated on stream mitigation sites as
10		long as the width of the restored or enhanced riparian buffer is at least 50 feet.meets the
11		requirements of Subparagraph (i)(1).
12		
13	History Note:	Authority 143-214.1; 143-214.5; 143-214.7; 143-214.20; 143-215.3(a)(1); S.L. 1998, c. 221; 143-
14		215.6A; 143-215.6B; 143-215.6C; 143-215.8A; 143-215.8B; 143-282(c); 143B-282(d); S.L. 1999,
15		c. 329, s. 7.1; S.L. 2001, c. 418, s 4.(a); S.L 2003, c. 340, s. 5; S.L. 2005-190; S.L 2006-259; S.L.
16		2009-337; S.L. 2009-486.
17		Eff. Pending Legislative Review.

Attachment C

OAH draft of the Approved rule

Rule following RRC approval in July 2014.

MITIGATION PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS FOR PROTECTION 15A NCAC 02B .0295 MAINTENANCE OF RIPARIAN BUFFERS

(a) PURPOSE. The purpose of this Rule is to set forth the mitigation requirements that apply to applicants listed in Subparagraphs (1) and (2) of this Paragraph and to set forth requirements for buffer mitigation providers. Buffer mitigation is required when one of the following applies:

The applicant has received an authorization certificate for impacts that cannot be avoided or practicably minimized pursuant to 15A NCAC 02B .0233, 15A NCAC 02B .0243, 15A NCAC 02B .0250, 15A NCAC 02B .0259, 15A NCAC 02B .0267 or 15A NCAC 02B .0607; or

The applicant has received a variance pursuant to 15A NCAC 02B .0233, 15A NCAC 02B .0243, (2)15A NCAC 02B .0250, 15A NCAC 02B .0259, 15A NCAC 02B .0267 or 15A NCAC 02B .0607 and is required to perform mitigation as a condition of a variance approval.

(b) DEFINITIONS. For the purpose of this Rule, these terms shall be defined as follows:

"Authority" means either the Division or a local government that has been delegated or designated (1)to implement the riparian buffer program.

"Division" means the Division of Water Quality of the North Carolina Department of (2)

Environment and Natural Resources.

- "Enhancement Site" means a riparian zone site characterized by conditions between that of a (3) restoration site and a preservation site such that the planting of woody stems (i.e., shrubs or saplings) will maximize nutrient removal and other buffer functions.
- means the Watershed Boundary Dataset (WBD), located at "Hvdrologic Area" (4) http://data.nconemap.com/geoportal/catalog/search/resource/details.page?uuid={16A42F31-6DC7-4EC3-88A9-03E6B7D55653} using the eight-digit Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) prepared by the United States Geological Survey.

"Locational Ratio" means the mitigation ratio applied to the mitigation requirements based on the (5)

location of the mitigation site relative to the impact site as set forth in Paragraph (e).

"Monitoring period" means the length of time specified in the approved mitigation plan during (6) which monitoring of vegetation success and other anticipated benefits to the adjacent water as listed in the authorization certification is done.

"Non-wasting endowment" means a fund that generates enough interest to cover the cost of the (7)long term monitoring and maintenance.

"Off-site" means an area that is not located on the same parcel of land as the impact site. (8)

"On-site" means an area located on the same parcel of land as the impact site. (9)

- "Outer Coastal Plain" means the portion of the state shown as the Middle Atlantic Coastal Plain (10)(63) on Griffith, et al. (2002) "Ecoregions of North and South Carolina." Reston, VA, United States Geological Survey.
- "Physiographic province" means one of the four Level III ecoregions shown on Griffith, et al. (11)(2002) "Ecoregions of North and South Carolina". Reston, VA, United States Geological Survey.
- "Preservation Site" means riparian zone sites that are characterized by a natural forest consisting (12)of the forest strata and diversity of species appropriate for the physiographic province.
- "Restoration Site" means riparian zone sites that are characterized by an absence of trees and by a (13)lack of dense growth of smaller woody stems (i.e., shrubs or saplings) or sites that are characterized by scattered individual trees such that the tree canopy is less than 25% of the cover and by a lack of dense growth of smaller woody stems (i.e., shrubs or saplings).
- "Riparian wetland" means a wetland that is found in one or more of the following landscape (14)positions: in a geomorphic floodplain; in a natural topographic crenulation; contiguous with an open water equal to or greater than 20 acres in size; or subject to tidal flow regimes excluding salt/brackish marsh wetlands.

"Urban" means an area that is designated as an urbanized area under the most recent federal (15)decennial census or within the corporate limits of a municipality.

"Zonal Ratio" means the mitigation ratio applied to impact amounts in the respective zones of the (16)

riparian buffer as set forth in Paragraph (e).

(c) APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS, MITIGATION SITE REQUIREMENTS AND MITIGATION OPTIONS. Any applicant who seeks approval to impact riparian buffers covered under this Rule who is required by Paragraph (a) shall submit to the Division a written mitigation proposal that calculates the required area of mitigation and describes the area and location of each type of proposed mitigation, The applicant shall not impact buffers until the Division has approved the mitigation plan by issuance of written authorization. For all options except payment of a fee under Paragraphs (h) or (i) of this Rule, the proposal shall include a commitment to provide a conservation easement or similar legal protection mechanism to ensure perpetual stewardship that protects the mitigation site's nutrient removal and other water quality functions, a commitment to provide a non-wasting endowment or other financial mechanism for perpetual stewardship and protection, and a commitment to provide a completion bond that is payable to the Division sufficient to ensure that land or easement purchase, construction, monitoring and maintenance are completed. For each mitigation site, the Division shall identify functional criteria to measure the anticipated benefits of the mitigation to the adjacent water. The Division shall issue a mitigation determination that specifies the area, type and location of mitigation and the water quality benefits to be provided by the mitigation site. The mitigation determination issued according to this Rule shall be included as an attachment to the authorization certification. The applicant may propose any of the following types of mitigation and shall provide a written demonstration of practicality that takes into account the relative cost and availability of potential options, as well as information addressing all requirements associated with the option proposed:

(1) Applicant provided on-site or off-site riparian buffer restoration, enhancement or preservation

pursuant to Paragraph (g) of this Rule;

Payment of a compensatory mitigation fee to a mitigation bank if buffer credits are available pursuant to Paragraph (h) of this Rule or payment of a compensatory mitigation fee to the Riparian Buffer Restoration Fund pursuant to Paragraph (i) of this Rule. Payment must conform to the requirements of G.S. 143-214.20;

(3) Donation of real property or of an interest in real property pursuant to Paragraph (j) of this Rule;

or

(4) Alternative buffer mitigation options pursuant to Paragraph (k) of this Rule.

(d) AREA OF IMPACT. The authority shall determine the area of impact in square feet to each zone of the proposed riparian buffer impact by adding the following:

The area of the footprint of the use impacting the riparian buffer;

- The area of the boundary of any clearing and grading activities within the riparian buffer necessary to accommodate the use;
- (3) The area of any ongoing maintenance corridors within the riparian buffer associated with the use; and
- (4) The authority shall deduct from this total the area of any wetlands that are subject to and compliant with riparian wetland mitigation requirements under 15A NCAC 02H .0506 and are located within the proposed riparian buffer impact area.
- (e) AREA OF MITIGATION BASED ON ZONAL AND LOCATIONAL MITIGATION RATIOS. The authority shall determine the required area of mitigation for each zone by applying each of the following ratios to the area of impact calculated under Paragraph (d) of this Rule with a 3:1 ratio for Zone 1 and 1.5:1 ratio for Zone 2, except that the required area of mitigation for impacts proposed within the Goose Creek watershed is 3:1 for the entire buffer and the Catawba River watershed is 2:1 for Zone 1 and 1.5:1 for Zone 2, and:
 - (1) In addition to the ratios listed above in this Paragraph, the applicant or mitigation provider must use the following locational ratios as applicable based on location of the proposed mitigation site relative to that of the proposed impact site. Mitigation options shall be available to applicants as follows:
 - (A) On-site mitigation is 0.75:1 except within the Randleman Lake watershed which is 1:1;
 - (B) Within the 12-digit HUC is 0.75:1 except within the Randleman Lake watershed which is 1:1;
 - (C) Within the eight-digit HUC is 1:1 except as provided in Paragraph (f) of this Rule;
 - (D) In the adjacent eight-digit HUC is 2:1 except as provided in Paragraph (f) of this Rule. For use of Part (e)(1)(D) of this Rule, the applicant shall describe why buffer mitigation within the 8 digit HUC is not practical for the project; and

Donation of property shall satisfy all the conditions of Paragraph (j) of this Rule.

- (f) GEOGRAPHIC RESTRICTIONS ON LOCATION OF MITIGATION. Mitigation shall be performed in the same river basin in which the impact is located with the following additional specifications:
 - (1) In the following cases, mitigation shall be performed in the same watershed in which the impact is located:
 - (A) Falls Lake Watershed;
 - (B) Goose Creek Watershed;
 - (C) Randleman Lake Water Supply Watershed;

- (D) Each subwatershed of the Jordan Lake watershed, as defined in Rule 15A NCAC 02B .0262; and
- (E) Other watersheds as specified in riparian buffer protection rules adopted by the Commission.
- Buffer mitigation for impacts within watersheds with riparian buffer rules that also have federally listed threatened or endangered aquatic species may be done within other watersheds with the same federally listed threatened or endangered aquatic species as long as the impacts are in the same river basin and same physiographic province as the mitigation site.
- (g) RIPARIAN BUFFER RESTORATION OR ENHANCEMENT. Division staff shall make an on-site determination as to whether a potential mitigation site qualifies as a restoration or enhancement site based on the applicable definition in Paragraph (b) of this Rule. Persons who choose to meet their mitigation requirement through riparian buffer restoration or enhancement shall meet the following requirements:
 - (1) The restoration area is equal to the required area of mitigation determined pursuant to Paragraph
 - The enhancement area is three times larger than the required area of mitigation determined pursuant to Paragraph (e) of this Rule.
 - The location of the restoration or enhancement shall comply with the requirements of Paragraphs (e) and (f) of this Rule and:
 - (A) For the Catawba River mainstem below Lake James, the width of the riparian buffer shall begin at the top of the bank and extend landward a distance of 50 feet, measured horizontally on a line perpendicular to a vertical line marking the edge of the top of the bank. For the mainstem lakes located on the Catawba River mainstem, the width of the riparian buffer shall begin at the most landward limit of the full pond level and extend landward a distance of 50 feet, measured horizontally on a line perpendicular to a vertical line marking the edge of the full pond level. Buffer mitigation in the Catawba watershed may be done along the lake shoreline as well as along intermittent and perennial stream channels throughout the watershed;
 - (B) For the Goose Creek Watershed the riparian buffer restoration or enhancement site shall have a minimum width of 50 feet as measured horizontally on a line perpendicular to a vertical line marking the edge of the top of the bank and may include restoration or enhancement of existing riparian areas, restoration or enhancement of streamside areas along first order ephemeral streams that discharge or outlet into intermittent or perennial streams, and preservation of the streamside area along first order ephemeral streams that discharge or outlet into intermittent or perennial streams at a 5:1 ratio as long as there is also an amount of restoration or enhancement equivalent to the amount of permitted impact.
 - The mitigation site shall provide diffuse flow across the entire buffer width. Any existing impervious cover or stormwater conveyances such as ditches, pipes or drain tiles shall be eliminated and the flow converted to diffuse flow.
 - The applicant or mitigation provider shall submit a restoration or enhancement plan for written approval by the Division. The restoration or enhancement plan shall demonstrate compliance with the requirements of Subparagraphs (1) through (3) of this Paragraph and shall contain the following in addition to elements required in Paragraph (c) of this Rule:
 - (A) A map of the proposed restoration or enhancement site;
 - (B) A vegetation plan that shall include a minimum of five native hardwood tree species or five native hardwood tree and native shrub species, where no one species is greater than 50% of planted stems, planted at a density sufficient to provide 260 stems per acre at the completion of monitoring. The Division may approve alternative planting plans upon consideration of factors including site wetness and plant availability to meet the requirements of this Part;
 - (C) A grading plan (if applicable). The site shall be graded in a manner to ensure diffuse flow through the entire riparian buffer;
 - (D) A schedule for implementation including a fertilization and herbicide plan that will include protective measures to ensure that fertilizer and herbicide is not deposited downstream from the site and will be applied per manufacturers guidelines. Herbicides

used must be certified by EPA for use in or near aquatics sites and must be applied in accordance with the manufacturers' instructions; and

- (E) A monitoring plan including monitoring of vegetative success and other anticipated benefits to the adjacent water as listed in the Authorization Certification.
- Within one year after the Division has approved the restoration or enhancement plan, the applicant or mitigation provider shall present documentation to the Division that the riparian buffer has been restored or enhanced unless the Division agrees in writing to a longer time period due to the necessity for a longer construction period.
- (7) The mitigation area shall be placed under a perpetual conservation easement or similar legal protection mechanism to provide for protection of the property's nutrient removal and other water quality functions.
- The applicant or mitigation provider shall submit written annual reports for a period of five years after the restoration or enhancement showing that the trees or trees and shrub species planted are meeting success criteria and that diffuse flow through the riparian buffer has been maintained. The applicant shall replace trees or shrubs and restore diffuse flow if needed during that five-year period. Additional years of monitoring may be required if the objectives under Paragraph (g) have not been achieved at the end of the five-year monitoring period, and
- (9) A completion bond that is payable to the Division sufficient to ensure that land purchase, construction, monitoring and maintenance are completed. A non-wasting endowment or other financial mechanism for perpetual maintenance and protection must be provided.
- (h) PURCHASE OF BUFFER MITIGATION CREDITS FROM A PRIVATE OR PUBLIC MITIGATION BANK. Applicants who choose to satisfy some or all of their mitigation determination by purchasing mitigation credits from a private or public mitigation bank shall meet the following requirements:
 - (1) The mitigation bank from which credits are purchased is listed on the Division's webpage (http://portal.ncdenr.org/web/wg/swp/ws/401) and shall have available riparian buffer credits;
 - (2) The mitigation bank from which credits are purchased shall be located as described in Paragraphs (e) and (f) of this Rule; and
 - After receiving a mitigation acceptance letter from the mitigation provider, proof of payment for the credits shall be provided to the Department prior to any activity that results in the removal or degradation of the protected riparian buffer.
- (i) PAYMENT TO THE RIPARIAN BUFFER RESTORATION FUND. Applicants who choose to satisfy some or all of their mitigation determination by paying a compensatory mitigation fee to the Riparian Buffer Restoration Fund shall meet the requirements of 15A NCAC 02B .0269 (Riparian Buffer Mitigation Fees to the NC Ecosystem Enhancement Program). Payment made to the NC Ecosystem Enhancement Program (the Program) shall be contingent upon acceptance of the payment to the Program. The financial, temporal and technical ability of the Program to satisfy the mitigation request shall be considered to determine whether the Program shall accept or deny the request.
- (j) DONATION OF PROPERTY. Applicants who choose to satisfy their mitigation determination by donating real property or an interest in real property in lieu of payment shall meet the following requirements:
 - (1) The donation of real property interests may be used to either partially or fully satisfy the payment of a compensatory mitigation fee to the Riparian Buffer Restoration Fund pursuant to Paragraph (i) of this Rule. The value of the property interest shall be determined by an appraisal performed in accordance with Part (j)(4)(D) of this Rule. The donation shall satisfy the mitigation determination if the appraised value of the donated property interest is equal to or greater than the required fee. If the appraised value of the donated property interest is less than the required fee calculated pursuant to 15A NCAC 02B .0269, the applicant shall pay the remaining balance due.
 - The donation of a conservation easement or similar legal protection mechanism that includes a non-wasting endowment or other financial mechanism for perpetual maintenance and protection to satisfy compensatory mitigation requirements shall be accepted only if it is granted in perpetuity.
 - Donation of real property interests to satisfy the mitigation determination shall be accepted only if such property meets all of the following requirements:
 - (A) The property shall contain riparian areas that are in need of restoration or enhancement rather than preservation;
 - (B) For the Neuse and Tar-Pamlico basins, the Catawba River mainstem below Lake James, and the Randleman and Jordan watersheds, the restorable riparian buffer on the property shall begin at the top of the bank and extend landward a distance of 50 feet, measured

horizontally on a line perpendicular to a vertical line marking the edge of the top of the bank. For the mainstem lakes located on the Catawba River mainstem, the width of the riparian buffer shall begin at the most landward limit of the full pond level and extend landward a distance of 50 feet, measured horizontally on a line perpendicular to a vertical line marking the edge of the full pond level. A minimum distance of less than 50 feet may be allowed only for projects in accordance with Part (k)(2)(D) of this Rule;

- (C) The size of the restorable riparian buffer on the property to be donated shall equal or exceed the acreage of riparian buffer required to be mitigated under the mitigation responsibility determined pursuant to Paragraph (e) of this Rule. If the size of the restorable riparian buffer on the property to be donated is less than the acreage of riparian buffer required to be mitigated under the mitigation responsibility determined pursuant to Paragraph (e), then the applicant shall satisfy the remaining balance by Subparagraph (c)(1) or (2) or a combination of (c)(1) and (2) of this Rule;
- (D) The property shall not have any impervious cover or stormwater conveyances such as ditches, pipes or drain tiles. If impervious cover or stormwater conveyances exist, they shall be eliminated and the flow converted to diffuse flow;
- (E) The property shall be suitable to be successfully restored, based on existing hydrology, soils, and vegetation;
- (F) The estimated cost of restoring and maintaining the property shall not exceed the value of the property minus site identification and land acquisition costs unless the applicant supplies financial assurance acceptable to the Division for restoration and maintenance of the buffer;
- (G) The property shall not contain any building, structure, object, site, or district that is listed in the National Register of Historic Places established pursuant to Public Law 89-665, 16 U.S.C. 470 as amended;
- (H) The property shall not contain any hazardous substance or solid waste such that water quality could be adversely impacted, unless the hazardous substance or solid waste can be properly remediated before the interest is transferred;
- (I) The property shall not contain structures or materials that present health or safety concerns to the general public. If wells, septic, water or sewer connections exist, they shall be filled, remediated or closed at owner's expense in accordance with state and local health and safety regulations before the interest is transferred. Sewer connections in Zone 2 may be allowed for projects in accordance with Part (k)(2)(E) of this Rule;
- (J) The property and adjacent properties shall not have prior, current, or known future land use that would inhibit the function of the restoration effort;
- (K) The property shall not have any encumbrances or conditions that are inconsistent with the requirements of this rule or purposes of the buffer rules;
- (L) Fee simple title to the property or a conservation easement in the property shall be donated to the State of North Carolina; and
- Upon completion of the buffer restoration or enhancement, the property or the easement shall be donated to a local land trust or to a local government or other state organization that will hold and enforce the conservation easement and its interests. The donation shall be accompanied by a non-wasting endowment or other financial mechanism for perpetual maintenance and protection sufficient to ensure perpetual long-term monitoring and maintenance, except that where a local government has donated a conservation easement and has entered into a binding intergovernmental agreement with the Division to manage and protect the property consistent with the terms of the conservation easement, such local government shall not be required to provide a non-wasting endowment.
- (4) At the expense of the applicant or donor, the following information shall be submitted to the Division with any proposal for donations or dedications of interest in real property:
 - (A) Documentation that the property meets the requirements laid out in Subparagraph (j)(3) of this Rule;
 - (B) US Geological Survey 1:24,000 (7.5 minute) scale topographic map, county tax map, USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service County Soil Survey Map, and county road map showing the location of the property to be donated along with information on existing site conditions, vegetation types, presence of existing structures and easements;

- (C) A current property survey performed in accordance with the procedures of the North Carolina Department of Administration, State Property Office as identified by the State Board of Registration for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors in "Standards of Practice for Land Surveying in North Carolina." Copies may be obtained from the North Carolina State Board of Registration for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors, 3620 Six Forks Road, Suite 300, Raleigh, North Carolina 27609;
- (D) A current appraisal of the value of the property performed in accordance with the procedures of the North Carolina Department of Administration, State Property Office as identified by the Appraisal Board in the "Uniform Standards of Professional North Carolina Appraisal Practice." Copies may be obtained from the Appraisal Foundation, Publications Department, P.O. Box 96734, Washington, D.C. 20090-6734; and
- A title certificate. (E)
- (k) ALTERNATIVE BUFFER MITIGATION OPTIONS. Some or all of a buffer mitigation requirement may be met through any of the alternative mitigation options described in this Paragraph. Any proposal for alternative mitigation shall meet, in addition to the requirements of Paragraphs (c), (e) and (f) of this Rule, the requirements set out in the Subparagraph addressing that option as well as the following requirements:
 - Any proposal for alternative mitigation shall be provided in writing to the Division and shall meet the following content and procedural requirements for approval by the Division:
 - Demonstration of no practical alternative. The application shall describe why traditional (A) buffer mitigation options are not practical for the project;
 - (B) Projects that have been constructed and are within the required monitoring period on the effective date of this Rule are eligible for use as alternative buffer mitigation. Projects that have completed monitoring and have been released by the Division on or before the effective date of this Rule are eligible for use as alternative buffer mitigation for a period of ten years from the effective date of this Rule;
 - (C) The mitigation area shall be placed under a perpetual conservation easement or similar legal protection mechanism to provide for protection of the property's nutrient removal and other water quality functions; and
 - (D) A completion bond that is payable to the Division sufficient to ensure that land purchase, construction, monitoring and maintenance are completed. A non-wasting endowment or other financial mechanism for perpetual maintenance and protection must be provided.
 - ALTERNATIVE BUFFER MITIGATION NON-STRUCTURAL, VEGETATIVE OPTIONS (2)
 - Coastal Headwater Stream Mitigation. Wooded buffers planted along Outer Coastal (A) Plain headwater stream mitigation sites can be approved as riparian buffer mitigation as long as the site meets all applicable requirements of Paragraph (g) of this Rule. In addition, all success criteria including tree species, tree density, diffuse flow and stream success criteria specified by the Division in any required written approval of the site must be met. The area of the buffer shall be measured perpendicular to the length of the valley being restored. The area within the proposed buffer mitigation shall not also be used as wetland mitigation. Monitoring of the site must be for at least five years from the date of planting by providing annual reports for written DWQ approval;
 - (B) Buffer Mitigation on Non-Subject Streams. Restoration or enhancement of buffers may be conducted on intermittent or perennial streams that are not subject to riparian buffer rules. These streams shall be confirmed as intermittent or perennial streams by Division staff or staff from a local delegated program using the Division publication, Methodology for Identification of Intermittent and Perennial Streams and Their Origins (v.4.11, 2010). The proposal shall meet all applicable requirements of Paragraph (g) of this Rule.

Preservation of these stream buffers may be proposed in order to protect permanently the buffer from cutting, clearing, filling and grading and similar activities that would affect the functioning of the buffer. The preservation site shall protect at least a 50 foot wide forested riparian buffer and shall meet the requirements of Subparagraph (j)(2) and Parts (j)(3)(D), (G), (H), (I), (K) and (M) of this Rule. Preservation shall be proposed only when restoration or enhancement with an area at least equal to the footprint of the buffer impact has been proposed. The preservation area shall be five times larger than the required area of mitigation determined pursuant to Paragraph (e) of this Rule that is not satisfied through restoration or enhancement;

- Preservation of Buffers on Subject Streams. Buffer preservation may be proposed in order to protect permanently the buffer from cutting, clearing, filling and grading and similar activities that would affect the functioning of the buffer above and beyond the protection afforded by the existing buffer rules on sites that meet the definition of a preservation site along streams, estuaries or ponds that are subject to buffer rules. The preservation site shall meet the requirements of Subparagraph (j)(2) and Part (j)(3)(D), (G), (H), (I), (K) and (M) of this Rule. Preservation shall be proposed only when restoration or enhancement with an area at least equal to the footprint of the buffer impact has been proposed. The preservation area shall be ten times larger in non-urban areas and three times larger in urban areas than the required area of mitigation determined pursuant to Paragraph (e) of this Rule that is not satisfied through restoration or enhancement. Reduced buffer mitigation credit can be given per Part (k)(2)(D) of this Rule in urban areas;
- Narrower buffers on urban streams. Buffer restoration or enhancement with widths less than 50 feet may be proposed along urban streams. If buffer widths between 30 and 50 feet are proposed and on-site stormwater management is provided to control local sources of nutrients and other pollutants, then full buffer credit shall be awarded for the area of buffer restored or enhanced. A total of 75% of full credit shall be awarded for buffers between 20 and 30 feet wide if on-site stormwater management is provided to control local sources of nutrients and other pollutants. If on-site stormwater management is not provided, then 50% of full credit shall be provided for buffers between 30 and 50 feet wide and 25% of full credit for buffers between 20 and 30 feet wide. Buffers less than 20 feet wide shall receive no buffer credit regardless of whether on-site stormwater management is provided;
- Sewer easement within the buffer. If the proposed mitigation site contains a sewer easement in Zone 1, that portion of the sewer easement within Zone 1 is not suitable for buffer mitigation. If the proposed mitigation site contains a sewer easement in Zone 2, the portion of the sewer easement in Zone 2 may be suitable for buffer mitigation if the applicant restores or enhances the forested buffer in Zone 1 adjacent to the sewer easement, the sewer easement is at least 30 feet wide, the sewer easement is required to be maintained in a condition which meets the vegetative requirements of the collection system permit, and diffuse flow is provided across the entire buffer width;
- (F) Enhancement of grazing areas adjacent to streams. Buffer credit at a 2:1 ratio shall be available for an applicant who proposes permanent exclusion of grazing livestock that otherwise degrade the stream and riparian zone through trampling, grazing or waste deposition by fencing the livestock out of the stream and its adjacent buffer. The applicant shall provide an enhancement plan to the standards identified in Paragraph (g). The applicant shall demonstrate that grazing was the predominant land use since the effective date of the applicable buffer rule.
- (3) ALTERNATIVE BUFFER STORMWATER TREATMENT OPTIONS.
 - (A) For all structural options: Riparian buffer restoration or enhancement is required with an area at least equal to the footprint of the buffer impact, and the remaining mitigation resulting from the multipliers can be met through structural options;
 - (B) Structural measures already required by other local, state or federal rule or permit cannot be used as alternative buffer mitigation, except to the extent such measure(s) exceed the requirements of such rule. Stormwater Best Management Practices (BMPs), including bioretention facilities, constructed wetlands, infiltration devices and sand filter are all potentially approvable (BMPs) for alternative buffer mitigation. Other BMPs may be approved only if they meet the nutrient removal levels outlined in Part (3)(C) of this Subparagraph. Existing or planned BMPs for a local, state or federal rule or permit may be retrofitted or expanded to improve their nutrient removal if this level of treatment would not be required by other local, state or federal rules. In this case, the predicted increase in nutrient removal may be counted toward alternative buffer mitigation;
 - Minimum treatment levels: Any structural BMP shall provide at least 30% total nitrogen and 35% total phosphorus removal as demonstrated by a scientific and engineering literature review as approved by the Division. The application shall demonstrate that the

proposed alternative removes an equal or greater annual mass load of nutrients to surface waters as the buffer impact authorized in the authorization certificate or variance, following the calculation of impact and mitigation areas pursuant to Paragraphs (d) and (e) of this Rule. To estimate the rate of nutrient removal of the impacted buffer, the applicant shall use a method previously approved by the Division. Alternatively, the applicant may propose an alternative method of estimating the rate of nutrient removal for consideration and review by the Division;

- (D) All proposed structural BMPs shall follow the Division's 2009 Stormwater Best Management Practice Design Manual. If a specific proposed structural BMP is not addressed in this Manual, follow Chapter 20 in this Manual for approval;
- (E) An operation and maintenance plan is required to be approved by the Division for all structural options;
- (F) Continuous and perpetual maintenance is required for all structural options and shall follow the Division's 2009 Stormwater Best Management Practice Design Manual;
- (G) Annual reports shall be sent in writing to the Division of Water Quality concerning operation and maintenance of all structural options approved under this Rule;
- (H) Removal and replacement of structural options: If a structural option is proposed to be removed and cannot be replaced on site, then a structural or non-structural measure of equal or better nutrient removal capacity shall be constructed as a replacement with the location as specified by Paragraph (e) of this Rule;
- (I) Renovation or repair of structural options: If a structural option must be renovated or repaired, it shall be renovated to provide equal or better nutrient removal capacity as originally designed;
- Structural options as well as their operation and maintenance are the responsibility of the landowner or easement holder unless the Division agrees in writing to operation and maintenance by another responsible party. Structural options shall be located in recorded drainage easements for the purposes of operation and maintenance and shall have recorded access easements to the nearest public right-of-way. These easements shall be granted in favor of the party responsible for operating and maintaining the structure, with a note that operation and maintenance is the responsibility of the landowner, easement holder or other responsible party; and
- (K) Bonding and endowment. A completion bond that is payable to the Division sufficient to ensure that land purchase, construction, monitoring and maintenance are completed and a non-wasting endowment or other financial mechanism for perpetual maintenance and protection must be provided.
- OTHER ALTERNATIVE BUFFER MITIGATION OPTIONS. Other riparian buffer mitigation options may be considered by the Division on a case-by-case basis after 30-day public notice through the Division's Water Quality Certification Mailing List in accordance with 15A NCAC 02H .0503 as long as the options otherwise meet the requirements of this Rule. Division staff shall present recommendations to the Environmental Management Commission for a final decision with respect to any proposal for alternative buffer mitigation options not specified in this Rule.
- (l) ACCOUNTING FOR BUFFER CREDIT, NUTRIENT OFFSET CREDIT AND STREAM MITIGATION CREDIT. Buffer mitigation credit, nutrient offset credit, wetland mitigation credit and stream mitigation credit shall be accounted for in accordance with the following:
 - (1) Buffer mitigation that is used for buffer mitigation credit cannot be used for nutrient offset credits;
 - (2) Buffer mitigation or nutrient offset credit cannot be generated within wetlands that provide wetland mitigation credit required by 15A NCAC 02H .0506; and
 - (3) Either buffer mitigation or nutrient offset credit may be generated on stream mitigation sites as long as the width of the restored or enhanced riparian buffer is at least 50 feet.

History Note: Authority 143-214.1; 143-214.5; 143-214.7; 143-214.20; 143-215.3(a)(1); S.L. 1998, c. 221; 143-215.6A; 143-215.6B; 143-215.6C; 143-215.8A; 143-215.8B; 143-282(c); 143B-282(d); S.L. 1999, c. 329, s. 7,1; S.L. 2001, c. 418, s 4.(a); S.L 2003, c. 340, s. 5; S.L. 2005-190; S.L 2006-259; S.L. 2009-337; S.L. 2009-486.

Eff. Pending Legislative Review.

REQUEST FOR TECHNICAL CHANGE

AGENCY: Environmental Management Commission

RULE CITATION: 15A NCAC 02B .0295

DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT: Friday, August 14, 2015

<u>NOTE WELL:</u> This request when viewed on computer extends several pages. Please be sure you have reached the end of the document.

The Rules Review Commission staff has completed its review of this rule prior to the Commission's next meeting. The Commission has not yet reviewed this rule and therefore there has not been a determination as to whether the rule will be approved. You may call this office to inquire concerning the staff recommendation.

In reviewing these rules, the staff determined that the following technical changes need to be made:

On the Submission for Permanent Rule form, please correct the date the Notice of Text was published to February 16, 2015.

On Page 1, (b)(1), line 15, insert a comma after ".0267"

On Page 2, (b)(11), line 6, who determines whether this is appropriate? And how?

In (b)(12), line 10, I take it your regulated public knows what is meant by "dense"?

In (b)(15), line 21, insert a comma after "shrub"

In (b)(16), line 22, I suggest inserting an "either" after "that is"

On line 23, I recommend inserting "is located" between "or" and "within"

In (c)(1) and (2), lines 31 and 33, insert a comma after ".0267"

In (c)(1), line 32, since "authorization certificate" is used in other Rules in the Subchapter, I take it your regulated public is familiar with the term?

On Page 3, (d), line 26, and (e), line 36, why is "Zone" capitalized?

In (d), line 27 why not just state "the applicable Rule .0233,..."?

So that I understand – using the guidance in Paragraphs (d) and (e), the Authority will determine how much impact/how many credits are needed for the alternatives?

On Page 4, the changes to (f) regarding the "Outside of the eight-digit HUC" means that the location no longer needs to be adjacent? And there are no longer requirements for explaining the mitigation is not practical?

In (g)(1), line 15, I suggest you replace "in which" with "where"

So that I understand – the locations and Rules referenced in (g)(1)(A) through (D) are not referenced elsewhere in the Rule. Why is this? I note that Paragraph (c) states that the Rule applies to Rules .0233, .0243, .0250, .0259, .0267, and .0607. Why are these other Rules listed in this Part?

In (h), line 29, how is the proposed? Following the procedure in Paragraph (c) by submitting a written proposal?

On Page 5, (h)(1), line 1, who will restore or enhance?

In (h)(3), line 7, I do not think you should delete "of real property or an interest in real property" but if you want to delete, at least retain "property" This is a very long Rule and it is helpful for people to see this to understand what the cross-reference will lead to.

In (i), do you need to insert a reference to G.S. 143-214.20 to make it clear that government entities have a broader ability to make this purchase than non-governmental actors? Or do you believe it is clear to your regulated public?

On Page 6, you are allowing the property to be donated to the Riparian Buffer Restoration Fund. G.S. 143-214.20 allows offsets for donations of real property or an interest in real property to the Department, another State agency, a unit of local government, or a private nonprofit conservation organization approved by the Department. Or is Paragraph (k) intended to address G.S. 143-214.20(a1)(1) and (a2)(1)? You won't allow payment of money, only donation of property?

In (I)(2)(B), line 21, "as appropriate" as determined by whom?

In (I)(2)(C), what is the authority for this financial assurance?

In (I)(2)(C), line 27, why are you spelling out "Division of Mitigation Services"? You just created the acronym in Paragraph (j).

In (I)(3), line 32, who determines it is "not feasible"?

On line 35, insert a comma after "review and approval"

On line 36, will the Division reduce the credit or the Authority? I note that (I) begins that the Authority will issue the mitigation determination.

On Page 7, (I)(4), line2, replace "is" after "Zone 1" with "shall not be"

In (I)(5), the applicant does not have to do this? I don't understand why "applicant" was removed here.

On line 11, why is "Mitigation Banking Instrument" capitalized? It is not in (b)(7).

In (I)(6), line 13, I suggest deleting "that have been" and "are" so it reads "Projects constructed and within the ..."

On line 14, replace "are" with "shall be"

On line 15, should this say "Division" or "Authority"?

On line 20, replace the semicolon after "following" with a colon.

On line 30, I suggest stating "These buffer mitigation sites" or "The buffer mitigation sites identified in this Subparagraph"

On Page 8, the table in Paragraph (m), I take it that your regulated public knows what "non-subject" and "subject" mean?

In (n), so that I understand – the applicant will propose that a site qualifies as a restoration or enhancement site? If so, does that mean that in (n)(1), that the applicant may now only propose a 33% credit for a buffer that is between 101 and 200 feet, rather than the published 50%? Further, I note this is not the amount in the stakeholder report. What is the authority for this change?

In (n)(2), line 26, insert a period after "approval" However, to make the sentence clearer, you may wish to say "The application or mitigation provider shall submit a restoration or enhancement mitigation plan to the Authority for written approval."

On Page 9, (n)(2)(B), I take it the applicant requests the alternative vegetation plans in the request?

In (n)(2)(C) and (D), who determines if this is applicable?

In (n)(2)(E), line 21, please insert a comma after "water"

Also, is (n)(2)(E) intended to state how often the applicant or mitigation provider will monitor this? Is this connected to the annual reports required for five years in (n)(4)?

In (n)(3), is this language intended to state that mitigation must be completed within one year unless a longer period is agreed to? But the baseline in the Rule is one year? And how does this agreement in writing occur? Is it in the Authority approval at the outset? If not, how is this extension requested and granted?

In (n)(4), line 35, I take it the approval is by the Authority?

On Page 10, line 5, will this occur because of the reports required? Is this how the Authority will know?

On line 7, if the Authority "may" require this, when will it not? Please note the same question in line 9.

On line 11, please insert a comma after "period"

On line 12, what will the Authority base the decision for further monitoring and the length of that monitoring upon?

On Page 12, Paragraph (o), who determines this? The Division or the Authority? The Paragraph states "Division" throughout, but elsewhere, the Authority makes the decision. Is this intentional? Are you relying upon G.S. 143-214.20(a1)94) and (a2)(4), which requires approval by the Department?

§ 143-214.20. Riparian Buffer Protection Program: Alternatives to maintaining riparian buffers; compensatory mitigation fees.

- (a1) Compensatory Mitigation Options Available to Government Entities. A government entity, as defined in G.S. 143-214.11, may satisfy compensatory mitigation requirements by any of the following actions:
- (4) Construction of an alternative measure that reduces nutrient loading as well or better than the riparian buffer that is lost in the same river basin as the riparian buffer that is lost and that is approved by the Department.
- (a2) Compensatory Mitigation Options Available to Applicants Other than Government Entities. An applicant other than a government entity, as defined in G.S. 143-214.11, may satisfy compensatory mitigation requirements by any of the following actions:
- (4) Restoration or enhancement of an existing riparian buffer that is not otherwise required to be protected, or creation of a new riparian buffer, that will provide protection of water quality that is equivalent to or greater than that provided by the riparian buffer that is lost in the same river basin as the riparian buffer that is lost and that is approved by the Department.

On Page 13, (o)(1) on line 14, I take it your regulated public knows what "Outer Coastal Plain" means?

On line 22, do you need to retain "at least"?

In (o)(2), line 25, do you need to retain "the applicable riparian buffer"? Couldn't you state "subject to Rules .0233,..."? Please note the same for (o)(3), line 33.

On line 26, please insert an "or" after ".0267" Please note the same for (o)(3), lines 33-34

On line 28 and 29, please put "Methodology for Identification... (v.4.11, 2010" in quotation marks.

In (o)(2) and (3), what is your authority to cite to an internal publication? Are you relying upon SL 2014-95?

On Page 14, in (o)(3) and (4), so that I understand, where you reference 15A NCAC 02R .0403, that rule governs the donation of real property? That is applicable here?

In (o)(4), line 9, do you need to retain "the applicable riparian buffer"?

On Page 15, (o)(6), line 8, please insert a comma after "data"

On line 9, define "immediate"

In (o)(7), on line 32, do you need to retain "at least"?

In (o)(8)(A), what are "structural measures"? Are they the same as "structural options" in (o)(8)(D)?

On Page 16, line 10, how are these "potentially approvable"? How does the request occur and what is the basis for making the decision.

Also on line 10, please remove the parenthesis from "(BMPs)"

On line 14, please replace "would not be" with "is not"

In (o)(8)(B), line 19, approved by the Division based upon what? The review?

On lines 24 and 26, please put the publication in quotation marks. Also, what is your authority to use this internal publication the Rule?

In (o)(8)(C), lines 31 and 32, please put the publication in quotation marks. Also, what is your authority to use this internal publication the Rule?

In (o)(8)(D), how will the Division approve this?

On Page 17, (o)(8)(E), what are "continuous" and "perpetual"?

In (o)(8)(G), line 8, who determines if the capacity is "equal or better"? The Division?

Also on line 8, please change "Paragraph" to "Paragraphs"

In (o)(8)(H), who determines the need for renovation or repair?

In (o)(8)(I), line 13, I suggest inserting a comma after "options" and "maintenance"

On line 20, please insert a comma after "holder"

In (o)(9), lines 25 - 26, why is this in all capital letters? (o)(1) through (8) are not.

On line 26, state "options not specified within this Rule..."

On line 29, "Paragraph" should be capitalized.

On line 35, please confirm that you meant to state "DWRwetlands" and there is no space.

On Page 18, in the History Note, do not delete the Temporary Adoption date.

Please retype the rule accordingly and resubmit it to our office at 1711 New Hope Church Road, Raleigh, North Carolina 27609.

1	15A NCAC 02B	.0295 is adopted with changes as published in 29:16 NCR 1939-1950 as follows:
2		
3	15A NCAC 02B	.0295 MITIGATION PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS FOR PROTECTION AND
4		MAINTENANCE OF RIPARIAN BUFFERS
5	(a) PURPOSE.	The purpose of this Rule is to set forth the mitigation requirements that apply to applicants listed in
6	Subparagraphs (1) and (2) of this Paragraph (c) of this Rule and to set forth requirements for buffer mitigation
7	providers. Buffe	r mitigation is required when one of the following applies:
8	(1)	The applicant has received an authorization certificate for impacts that cannot be avoided or
9		practicably minimized pursuant to Rules .0233, .0243, .0250, .0259, .0267 or .0607 of this
10		Subchapter; or
11	(2)	The applicant has received a variance pursuant to Rules .0233, .0243, .0250, .0259, .0267 or .0607
12		of this Subchapter and is required to perform mitigation as a condition of a variance approval.
13	(b) DEFINITION	NS. For the purpose of this Rule, these terms shall be defined as follows:
14	(1)	"Authority" means either the Division or a local government that has been delegated or designated
15		pursuant to Rules .0233, .0243, .0250, .0259, .0267 or .0607 of this Subchapter to implement the
16		riparian buffer program.
17	(2)	"Compensatory Buffer Mitigation Bank" means a buffer mitigation site created by a mitigation
18		provider and approved for mitigation credit by the Division through execution of a mitigation
19		banking instrument.
20	(2) (3)	"Division" means the Division of Water Resources of the North Carolina Department of
21		Environment and Natural Resources.
22	(3) (4)	"Enhancement Site" means a riparian zone site characterized by conditions between that of a
23		restoration site and a preservation site such that the establishment of woody stems (i.e., tree or
24		shrub species) will maximize nutrient removal and other buffer functions.
25	(4) (5)	"Hydrologic Area" means the Watershed Boundary Dataset (WBD), located at no cost at
26		http://data.nconemap.com/geoportal/catalog/search/resource/details.page?uuid={16A42F31-
27		6DC7-4EC3-88A9-03E6B7D55653} using the eight-digit Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) prepared
28		by the United States Geological Survey.
29	(5) (6)	"Locational Ratio" means the mitigation ratio applied to the mitigation requirements based on the
30		location of the mitigation site relative to the impact site as set forth in Paragraph (f).
31	<u>(7)</u>	"Mitigation banking instrument" means the legal document for the establishment, operation, and
32		use of a mitigation bank.
33	(6) (8)	"Monitoring period" means the length of time specified in the approved mitigation plan during
34		which monitoring of vegetation success and other anticipated benefits to the adjacent water as
35		listed in the authorization certification mitigation approval is done.
36	(7) (9)	"Non-wasting endowment" means a fund that generates enough interest to cover the cost of the
37		long term monitoring and maintenance.

1	$\frac{(8)(10)}{(8)}$ "Outer Coastal Plain" means the portion of the state shown as the Middle Atlantic Coastal Plain
2	(63) on Griffith, et al. (2002) "Ecoregions of North and South Carolina." Reston, VA, United
3	States Geological Survey available at no cost at
4	http://www.epa.gov/wed/pages/ecoregions/ncsc_eco.htm.
5	(9)(11) "Preservation Site" means riparian zone sites that are characterized by a natural forest consisting
6	of the forest strata and diversity of species appropriate for the location. Omernik Level III
7	ecoregion. [ecoregion available at no cost at
8	http://www.epa.gov/wed/pages/ecoregions/level_iii_iv.htm.]
9	(10)(12) "Restoration Site" means riparian zone sites that are characterized by an absence of trees and by a
10	lack of dense growth of smaller woody stems (i.e., shrubs or saplings) or sites that are
11	characterized by scattered individual trees such that the tree canopy is less than 25 percent of the
12	cover and by a lack of dense growth of smaller woody stems (i.e., shrubs or saplings).
13	(11)(13) "Riparian buffer mitigation unit" means a unit representing a credit of riparian buffer mitigation
14	that offsets one square foot of riparian buffer impact. as set forth in Paragraph (m).
15	(12)(14) "Riparian wetland" means a wetland that is found in one or more of the following landscape
16	positions:
17	(A) in a geomorphic floodplain;
18	(B) in a natural topographic crenulation;
19	(C) contiguous with an open water equal to or greater than 20 acres in size; or
20	(D) subject to tidal flow regimes excluding salt/brackish marsh wetlands.
21	"Stem" means a woody seedling, sapling, shrub or tree, no less than 10 cm in height.
22	(13)[(15)](16) "Urban" means an area that is designated as an urbanized area under the most recent
23	federal decennial census available at no cost at http://www.census.gov/ or within the corporate
24	limits of a municipality.
25	(14) [(16)](17) "Zonal Ratio" means the mitigation ratio applied to impact amounts in the respective
26	zones of the riparian buffer as set forth in Paragraph (e) of this Rule.
27	(c) <u>MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS.</u> <u>APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS, MITIGATION SITE</u>
28	REQUIREMENTS AND MITIGATION OPTIONS. Buffer mitigation is required when one of the following
29	applies:
30	(1) The applicant has received an authorization certificate for impacts pursuant to Rules .0233, .0243,
31	.0250, .0259, .0267 or .0607 of this Subchapter and is required to perform mitigation as a
32	condition of the authorization certificate; or
33	(2) The applicant has received a variance pursuant to Rules .0233, .0243, .0250, .0259, .0267 or .0607
34	of this Subchapter and is required to perform mitigation as a condition of a variance approval.
35	Any applicant who seeks approval to impact riparian buffers covered under this Rule who is required by Paragraph
36	(a) shall submit to the Division Authority a written mitigation proposal that calculates the required area of mitigation
37	and describes the area and location of each type of proposed mitigation. The applicant shall not impact buffers until

1 the Division Authority approves the mitigation plan and issues written authorization. approval. For all options 2 except payment of a fee under Paragraphs (j) or (k) of this Rule, the proposal shall include a commitment to provide: 3 a perpetual conservation easement or similar legal protection mechanism to ensure perpetual 4 stewardship that protects the mitigation site's nutrient removal and other water quality functions; 5 (2) a commitment to provide a non-wasting endowment or other financial mechanism for perpetual 6 stewardship and protection; and 7 a commitment to provide a completion bond that is payable to the Division sufficient to ensure 8 that land or easement purchase, construction, monitoring, and maintenance are completed. 9 For each mitigation site, the Division shall identify functional criteria to measure the anticipated benefits of the 10 mitigation to the adjacent water. The Division shall issue a mitigation determination that specifies the area, type, 11 and location of mitigation and the water quality benefits to be provided by the mitigation site. The mitigation 12 determination issued according to this Rule shall be included as an attachment to the authorization certification. The 13 applicant may propose any of the following types of mitigation and shall provide a written demonstration of 14 practicality that takes into account the relative cost and availability of potential options, as well as information 15 addressing all requirements associated with the option proposed: 16 Applicant provided riparian buffer restoration or enhancement pursuant to Paragraph (i) of this 17 18 Payment of a compensatory mitigation fee to a mitigation bank if buffer credits are available (2)19 pursuant to Paragraph (i) of this Rule or payment of a compensatory mitigation fee to the Riparian 20 Buffer Restoration Fund pursuant to Paragraph (k) of this Rule. Payment shall conform to the 21 requirements of G.S. 143 214.20; 22 (3)Donation of real property or of an interest in real property pursuant to Paragraph (1) of this Rule; 23 24 Alternative buffer mitigation options pursuant to Paragraph (m) of this Rule. 25 (d) AREA OF IMPACT. The authority Authority shall determine the area of impact in square feet to each zone 26 Zone as defined by the applicable riparian buffer Rules .0233, .0243, .0250, .0259, .0267, or .0607 of this 27 Subchapter of the proposed riparian buffer impact by adding the following: 28 (1) The area of the footprint of the use impacting the riparian buffer; 29 (2) The area of the boundary of any clearing and grading activities within the riparian buffer 30 necessary to accommodate the use; and 31 The area of any ongoing maintenance corridors within the riparian buffer associated with the use. (3) 32 The authority Authority shall deduct from this total the area of any wetlands that are subject to and compliant with 33 riparian wetland mitigation requirements under 15A NCAC 02H .0506 and are located within the proposed riparian 34 buffer impact area. 35 (e) AREA OF MITIGATION REQUIRED ON ZONAL MITIGATION RATIOS. The authority Authority shall 36 determine the required area of mitigation for each zone Zone by applying each of the following ratios to the area of 37 impact calculated under Paragraph (d) of this Rule:

3

Basin/Watershed	Zone 1 Ratio	Zone 2 Ratio
Neuse River Basin (15A NCAC 02B .0233)	3:1	1.5:1
Catawba River Basin (15A NCAC 02B .0243)	2:1	1.5:1
Randleman Lake Watershed (15A NCAC 02B .0250)	3:1	1.5:1
Tar-Pamlico River Basin (15A NCAC 02B .0259)	3:1	1.5:1
Jordan Lake Watershed (15A NCAC 02B .0267)	3:1	1.5:1
Goose Creek Watershed (15A NCAC 02B .0607)	3:1 ^A	

A The Goose Creek Watershed does not have a Zone 1 and Zone 2. The mitigation ratio in the Goose Creek Watershed is 3:1 for the entire buffer.

(f) AREA OF MITIGATION REQUIRED ON LOCATIONAL MITIGATION RATIOS. The applicant or mitigation provider shall use the following locational ratios as applicable based on location of the proposed mitigation site relative to that of the proposed impact site. Locational ratios shall be as follows:

Location	Ratio
Within the 12-digit HUC ^A	0.75:1
Within the eight-digit HUC ^B	1:1
In the adjacent Outside of the eight-digit HUCBHUCBHUCB	2:1

A Except within the Randleman Lake Watershed. Within the Randleman Lake Watershed the ratio is 1:1.

- (g) GEOGRAPHIC RESTRICTIONS ON LOCATION OF MITIGATION. Mitigation shall be performed in the same river basin where the impact is located with the following additional specifications:
 - (1) In the following cases, mitigation shall be performed in the same watershed in which the impact is located:
 - (A) Falls Lake Watershed, as defined in Rule .0275 of this Section;
 - (B) Goose Creek Watershed, as defined in Rule .0601 of this Subchapter;
 - (C) Randleman Lake Water Supply Watershed, as defined in Rule .0248 of this Section;
 - (D) Each subwatershed of the Jordan Lake watershed, as defined in Rule .0262 of this Section; and
 - (E) Other watersheds as specified in riparian buffer protection rules adopted by the Commission.
 - Buffer mitigation for impacts within watersheds with riparian buffer rules that also have federally listed threatened or endangered aquatic species may be done within other watersheds with the same federally listed threatened or endangered aquatic species as long as the impacts are in the same river basin and same Omernik Level III ecoregion available at no cost at http://www.epa.gov/wed/pages/ecoregions/level_iii_iv.htm as the mitigation site.
- (h) MITIGATION OPTIONS FOR APPLICANTS. The applicant may propose any of the following types of mitigation: [mitigation and shall provide a written demonstration of practicality that takes into account the relative cost and availability of potential options, as well as information addressing all requirements associated with the option proposed:]

^B Except as provided in Paragraph (g) of this Rule.

C—To use mitigation in the adjacent eight-digit HUC, the applicant shall describe why buffer mitigation within the eight digit HUC is not practical for the project.

1	<u>(1)</u>	[Applicant provided riparian] Riparian buffer restoration or enhancement pursuant to Paragraph
2		(n) of this Rule;
3	(2)	Payment of a compensatory mitigation fee to a compensatory buffer mitigation bank [if buffer
4		credits are available] pursuant to Paragraph (i) of this Rule or payment of a compensatory
5		mitigation fee to the Riparian Buffer Restoration Fund pursuant to Paragraph (j) of this Rule.
6		Payment shall conform to the requirements of G.S. 143-214.20;
7	(3)	Donation [of real property or of an interest in real property] pursuant to Paragraph (k) of this Rule;
8	(4)	Alternative buffer mitigation [options] pursuant to Paragraph (o) of this Rule; or
9	<u>(5)</u>	Other buffer mitigation [options when] as approved by the Environmental Management
10		Commission as a condition of a variance approval.
11	[Riparian buffer	restoration or enhancement is required with an area at least equal to the footprint of the buffer
12	impact, and the	remaining mitigation resulting from the application of the zonal mitigation ratios in Paragraph (e)
13	and locational m	itigation ratios in Paragraph (f) may be met through other mitigation options.
14		BUFFER MITIGATION UNITS. Mitigation activities shall generate riparian buffer mitigation
15	units as follows:	

Mitigation Activity	Square Feet of Mitigation Buffer	Riparian Buffer Mitigation Units Generated
Restoration	1	1
Enhancement	2	1
Preservation on Non Subject Urban Streams	3	1
Preservation on Subject Urban Streams	3	1
Preservation on Non Subject Rural Streams	5	1
Preservation on Subject Rural Streams	10	1

(i) PURCHASE OF BUFFER MITIGATION CREDITS FROM A PRIVATE OR PUBLIC COMPENSATORY BUFFER MITIGATION BANK. Applicants who choose to satisfy some or all of their mitigation by purchasing mitigation credits from a private or public compensatory buffer mitigation bank shall meet the following requirements:

- (1) The compensatory buffer mitigation bank from which credits are purchased shall have available riparian buffer credits approved by the Division;
- (2) The compensatory buffer mitigation bank from which credits are purchased shall be located as described in Paragraphs (e), (f), and (g) of this Rule; and
- (3) After receiving a mitigation acceptance letter from the compensatory buffer mitigation bank, proof of payment for the credits shall be provided to the Authority prior to any activity that results in the removal or degradation of the protected riparian buffer.

(j) PAYMENT TO THE RIPARIAN BUFFER RESTORATION FUND. Applicants who choose to satisfy some or all of their mitigation requirement by paying a compensatory mitigation fee to the Riparian Buffer Restoration Fund shall meet the requirements of Rule .0269 of this Section. Payment made to the NC Division of Mitigation Services (DMS) [Ecosystem Enhancement Program (the Program)] shall be contingent upon acceptance of the payment by

1	the DMS. Progr	am.] The DMS Programshall consider their financial, temporal, and technical ability to satisfy the	
2	mitigation request to determine whether they shall accept or deny the request.		
3	(k) DONATION	N OF PROPERTY. Applicants who choose to satisfy their mitigation requirement by donating real	
4	property or an i	nterest in real property to fully or partially offset an approved payment into the Riparian Buffer	
5	Restoration Fund	d pursuant to Paragraph (j) of this Rule shall do so in accordance with 15A NCAC 02R .0403.	
6	(l) MITIGATIO	ON SITE REQUIREMENTS FOR APPLICANTS AND MITIGATION PROVIDERS. For each	
7	mitigation site p	proposed by an applicant or mitigation provider under Paragraphs (n) or (o), the Authority shall	
8	identify function	al criteria to measure the anticipated benefits of the mitigation to the adjacent water. The Authority	
9	shall issue a mit	igation determination that specifies the area, type, and location of mitigation and the water quality	
10	benefits to be pro	ovided by the mitigation site. All mitigation proposals shall meet the following criteria:	
11	<u>(1)</u>	The location of the buffer mitigation site shall comply with the requirements of Paragraphs (e),	
12		(f), (f) and (g) of this Rule. In the Catawba watershed, buffer mitigation may be done along the	
13		lake shoreline as well as along intermittent and perennial stream channels throughout the	
14		watershed.	
15	(2)	The mitigation proposal shall include a commitment to provide:	
16		(A) a perpetual conservation easement or similar preservation mechanism to ensure perpetual	
17		stewardship that protects the mitigation site's nutrient removal and other water quality	
18		functions;	
19		(B) a non-wasting endowment or other dedicated financial surety to provide for the perpetual	
20		land management and hydrological maintenance of lands [or] and maintenance of	
21		structures as appropriate; [structures;] and	
22		(C) financial assurance in the form of a completion bond, credit insurance, letter of credit,	
23		escrow, or other vehicle acceptable to the Authority payable to, or for the benefit of, the	
24		Authority in an amount sufficient to ensure that the property is secured in fee title or by	
25		easement, and that planting or construction, monitoring and maintenance are completed	
26		as necessary to meet success criteria as specified in the approved mitigation plan. This	
27		financial assurance obligation shall not apply to the NC Division of Mitigation Services.	
28		[Ecosystem Enhancement Program.]	
29	(3)	Diffuse flow of runoff shall be maintained in the riparian buffer. Any existing impervious cover	
30		or stormwater conveyances such as ditches, pipes, or drain tiles shall be eliminated and the flow	
31		converted to diffuse flow. If the applicant or mitigation provider determines that elimination of	
32		existing stormwater conveyances is not feasible, then they shall include a justification and shall	
33		provide a delineation of the watershed draining to the stormwater outfall and the percentage of the	
34		total drainage by area treated by the riparian buffer with the mitigation plan specified in Paragraph	
35		(n) or Paragraph (o) for Authority approval. During mitigation plan review and approval the	
36		Division may reduce credit proportionally.	

1	<u>(4)</u>	Sewer easement within the buffer. If the proposed mitigation site contains a sewer easement in
2		Zone 1, that portion of the sewer easement within Zone 1 is not suitable for buffer mitigation
3		credit. If the proposed mitigation site contains a sewer easement in Zone 2, the portion of the
4		sewer easement in Zone 2 may be suitable for buffer mitigation credit if:
5		(A) the applicant or mitigation provider restores or enhances the forested buffer in Zone 1
6		adjacent to the sewer easement;
7		(B) the sewer easement is required to be maintained in a condition that meets the vegetative
8		requirements of the collection system permit; and
9		(C) diffuse flow is provided across the entire buffer width.
10	<u>(5)</u>	The [applicant or] mitigation provider shall provide a site specific credit/debit ledger to the
11		Authority at regular intervals as specified in the mitigation plan approval or Mitigation Banking
12		Instrument once credits are established and until they are exhausted.
13	(6)	Projects that have been constructed and are within the required monitoring period on the effective
14		date of this Rule are eligible for use as buffer mitigation sites. Projects that have completed
15		monitoring and were released by the Division on or before within the past ten years of the
16		effective date of this Rule [are] may be eligible for use as a buffer mitigation site. [for a period of
17		10 years from the effective date of this Rule.] All mitigation site proposals submitted under this
18		Subparagraph shall meet the requirements set out in Paragraphs (l) and (m) of this Rule and the
19		requirements set out in the named Paragraph or Subparagraph addressing that applicable
19 20		mitigation type or option, as well as the following;
20		mitigation type or option, as well as the following;
20 21		mitigation type or option, as well as the following; (A) A map or maps of the proposed mitigation site;
202122		mitigation type or option, as well as the following; (A) A map or maps of the proposed mitigation site; (B) Documentation of pre-existing conditions showing that the mitigation site met the criteria
20212223		mitigation type or option, as well as the following; (A) A map or maps of the proposed mitigation site; (B) Documentation of pre-existing conditions showing that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as a restoration site or enhancement site as defined in Paragraph (b) of this
20 21 22 23 24		mitigation type or option, as well as the following; (A) A map or maps of the proposed mitigation site; (B) Documentation of pre-existing conditions showing that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as a restoration site or enhancement site as defined in Paragraph (b) of this Rule, or that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as an alternative mitigation site
20 21 22 23 24 25		mitigation type or option, as well as the following; (A) A map or maps of the proposed mitigation site; (B) Documentation of pre-existing conditions showing that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as a restoration site or enhancement site as defined in Paragraph (b) of this Rule, or that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as an alternative mitigation site as specified in the Paragraph (o);
20 21 22 23 24 25 26		mitigation type or option, as well as the following: (A) A map or maps of the proposed mitigation site: (B) Documentation of pre-existing conditions showing that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as a restoration site or enhancement site as defined in Paragraph (b) of this Rule, or that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as an alternative mitigation site as specified in the Paragraph (o): (C) Documentation of the activities that were conducted at the buffer mitigation site to meet
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27		mitigation type or option, as well as the following; (A) A map or maps of the proposed mitigation site; (B) Documentation of pre-existing conditions showing that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as a restoration site or enhancement site as defined in Paragraph (b) of this Rule, or that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as an alternative mitigation site as specified in the Paragraph (o); (C) Documentation of the activities that were conducted at the buffer mitigation site to meet success criteria identified in the applicable Paragraph or Subparagraph; and
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		mitigation type or option, as well as the following; (A) A map or maps of the proposed mitigation site; (B) Documentation of pre-existing conditions showing that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as a restoration site or enhancement site as defined in Paragraph (b) of this Rule, or that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as an alternative mitigation site as specified in the Paragraph (o): (C) Documentation of the activities that were conducted at the buffer mitigation site to meet success criteria identified in the applicable Paragraph or Subparagraph; and (D) Documentation that the project met the success criteria identified in the applicable
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29		mitigation type or option, as well as the following; (A) A map or maps of the proposed mitigation site; (B) Documentation of pre-existing conditions showing that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as a restoration site or enhancement site as defined in Paragraph (b) of this Rule, or that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as an alternative mitigation site as specified in the Paragraph (o); (C) Documentation of the activities that were conducted at the buffer mitigation site to meet success criteria identified in the applicable Paragraph or Subparagraph; and (D) Documentation that the project met the success criteria identified in the applicable Paragraph or Subparagraph.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	<u>(7)</u>	mitigation type or option, as well as the following: (A) A map or maps of the proposed mitigation site; (B) Documentation of pre-existing conditions showing that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as a restoration site or enhancement site as defined in Paragraph (b) of this Rule, or that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as an alternative mitigation site as specified in the Paragraph (o): (C) Documentation of the activities that were conducted at the buffer mitigation site to meet success criteria identified in the applicable Paragraph or Subparagraph; and (D) Documentation that the project met the success criteria identified in the applicable Paragraph or Subparagraph. Buffer mitigation sites shall receive credit in accordance with the criteria set forth Paragraph (m)
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	<u>(7)</u>	mitigation type or option, as well as the following: (A) A map or maps of the proposed mitigation site: (B) Documentation of pre-existing conditions showing that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as a restoration site or enhancement site as defined in Paragraph (b) of this Rule, or that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as an alternative mitigation site as specified in the Paragraph (o): (C) Documentation of the activities that were conducted at the buffer mitigation site to meet success criteria identified in the applicable Paragraph or Subparagraph; and (D) Documentation that the project met the success criteria identified in the applicable Paragraph or Subparagraph. Buffer mitigation sites shall receive credit in accordance with the criteria set forth Paragraph (m) and Subparagraph (n)(1).
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	<u>(7)</u>	mitigation type or option, as well as the following; (A) A map or maps of the proposed mitigation site; (B) Documentation of pre-existing conditions showing that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as a restoration site or enhancement site as defined in Paragraph (b) of this Rule, or that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as an alternative mitigation site as specified in the Paragraph (o); (C) Documentation of the activities that were conducted at the buffer mitigation site to meet success criteria identified in the applicable Paragraph or Subparagraph; and (D) Documentation that the project met the success criteria identified in the applicable Paragraph or Subparagraph. Buffer mitigation sites shall receive credit in accordance with the criteria set forth Paragraph (m) and Subparagraph (n)(1). Buffer mitigation credit, nutrient offset credit, wetland mitigation credit, and stream mitigation
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	<u>(7)</u>	mitigation type or option, as well as the following: (A) A map or maps of the proposed mitigation site: (B) Documentation of pre-existing conditions showing that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as a restoration site or enhancement site as defined in Paragraph (b) of this Rule, or that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as an alternative mitigation site as specified in the Paragraph (o); (C) Documentation of the activities that were conducted at the buffer mitigation site to meet success criteria identified in the applicable Paragraph or Subparagraph; and (D) Documentation that the project met the success criteria identified in the applicable Paragraph or Subparagraph. Buffer mitigation sites shall receive credit in accordance with the criteria set forth Paragraph (m) and Subparagraph (n)(1). Buffer mitigation credit, nutrient offset credit, wetland mitigation credit, and stream mitigation credit shall be accounted for in accordance with the following:
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	(7)	mitigation type or option, as well as the following: (A) A map or maps of the proposed mitigation site; (B) Documentation of pre-existing conditions showing that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as a restoration site or enhancement site as defined in Paragraph (b) of this Rule, or that the mitigation site met the criteria to qualify as an alternative mitigation site as specified in the Paragraph (o); (C) Documentation of the activities that were conducted at the buffer mitigation site to meet success criteria identified in the applicable Paragraph or Subparagraph; and (D) Documentation that the project met the success criteria identified in the applicable Paragraph or Subparagraph. Buffer mitigation sites shall receive credit in accordance with the criteria set forth Paragraph (m) and Subparagraph (n)(1). Buffer mitigation credit, nutrient offset credit, wetland mitigation credit, and stream mitigation credit shall be accounted for in accordance with the following: (A) Buffer mitigation used for buffer mitigation credit shall not be used for nutrient offset

	<u>(C)</u>	Buffer mitigation credit may be generated on stream mitigation sites as long as the width
		of the restored or enhanced riparian buffer meets the requirements of Subparagraph
•		(n)(1).

(m) RIPARIAN BUFFER MITIGATION UNITS. Mitigation activities shall generate riparian buffer mitigation units as follows:

Mitigation Activity	Square Feet of Mitigation Buffer	Riparian Buffer Mitigation Units Generated
Restoration Site	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
Enhancement Site	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>
Preservation Site on Non-Subject Urban Streams	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>
Preservation Site on Subject Urban Streams	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>
Preservation Site on Non-Subject Rural Streams	<u>5</u>	<u>1</u>
Preservation Site on Subject Rural Streams	<u>10</u>	1

(i)(n) RIPARIAN BUFFER RESTORATION <u>SITE</u> OR <u>ENHANCEMENT SITE</u>. <u>ENHANCEMENT</u>. <u>Division Authority</u> staff shall make an on-site determination as to whether a potential mitigation site qualifies as a restoration <u>site</u> or enhancement site as defined in Paragraph (b) of this Rule. Riparian buffer restoration <u>sites</u> or enhancement sites shall meet the following requirements:

(1) Buffer restoration <u>sites</u> or enhancement <u>sites</u> may be proposed as follows:

Urba :	<mark>n Areas</mark>
	Proposed
Buffer width (ft)	Percentage
	of Full Credit
Less than 20	0 %
20-29	75 %
30-100	100 %
101 200 ^A 101-	50 % ^ 33%

Non-U	<mark>rban Areas</mark>
Buffer width (ft)	Proposed Percentage of Full Credit
Less than 20	0 %
20-29	0 %
30-100	100 %
101-200- ^A	50 %-^

The area of the [buffer] mitigation site beyond 100 linear feet from the top of bank shall comprise no more than 10 percent of the total area of [buffer] mitigation.

(2) The location of the restoration or enhancement shall comply with the requirements of Paragraphs (e), (f), and (g) of this Rule. In the Catawba watershed, buffer mitigation may be done along the lake shoreline as well as along intermittent and perennial stream channels throughout the watershed.

Oiffuse flow of runoff shall be maintained in the riparian buffer. Any existing impervious cover or stormwater conveyances such as ditches, pipes, or drain tiles shall be eliminated and the flow converted to diffuse flow. If elimination of existing stormwater conveyances is not feasible, then the applicant or mitigation provider shall provide a delineation of the watershed draining to the stormwater outfall and the percentage of the total drainage treated by the riparian buffer for Division approval; the Division may reduce credit proportionally.

(4)(2) The applicant or mitigation provider shall submit to the Authority a restoration or enhancement mitigation plan for written approval by the Division. The restoration or enhancement plan shall

1		1	(1) (1 - 1 (2) - (4 (1 - 1 (2) - 1 (2) - (4 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1
1			trate compliance with the requirements of Subparagraphs (1) through (3) of this Paragraph
2			ragraphs (I) and (m) and shall also contain the following: following in addition to the
3			A man of the preposed restoration or enhancement site:
4		(A)	A map of the proposed restoration or enhancement site;
5		(B)	A vegetation plan that shall detail the activities proposed to ensure a final performance
6			standard of 260 stems per acre at the completion of monitoring. The final performance
7			standard shall include a minimum of four native hardwood tree species or four native
8			hardwood tree and native shrub species, where no one species is greater than 50 percent
9			of stems. established stems, established [planted] at a density sufficient to provide 260
10			stems per acre at the completion of monitoring. Native hardwood and native shrub
11			volunteer species may be included to meet the final performance standards. standard of
12			260 stems per acre. The Division Authority may approve alternative vegetation plans
13			upon consideration of factors, including site wetness and plant availability to meet the
14			requirements of this Part;
15		(C)	A grading plan (if applicable). The site shall be graded in a manner to ensure diffuse
16			flow through the entire riparian buffer;
17		(D)	A schedule for implementation, including a fertilization and herbicide plan if applicable;
18			and
19		(E)	A monitoring plan plan, including monitoring of vegetative success to document whether
20			the site is expected to meet the final performance standards as defined in Part (n)(2)(B)
21			and other anticipated benefits to the adjacent water as listed in the authorization
22			certification. The plan shall include monitoring the vegetative status of the restoration or
23			enhancement site for five years, including the health and average stem densities of native
24			hardwood tree or tree and shrub species that are to be counted toward the final
25			performance standard.
26	(5) (3)	Within	one year after the Division has approved the restoration or enhancement Authority
27		approva	d of the mitigation plan, the applicant or mitigation provider shall present documentation
28			Pivision Authority that the riparian buffer has been restored or enhanced unless the Division
29			ty agrees in writing prior to that date to a longer time period, period due to the necessity
30			nger construction period.
31	(6)		tigation area shall be placed under a perpetual conservation easement or similar legal
32	,		on mechanism to provide for protection of the property's nutrient removal and other water
33		-	functions.
34	(7) (4)		plicant or mitigation provider shall submit written annual reports reports, unless an
35	\ · / <u>\ · · /</u>		ive schedule has been approved during the mitigation plan approval, for a period of five
36			fter completion of the activities identified in Part (n)(2)(B) at the restoration site or
37		•	ement site has been conducted showing showing:
31		Cimanet	ment site into even conducted snowing snowing.

2	monitoring plan approved pursuant to Part (n)(2)(E) of this Rule; and
3	[(B) whether the vegetation of the site is expected to meet] are meeting success criteria; and
4	[(C)](B) that diffuse flow through the riparian buffer has been maintained.
5	The If the Authority determines that the native hardwood tree or tree and shrub species at the site are not
6	expected to meet the final performance standards listed in Part (n)(2)(B), then the Authority may require
7	that the applicant or mitigation provider shall replace trees or trees and shrubs and restore diffuse flow if as
8	needed during that five-year period. If the Authority determines that diffuse flow through the buffer is not
9	being maintained, then the Authority may require that the applicant or mitigation provider restore diffuse
10	flow. If the Authority determines that the [objectives] final performance standards listed in Part (n)(2)(B)
11	[identified in this Paragraph] have not been achieved at the end of the five-year monitoring period the
12	Authority may require additional Additional years of monitoring. monitoring may be required if the
13	objectives under Paragraph (i) have not been achieved at the end of the five year monitoring period.
14	(8) The mitigation provider shall provide a site specific credit/debit ledger to the Division at regular
15	intervals once credits are established and until they are exhausted.
16	(9) The mitigation provider shall provide a completion bond that is payable to the Division sufficient
17	to ensure that land purchase, construction, monitoring, and maintenance are completed. A non-
18	wasting endowment or other financial mechanism for perpetual maintenance and protection shall
19	be provided.
20	(j) PURCHASE OF BUFFER MITIGATION CREDITS FROM A PRIVATE OR PUBLIC MITIGATION BANK.
21	Applicants who choose to satisfy some or all of their mitigation by purchasing mitigation credits from a private or
22	public mitigation bank shall meet the following requirements:
23	(1) The mitigation bank from which credits are purchased is listed on the Division's webpage
24	(http://portal.ncdenr.org/web/wq/swp/ws/401) and has available riparian buffer credits;
25	(2) The mitigation bank from which credits are purchased shall be located as described in Paragraphs
26	(e), (f), and (g) of this Rule; and
27	(3) After receiving a mitigation acceptance letter from the mitigation provider, proof of payment for
28	the credits shall be provided to the Division prior to any activity that results in the removal or
29	degradation of the protected riparian buffer.
30	(k) PAYMENT TO THE RIPARIAN BUFFER RESTORATION FUND. Applicants who choose to satisfy some
31	or all of their mitigation determination by paying a compensatory mitigation fee to the Riparian Buffer Restoration
32	Fund shall meet the requirements of Rule .0269 of this Section. Payment made to the NC Ecosystem Enhancement
33	Program (the Program) shall be contingent upon acceptance of the payment by the Program. The Program shall
34	consider their financial, temporal, and technical ability to satisfy the mitigation request to determine whether they
35	shall accept or deny the request.

(A) that [the survival of] the trees or tree and shrub species planted; compliance with the

1	(I) DONATION (OF PROPERTY. Applicants who choose to satisfy their mitigation determination by donating real
2	property or an int	terest in real property to fully or partially offset an approved payment into the Riparian Buffer
3	Restoration Fund	pursuant to Paragraph (k) of this Rule shall meet the following requirements:
4	(1)	The value of the property interest shall be determined by an appraisal performed in accordance
5	3	with Part (l)(4)(D) of this Rule. The donation shall satisfy the mitigation determination if the
6	ŧ	appraised value of the donated property interest is equal to or greater than the required fee. If the
7	ŧ	appraised value of the donated property interest is less than the required fee calculated pursuant to
8	1	Rule .0269 of this Section, the applicant shall pay the remaining balance due.
9	(2)	The donation of real property interests shall be granted in perpetuity.
10	(3)	Donation of real property interests to satisfy the full or partial payments under Paragraph (k) shall
11	1	be accepted only if such property meets the following requirements:
12	•	(A) The property shall be suitable for restoration or enhancement to successfully produce
13		viable riparian buffer compensatory mitigation credits in accordance with Paragraph (i)
14		of this Rule or the property shall be suitable for preservation to successfully produce
15		viable riparian buffer compensatory mitigation credits in accordance with Part (m)(2)(C)
16		of this Rule;
17	•	(B) The property shall be located in an area where the Program may reasonably utilize the
18		credits, based on historical or projected use, to offset compensatory mitigation
19		requirements;
20	•	(C) The estimated cost of restoring or enhancing and maintaining the property shall not
21		exceed the projected mitigation credit value of the property minus land acquisition costs,
22		except where the applicant supplies additional funds acceptable to the Program for
23		restoration or enhancement and maintenance of the buffer;
24	•	(D) The property shall not contain any building, structure, object, site, or district that is listed
25		in the National Register of Historic Places established pursuant to Public Law 89 665, 16
26		U.S.C. 470 as amended;
27	•	(E) The property shall not contain any hazardous substance or solid waste such that water
28		quality may be adversely impacted, unless the hazardous substance or solid waste can be
29		properly remediated before the interest is transferred;
30	•	(F) The property shall not contain structures or materials that present health or safety
31		concerns to the general public. If wells, septic, water, or sewer connections exist, they
32		shall be filled, remediated or closed at owner's expense in accordance with state and local
33		health and safety regulations before the interest is transferred. Sewer connections in Zone
34		2 may be allowed for projects in accordance with Part (m)(2)(E) of this Rule;
35	•	(G) The property and adjacent properties shall not have prior, current, or known future land
36		use that may jeopardize the functions of the compensatory mitigation;

1	(H)——The property shall not have any encumbrances or conditions that are inconsistent with the
2	requirements of this Rule or purposes of Rules .0233, .0243, .0250, .0259, .0267 or .0607
3	of this Subchapter;
4	(I) Fee simple title to the property or a perpetual conservation easement on the property shall
5	be donated to the State of North Carolina, a local government, or a qualified holder under
6	N.C. General Statute 121 34 et seq. and 26 USC 170(h) of the Internal Revenue Code as
7	approved by the Department and the donee; and
8	(J) The donation shall be accompanied by a non-wasting endowment or other financial
9	mechanism for perpetual maintenance and protection sufficient to ensure perpetual long-
10	term monitoring and maintenance. However, when a local government has donated a
11	perpetual conservation easement and entered into a binding intergovernmental agreement
12	with the Program to manage and protect the property consistent with the terms of the
13	perpetual conservation easement, that local government shall not be required to provide a
14	non-wasting endowment.
15	(4) At the expense of the applicant or donor, the following information shall be submitted to the
16	Program with any proposal for donations or dedications of interest in real property:
17	(A) Documentation that the property meets the requirements of Subparagraph (1)(3) of this
18	Rule;
19	(B) A US Geological Survey 1:24,000 (7.5 minute) scale topographic map, county tax map,
20	USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service County Soil Survey Map, and county road
21	map showing the location of the property to be donated, along with information on
22	existing site conditions, vegetation types, presence of existing structures, and easements;
23	(C) A current property survey performed in accordance with the procedures of the North
24	Carolina Department of Administration, State Property Office as identified by the State
25	Board of Registration for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors as set forth in 21
26	NCAC 56 .1600.
27	(D) A current appraisal of the value of the property performed in accordance with the
28	procedures of the North Carolina Department of Administration, State Property Office as
29	identified by the Appraisal Board as set forth in 21 NCAC 57A .0501; and
30	(E) A complete attorney's report on title with a title commitment for policy in the name of the
31	State of North Carolina in the dollar amount of the appraised value.
32	(m)(o) ALTERNATIVE BUFFER MITIGATION OPTIONS. Some or all of a buffer mitigation requirement may
33	be met through any of the alternative mitigation options described in this Paragraph. Any proposal for alternative
34	mitigation shall meet the requirements of Paragraphs (c), (e), (f)(1), and (g)(m) of this Rule, Rule and the
35	requirements set out in the named Subparagraph addressing that option: option, and the following requirements:
36	(1) Any proposal for alternative mitigation shall be provided in writing to the Division and shall meet
37	the following content and procedural requirements for approval by the Division:

1		(A) Projects that have been constructed and are within the required monitoring period on the
2		effective date of this Rule are eligible for use as alternative buffer mitigation. Projects
3		that have completed monitoring and released by the Division on or before the effective
4		date of this Rule are eligible for use as alternative buffer mitigation for a period of 16
5		years from the effective date of this Rule;
6		(B) The mitigation area shall be placed under a perpetual conservation easement or similar
7		legal protection mechanism to provide for protection of the property's nutrient remova
8		and other water quality functions; and
9		(C) A completion bond payable to the Division sufficient to ensure that land purchase
10		construction, monitoring, and maintenance are completed.
11		(D) A non-wasting endowment or other financial mechanism for perpetual maintenance and
12		protection shall be provided.
13	(2)	ALTERNATIVE BUFFER MITIGATION NON STRUCTURAL, VEGETATIVE OPTIONS
14	(A) (1)	Coastal Headwater Stream Mitigation. Wooded buffers planted along Outer Coastal Plain
15		headwater stream mitigation sites may <u>also</u> be approved as riparian buffer mitigation <u>credit</u> as long
16		as if the site meets all applicable requirements of Paragraph (i)(n) of this Rule. In addition, al
17		success criteria including woody species, stem density, diffuse flow, and stream success criteria
18		specified in the approval of the stream mitigation site by the Division in any required written
19		approval of the site shall be met. The area of the buffer shall be measured perpendicular to the
20		length of the valley being restored. The area within the proposed buffer mitigation site shall no
21		also be used as wetland mitigation. The applicant or mitigation provider shall monitor the site for
22		at least five years from the date of planting by providing and provide annual reports for written
23		Division approval.
24	(B) (2)	Buffer Restoration and Enhancement on Non-Subject Streams. Restoration or enhancement of
25		buffers may be conducted on intermittent or perennial streams that are not subject to the applicable
26		riparian buffer rules Rules .0233, .0243, .0250, .0259, .0267, .0607 of this Subchapter. These
27		streams shall be confirmed as intermittent or perennial streams by Division staff certified per G.S
28		143-214.25A using the Division publication, Methodology for Identification of Intermittent and
29		Perennial Streams and Their Origins (v.4.11, 2010) available at no cost a
30		http://portal.ncdenr.org/web/wq/swp/ws/401/waterresources/streamdeterminations. The proposal
31		shall meet all applicable requirements of Paragraph (i)(n) of this Rule.
32	(C) (3)	Preservation of Buffer on Non-subject streams. Preservation of buffers on intermittent of
33		perennial streams that are not subject to the applicable riparian buffer rules Rules .0233, .0243
34		.0250, .0259, .0267, .0607 of this Subchapter may be proposed in order to permanently protect the
35		buffer from cutting, clearing, filling, grading, and similar activities that would affect the
36		functioning of the buffer. These streams shall be confirmed as intermittent or perennial streams by
37		Division staff certified per G.S. 143-214.25A using the Division publication, Methodology for

1		Identification of Intermittent and Perennial Streams and Their Origins (v.4.11, 2010). The
2		preservation site shall meet the requirements of Subparagraph (n)(1) and the requirements set forth
3		in 15A NCAC 02R .0403(c)(7), (8), and (11). Subparagraphs (i)(1), (i)(3), (i)(6) and Parts
4		(l)(3)(D), (E), (F), (H) and (J) of this Rule. Preservation shall be proposed only when restoration
5		or enhancement with an area at least equal to the footprint of the buffer impact has been proposed.
6		The area of preservation credit within a buffer mitigation site shall comprise of no more than 25
7		percent of the total area of buffer mitigation.
8	(D) (4)	Preservation of Buffers on Subject Streams. Buffer preservation may be proposed on streams that
9		are subject to the applicable riparian buffer Rules .0233, .0243, .0250, .0259, .0267, .0607 of this
10		Subchapter in order to permanently protect the buffer from cutting, clearing, filling, grading, and
11		similar activities that would affect the functioning of the buffer beyond the protection afforded by
12		the existing buffer rules on sites that meet the definition of a preservation site. site along streams,
13		estuaries, or ponds that are subject to buffer rules. The preservation site shall meet the
14		requirements of Subparagraph (n)(1) and the requirements set forth in 15A NCAC 02R
15		.0403(c)(7), (8), and (11). Subparagraphs (i)(1), (i)(3), (i)(6) and Parts (l)(3)(D), (E), (F), (H) and
16		(J) of this Rule. Preservation shall be proposed only when restoration or enhancement of an area
17		at least equal to the footprint of the buffer impact has been proposed. The area of preservation
18		credit within a buffer mitigation site shall comprise of no more than 25 percent of the total area of
19		buffer mitigation.
20	(E)	Sewer easement within the buffer. If the proposed mitigation site contains a sewer easement in
21		Zone 1, that portion of the sewer easement within Zone 1 is not suitable for buffer mitigation. If
22		the proposed mitigation site contains a sewer easement in Zone 2, the portion of the sewer
23		easement in Zone 2 may be suitable for buffer mitigation if:
24		(i) the applicant or mitigation provider restores or enhances the forested buffer in
25		Zone 1 adjacent to the sewer easement;
26		(ii) the sewer easement is at least 30 feet wide;
27		(iii) the sewer easement is required to be maintained in a condition that meets the
28		vegetative requirements of the collection system permit; and
29		(iv) diffuse flow is provided across the entire buffer width.
30		The proposal shall meet all applicable requirements of Paragraph (i) of this Rule for
31		restoration or enhancement. The proposal shall meet all applicable requirements of Part
32		(m)(2)(C) of this Rule for preservation.
33	(F) (5)	Enhancement of grazing areas adjacent to streams. Buffer credit at a 2:1 ratio shall be available
34		for an applicant or mitigation provider who proposes permanent exclusion of grazing livestock
35		that otherwise degrade the stream and riparian zone through trampling, grazing, or waste
36		deposition by fencing the livestock out of the stream and its adjacent buffer. The applicant or
37		mitigation provider shall provide an enhancement plan as set forth in Paragraph (i).(n). The

applicant or mitigation provider shall demonstrate that grazing was the predominant land use since the effective date of the applicable buffer rule.

(G)(6) Mitigation on ephemeral channels. For purposes of riparian buffer mitigation as described in this Part, an "ephemeral channel" is defined as a natural channel exhibiting discernible banks within a topographic crenulation (V-shaped contour lines) indicative of natural drainage on the 1:24,000 scale (7.5 minute) quadrangle topographic map prepared by the U.S. Geologic Survey, or as seen on digital elevation models with contours developed from the most recent available LiDAR data. data available at no cost at http://www.ncfloodmaps.com/lidar.com. Ephemeral channels only flow for a short period of time after precipitation in the immediate area and do not have periods of base flow sustained by groundwater discharge. The applicant or mitigation provider shall provide a delineation of the watershed draining to the ephemeral channel. The entire area proposed for mitigation shall be within the contributing drainage area to the ephemeral channel. The ephemeral channel shall be directly connected to an intermittent or perennial stream and contiguous with the rest of the mitigation site protected under a perpetual conservation easement. The area of the mitigation site on ephemeral channels shall comprise no more than 25 percent of the total area of buffer mitigation. The proposal shall meet all applicable requirements of Paragraph (i)(n) of this Rule for restoration or enhancement. The proposal shall meet all applicable requirements of Part $\frac{(m)(2)(C)}{(o)(3)}$ or $\frac{(o)(4)}{(o)(4)}$ of this Rule for preservation.

(H)(7) Restoration and Enhancement on Ditches. For purposes of riparian buffer mitigation as described in this Part, a "ditch" is defined as a man-made channel other than a modified natural stream that was constructed for drainage purposes. To be used for mitigation, a ditch shall meet all of the following criteria:

- (i)(A) be directly connected with and draining towards an intermittent or perennial stream;
- (ii)(B) be contiguous with the rest of the mitigation site protected under a perpetual conservation easement;
- (iii)(C) stormwater runoff from overland flow shall drain towards the ditch;

- (iv)(D) be between one and three feet in depth; and
- (v)(E) the entire length of the ditch shall have been in place prior to the effective date of the applicable buffer rule.

The width of the restored or enhanced area shall not be less than 30 feet and shall not exceed 50 feet for crediting purposes. The applicant or mitigation provider shall provide a delineation of the watershed draining to the ditch. The watershed draining to the ditch shall be at least four times larger than the restored or enhanced area along the ditch. The perpetual conservation easement shall include the ditch and the confluence of the ditch with the intermittent or perennial stream, and provide language that prohibits future maintenance of the ditch. The proposal shall meet all applicable requirements of Paragraph (i)(n) of this Rule for restoration or enhancement.

1	(3) (8)	ALTER	NATIVE BUFFER STORMWATER TREATMENT OPTIONS Stormwater Treatment
2		Options	. All stormwater treatment options shall meet the following requirements:
3		(A)	For all structural options: Riparian buffer restoration or enhancement is required with an
4			area at least equal to the footprint of the buffer impact, and the remaining mitigation
5			resulting from the multipliers may be met through structural options;
6		(<u>B)(A)</u>	Structural measures already required by other local, state or federal rule or permit cannot
7			be used as alternative buffer mitigation, mitigation credit, except to the extent such
8			measure(s) exceed the requirements of such rule or permit. Stormwater Best Management
9			Practices (BMPs), including bioretention facilities, constructed wetlands, infiltration
10			devices and sand filter are all potentially approvable (BMPs) by the Division for
11			alternative buffer mitigation. mitigation credit. Other BMPs may be approved only if they
12			meet the nutrient removal levels outlined in Part (3)(C)(8)(B) of this Subparagraph.
13			Existing or planned BMPs for a local, state, or federal rule or permit may be retrofitted or
14			expanded to improve their nutrient removal if this level of treatment would not be
15			required by other local, state, or federal rules. In this case, the predicted increase in
16			nutrient removal may be counted toward alternative buffer mitigation; mitigation credit;
17		(C)(B)	Minimum treatment levels: Any structural BMP shall provide at least 30 percent total
18			nitrogen and 35 percent total phosphorus removal as demonstrated by a scientific and
19			engineering literature review as approved by the Division. The mitigation proposal shall
20			demonstrate that the proposed alternative removes an equal or greater annual mass load
21			of nutrients to surface waters as the buffer impact authorized in the authorization
22			certificate or variance, following the calculation of impact and mitigation areas pursuant
23			to Paragraphs (d), (e), and (f) of this Rule. To estimate the rate of nutrient removal of the
24			impacted buffer, the applicant or mitigation provider shall use the NC Division of Water
25			Quality - Methodology and Calculation for determining nutrient reductions associated
26			with Riparian Buffer Establishment available at no cost at
27			http://portal.ncdenr.org/c/document_library/get_file?uuid=55c3758f-5e27-46cf-8237-
28			47f890d9329a&groupId=38364. a method previously approved by the Division. The
29			applicant or mitigation provider may propose an alternative method of estimating the rate
30			of nutrient removal for consideration and review by the Division;
31		(D) (C)	All proposed structural BMPs shall follow the Division's 2009 Stormwater Best
32			Management Practice Design Manual available at no cost at
33			http://portal.ncdenr.org/web/lr/bmp-manual. If a specific proposed structural BMP is not
34			addressed in this Manual, the applicant or mitigation provider shall follow Chapter 20 in
35			this Manual for approval;
36		(E)(D)	All structural options are required to have Division approved operation and maintenance
37			plans;

1		(F) (E)	All structural options are required to have continuous and perpetual maintenance and
2			shall follow the Division's 2009 Stormwater Best Management Practice Design Manual;
3		(G) (F)	Upon completion of construction, the designer for the type of BMP installed shall certify
4			that the system was inspected during construction and that the BMP was constructed in
5			substantial conformity with plans and specifications approved by the Division;
6		(H) (G)	Removal and replacement of structural options: If a structural option is proposed to be
7			removed and cannot be replaced on-site, then a structural or non-structural measure of
8			equal or better nutrient removal capacity in a location as specified by Paragraph (f) and
9			(g) of this Rule shall be constructed as a replacement;
10		(<u>H)(H)</u>	Renovation or repair of structural options: If a structural option must be renovated or
11			repaired, it shall be renovated to provide equal or better nutrient removal capacity than as
12			originally designed; and
13		(J) (<u>I)</u>	Structural options as well as their operation and maintenance are the responsibility of the
14			landowner or easement holder unless the Division gives written approval for another
15			responsible party to operate and maintain them. Structural options shall be located in
16			recorded drainage easements for the purposes of operation and maintenance and shall
17			have recorded access easements to the nearest public right-of-way. These easements
18			shall be granted in favor of the party responsible for operating and maintaining the
19			structure, with a note that operation and maintenance is the responsibility of the
20			landowner, easement holder or other responsible party. party; and
21		(K)	Bonding and endowment. A completion bond payable to the Division sufficient to
22			ensure that land purchase, construction, monitoring, and maintenance are completed and
23			a non-wasting endowment or other financial mechanism for perpetual maintenance and
24			protection shall be provided.
25	(4) (9)	[CASE	BY CASE APPROVAL FOR OTHER ALTERNATIVE BUFFER MITIGATION
26		OPTIO	NS. Other alternative riparian buffer mitigation options that have not been specified within
27		this Rul	e may be considered by submitted to the Division for review and recommendation to the
28		Environ	mental Management Commission on a case-by-case basis. basis [as long as the options]
29		otherwi :	se meet the requirements of this Rule.] Any proposal submitted under this paragraph shall
30		provide	documentation or calculations to demonstrate that the proposed alternative mitigation
31		option r	emoves an equal or greater annual mass load of nutrients to surface waters as a riparian
32		<mark>buffer.</mark>	[Prior] Upon completion of the Division's review, and prior to recommendation to the
33		Environ	mental Management [Commission] Commission, the Division shall issue a after 30-
34		calenda	day public notice through the Division's Water Quality Certification Mailing List in
35		<mark>accorda</mark>	nce with 15A NCAC 02H .0503 website and the DWRwetlands Listserve as long as the
36		options	otherwise meet the requirements of this Rule. Division staff shall present their
37		recomm	endations recommendations, including comments received during the public notice

1		[period] period, to the Environmental Management Commission for a final decision. decision with
2		respect to any proposal for [other] alternative buffer mitigation options not specified [described] in
3		this Rule. If approved by the Environmental Management Commission, the alternative buffer
4		mitigation option may be proposed by other applicants and mitigation providers.
5	(n) ACCOUN	FING FOR BUFFER CREDIT, NUTRIENT OFFSET CREDIT AND STREAM MITIGATION
6	CREDIT. Buffer	r mitigation credit, nutrient offset credit, wetland mitigation credit, and stream mitigation credit shall
7	be accounted for	in accordance with the following:
8	(1)	Buffer mitigation used for buffer mitigation credit shall not be used for nutrient offset credits;
9	(2)	Buffer mitigation or nutrient offset credit shall not be generated within wetlands that provide
10		wetland mitigation credit required by 15A NCAC 02H .0506; and
11	(3)	Either buffer mitigation or nutrient offset credit may be generated on stream mitigation sites as
12		long as the width of the restored or enhanced riparian buffer meets the requirements of
13		Subparagraph (i)(1).
14		
15	History Note:	Authority 143-214.1; 143-214.5; 143-214.7; 143-214.20; 143-215.3(a)(1); 143-215.6A; 143-
16		215.6B; 143-215.6C; 143-215.8A; 143-215.8B; 143-282(c); 143B-282(d); S.L. 1998-221; S.L.
17		1999-329, s. 7.1; S.L. 2001-418, s. 4.(a); S.L. 2003-340, s. 5; S.L. 2005-190; S.L. 2006-259; S.L.
18		2009-337; S.L. 2009-486; S.L. 2014-95;
19		Temporary Adoption Eff. October 24, 2014.
20		Amended Eff. September 1, 2015