

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

Mailing address: 6714 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-6700

Street address: 1711 New Hope Church Rd Raleigh, NC 27609-6285

November 21, 2019

Sue Hodgin, Rulemaking Coordinator North Carolina State Board of Opticians Sent via email only: shodgin@ncopticiansboard.org

Re: Continued Objection to Rule 21 NCAC 40 .0321

Dear Ms. Hodgin:

On November 21, 2019, the Rules Review Commission acted to continue its objection to 21 NCAC 40 .0321. The objection, originally entered on September 19, 2019, was as follows:

• The Commission objected to Rule .0321 for lack of statutory authority and ambiguity. Specifically, the Commission objected to ambiguity for failure to list the Board's factors for determining or provide a list of the "minimum equipment specified by the Board," the "curriculum approved by the board," "any test required by the Board," and for failure to delineate the scope or purpose of the onsite inspection requirement. The Commission objected for lack of statutory authority for failure to limit the Board's inspections to ensuring compliance with a training program.

Please respond to this objection in accordance with the provisions of G.S. 150B-21.12. If you have any questions regarding the Commission's action, please let me know.

Sincerely,

mela Ashlev B Snyder

Commission Counsel

cc: Catherine Lee; Nichols, Choi & Lee, PLLC

919/431-3000 fax:919/431-3100	Civil Rights Division 919/431-3036 fax: 919/431-3103
MAN 197 191-9100	2.000

An Equal Employment Opportunity Employer



STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

Mailing address: 6714 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-6700 Street address: 1711 New Hope Church Rd Raleigh, NC 27609-6285

October 3, 2019

Sue Hodgin, Rulemaking Coordinator North Carolina State Board of Opticians Sent via email only: shodgin@ncopticiansboard.org

Re: Extension of the Period of Review for Rules 21 NCAC 40 .0104, .0209, .0314, .0319, and .0325 and Objection to Rules 21 NCAC 40 .0109, .0321, and .0323

Dear Ms. Hodgin:

At its September meeting, the Rules Review Commission extended the period of review for Rules 21 NCAC 40 .0104, .0209, .0314, .0319, and .0325 at the request of the agency. Pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.13, when the Commission extends the period of review, it is required to approve or object to the rules or call a public hearing on the same within 70 days.

In addition, the Commission objected to Rules 21 NCAC 40 .0109, .0321, and .0323 in accordance with G.S. 150B-21.12 as follows:

- The Commission objected to Rule .0109 for lack of statutory authority, ambiguity, and necessity. Specifically, the Commission objected to Paragraph (b) for lack of statutory authority for use of the language "eligible to vote;" Paragraph (d) for ambiguity related to the make-up of the Election Committee; Paragraph (e) for ambiguity, lack of statutory authority, and necessity related to the nomination process; and Paragraph (f) for lack of statutory authority and necessity for requiring the governor to make appointments to Board vacancies.
- The Commission objected to Rule .0321 for lack of statutory authority and ambiguity. Specifically, the Commission objected to ambiguity for failure to list the Board's factors for determining or provide a list of the "minimum equipment specified by the Board," the "curriculum approved by the board," "any test required by the Board," and for failure to delineate the scope or purpose of the onsite inspection requirement. The Commission objected for lack of statutory authority for failure to limit the Board's inspections to ensuring compliance with a training program.

Administration 919/431-3000 fax:919/431-3100	Rules Division 919/431-3000 fax: 919/431-3104	Judges and Assistants 919/431-3000 fax: 919/431-3100	Clerk's Office 919/431-3000 fax: 919/431-3100	Rules Review Commission 919/431-3000 fax: 919/431-3104	Civil Rights Division 919/431-3036 fax: 919/431-3103
		iax. 717/+51-5100		Idx. 919/451-5104	Idx. 919/451-5105

An Equal Employment Opportunity Employer

• The Commission objected to Rule .0323 for ambiguity. Specifically, the Rule is unclear because it does not provide any guidance regarding under what circumstances the Board will conduct a background check. Additionally, the use of the term "applicants" is ambiguous.

Please respond to these objections in accordance with the provisions of G.S. 150B-21.12. If you have any questions regarding the Commission's actions, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Snyplen Ashley B. Snyder

Commission Counsel

cc: Catherine Lee; Nichols, Choi & Lee, PLLC

Burgos, Alexander N

Subject: Attachments:	FW: [External] RRC Request for Technical Changes 21 NCAC 40 .0101.docx; 21 NCAC 40 .0109.docx; 21 NCAC 40 .0212.docx; 21 NCAC 40 .0302.docx; 21 NCAC 40 .0303.docx; 21 NCAC 40 .0320.docx; Response to Request for Technical Changes 9.10.19.docx
Importance:	High

From: Sue Hodgin <<u>shodgin@ncopticiansboard.org</u>>
Sent: Tuesday, September 10, 2019 4:18 PM
To: Snyder, Ashley B <<u>ashley.snyder@oah.nc.gov</u>>
Cc: 'Catherine Lee' <<u>Catherine@ncl-law.com</u>>; 'Debbie Nowell' <<u>Debbie@ncl-law.com</u>>; 'Neet James'
<<u>njames@ncopticiansboard.org</u>>
Subject: [External] RRC Request for Technical Changes
Importance: High

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify. Send all suspicious email as an attachment to report.spam@nc.gov

Attached are 21 NCAC 40 .0101, .0109, .0212, .0302, .0303 and .0320, reviewed as specified in the Request for Technical Change for each, and the Request for Technical Change pages with answers to additional questions regarding these 6 rules.

As for 21 NCAC 40 .0104, .0209, .0314, .0319, .0321, .0323 and .0325, the NC State Board of Opticians requests an EXTENSION of time to continue working on those technical changes.

It's our understanding that we're to wait for you to let us know that we can deliver the rules' hard copies to OAH.

Please let us know if you have any questions regarding our submissions or our request for an extension. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sue M. Hodgin, Executive Director NC State Board of Opticians PO Box 6758 Raleigh, NC 27628 919-420-1390 office 919-420-1361 fax 910-477-1808 cell

Continuous effort - not strength or intelligence - is the key to unlocking our potential. - Winston Churchill

Email correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

Burgos, Alexander N

Subject: FW: [External] RRC Request for Technical Changes

From: Sue Hodgin <<u>shodgin@ncopticiansboard.org</u>>
Sent: Friday, September 13, 2019 10:50 AM
To: Snyder, Ashley B <<u>ashley.snyder@oah.nc.gov</u>>
Cc: 'Catherine Lee' <<u>Catherine@ncl-law.com</u>>; 'Debbie Nowell' <<u>Debbie@ncl-law.com</u>>; 'Neet James'
<<u>njames@ncopticiansboard.org</u>>
Subject: RE: [External] RRC Request for Technical Changes

CAUTION: External email. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify. Send all suspicious email as an attachment to <u>report.spam@nc.gov</u>

Thank you for your email on the procedures for the Rules noted in your discussion below, Ashley. I understand what you've explained insofar as .0109; I'm not counter-objecting to your objection, and am not intending to speak at the Sept 19th RRC meeting on the Staff opinion. I will be available at the meeting for any questions that may come up.

Reference .0321 and .0323, we are <u>not</u> seeking an extension on these two Rules – the Board does want the objections heard at the meeting next week.

Sue M. Hodgin, Executive Director NC State Board of Opticians PO Box 6758 Raleigh, NC 27628 919-420-1390 office 919-420-1361 fax 910-477-1808 cell

Continuous effort - not strength or intelligence - is the key to unlocking our potential. - Winston Churchill

RRC STAFF OPINION

PLEASE NOTE: THIS COMMUNICATION IS EITHER 1) ONLY THE RECOMMENDATION OF AN RRC STAFF ATTORNEY AS TO ACTION THAT THE ATTORNEY BELIEVES THE COMMISSION SHOULD TAKE ON THE CITED RULE AT ITS NEXT MEETING, OR 2) AN OPINION OF THAT ATTORNEY AS TO SOME MATTER CONCERNING THAT RULE. THE AGENCY AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ARE INVITED TO SUBMIT THEIR OWN COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (ACCORDING TO RRC RULES) TO THE COMMISSION.

AGENCY: State Board of Opticians RULE CITATION: 21 NCAC 40 .0321

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Approve, but note staff's comment

- X Object, based on:
 - X Lack of statutory authority
 - X Unclear or ambiguous

Unnecessary

Failure to comply with the APA

Extend the period of review

COMMENT:

Staff recommends objection for ambiguity because the Rule does not list the Board's factors for determining or provide a list of the "minimum equipment specified by the Board," the "curriculum approved by the Board", or "any test required by the Board." In Subparagraph (3), at line 12, the Rule does not define "periodic." In Subparagraph (4), the Board does not delineate the scope or purpose of the on-site inspection of training establishments, making the inspection requirement ambiguous.

Staff also recommends objection for lack of statutory authority. Pursuant to G.S. 90-237(4) and 90-240(a)(3), an optician, optometrist, or ophthalmologist may train apprentices or interns training to become opticians. The Board has rulemaking authority over the registration of apprentices and interns working under opticians and rulemaking authority over the registration and training of interns.

§ 90-243. Registration of places of business, apprentices.

The Board may adopt rules requiring, as a condition of dispensing, the registration of places of business where ophthalmic dispensing is engaged in, and for registration of apprentices and interns who are working under direct supervision of a licensed optician. The Board may also require that any information furnished to it as required by law or regulation be furnished under oath. (1951, c. 1089, s. 10; 1967, c. 691, s. 49; 1979, c. 166, s. 1; 1981, c. 600, s. 11.)

§ 90-249. Powers of the Board.

(a) The Board shall have the power to make rules, not inconsistent with this Article and the laws of the State of North Carolina, with respect to the following areas of the business of opticianry in North Carolina:

- (1) Misrepresentation to the public.
- (2) Baiting or deceptive advertising.
- (3) Continuing education of licensees.
- (4) Location of registrants in the State.
- (5) Registration of established optical places of business, but no rule restricting type or location of a business may be enacted.
- (6) Requiring photographs for purposes of identification of persons subject to this Article.
- (7) Content of licensure examination and reexamination.
- (8) Revocation, suspension, and reinstatement of licenses, probation, and reprimands of licensees, and other penalties.
- (9) Fees within the limits of G.S. 90-246.
- (10) Accreditation of schools of opticianry.
- (10a) Designation of accredited colleges, universities, and coursework that satisfy the qualifications for examination pursuant to G.S. 90-240(a1).
- (11) Registration and training of apprentices and interns.
- (12) Licenses and examinations pursuant to G.S. 90-241.

(b) through (d) Repealed by Session Laws 1997-424, s. 5. (1951, c. 1089, s. 16; 1953, c. 1041, s. 19; 1973, c. 1331, s. 3; 1977, c. 755, s. 6; 1981, c. 600, s. 16; 1987, c. 827,

s. 1; 1997-424, s. 5; 2016-117, s. 3(d).)

The Board's authority to regulate optometrists and ophthalmologists training apprentices and interns is limited by the following statute:

§ 90-253. Exemptions from Article.

Nothing in this Article shall be construed to apply to optometrists, or physicians trained in ophthalmology who are authorized to practice under the laws of this State, or to an unlicensed person working within the practice and under the direct supervision of the optometrist or physician trained in ophthalmology. An apprentice or intern registered with the Board and working under direct supervision of a licensed optician, optometrist or physician trained in ophthalmology will not be deemed to have engaged in opticianry by reason of performing acts defined as preparation and dispensing, provided the apprentice is in compliance with the rules of the Board respecting the training of apprentices.

As used in this section, "supervision" means the provision of general direction and control through immediate personal on-site inspection and evaluation of all work constituting the practice of opticianry and the provision of consultation and instruction by a licensed dispensing optician, except that on-site supervision is not required for minor adjustments or repairs to eyeglasses. (1951, c. 1089, s. 20; 1981, c. 600, s. 18.)

The Board has statutory authority over the training of apprentices and interns but does not have statutory authority over optometrists and ophthalmologists. As written, the Rule does not limit the Board's authority to ensuring compliance with a training program. The Rule imposes limitations on the businesses of optometrists and ophthalmologists used as training establishments. Staff recommends objection to Subparagraphs (1) and (4) for lack of statutory authority to require businesses of optometrists and ophthalmologists used as training establishments to have an unspecified list of equipment and be subject to inspections by the Board not limited in scope.

> Ashley Snyder Commission Counsel

REQUEST FOR TECHNICAL CHANGE

AGENCY: State Board of Opticians

RULE CITATION: 21 NCAC 40 .0321

DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT: September 10, 2019

<u>PLEASE NOTE:</u> This request may extend to several pages. Please be sure you have reached the end of the document.

The Rules Review Commission staff has completed its review of this Rule prior to the Commission's next meeting. The Commission has not yet reviewed this Rule and therefore there has not been a determination as to whether the Rule will be approved. You may call our office to inquire concerning the staff recommendation.

In reviewing this Rule, the staff recommends the following technical changes be made:

At line 4, please delete the space between 90-237 and (4).

What are the contents or substantive requirements of the application referenced in this Rule? Are the contents or substantive requirements of the application form in rule in accordance with G.S. 150B-2(8a)(d)?

At line 6, please change "must" to "shall."

In (1), what is included in the "minimum equipment specified by the Board?"

At line 10, please change "and/or" to either "and" or "or."

- In (2), what is the "curriculum approved by the Board?" Is it in rule?
- In (3), what tests are required by the Board?
- In (3), line 12, define "periodic."
- In (4), when will inspections occur? Upon application?
- In (4), who conducts the inspections? Board staff?

In (4), what is the purpose of the inspection? What are you looking for? Compliance with these Rules or something else?

In (4), where is your statutory authority to conduct inspections of training establishments, specifically those run by optometrists and ophthalmologists?

In your history note, please reference 90-240(a)(3) instead of 90-240(3).

Please retype the rule accordingly and resubmit it to our office at 1711 New Hope Church Road, Raleigh, North Carolina 27609.

Ashley Snyder Commission Counsel Date submitted to agency: August 27, 2019 1 2 21 NCAC 40 .0321 is amended as published in 33:23 NCR 2258-2262 as follows:

•					
3	21 NCAC 40 .03	21 TRAINING ESTABLISHMENT REQUIREMENTS			
4	Any North Caro	lina licensed optician, ophthalmologist, or optometrist as provided in G.S. 90-237 (4) and G.S.			
5	90-240(a)(2) and (3) who proposes to train an apprentice or intern to become an optician shall fill out and sign under				
6	oath an application to do so. In addition, the training establishment must meet the requirements of the Board to train				
7	an apprentice or intern in opticianry. These requirements are:				
8	(1)	providing the list of minimum equipment specified by the Board;			
9	(2)	submitting a schedule of work processes and indicating the number of hours to be devoted to each			
10		work process for the apprentice and/or intern as outlined in the curriculum approved by the Board;			
11	(3)	administering and returning any test required by the Board for trainees and submitting requested			
12		periodic statements regarding compliance; and			
13	(4)	allowing on-site inspection of the training establishment by the Board.			
14					
15	History Note:	Authority G.S. 90-237(4); 90-240(3); 90-243; 90-249;			
16		<i>Eff. November 1, 1981;</i>			
17		Amended Eff. February 1, 1989;			
18		Pursuant to G.S. 150B-21.3A, rule is necessary without substantive public interest Eff. December			
19		22, 2018. <u>2018;</u>			
20		Amended Eff. October 1, 2019.			